

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Comments back to Editor & Reviewers

Date: 17 January 2017

Manuscript ID: 31658

Title: Psychological controversies in gastroparesis: A systematic review

Dear Dr Yuan Qi,

Thank you for your kind invitation to resubmit our manuscript. As requested, we have revised the manuscript based on your suggestions and the reviewers' comments, with details provided for each change made. We believe this revised version is now a stronger submission and look forward to further feedback.

EDITOR

The editor requested that conflict-of-interest, data sharing, and biostatistics statements be provided in PDF format, with references to the statements included as footnotes in the manuscript text.

Author Action

Conflict-of-interest, data sharing, and biostatistics statements have been provided in PDF format as part of the resubmission. Footnotes regarding these statements have been added to the manuscript text on pages 1 and 2.

Minor changes to the title page were also made according to the "Format for Manuscript Revision: Systematic Reviews" document that was sent with the invitation for resubmission. These changes included the addition of the first author's name to the running title, and a list of authors on a single line (additions highlighted).

REVIEWER #1:

Overall Comment

This is an actual study about gastroparesis. All over manuscript is very well written.

Reviewer Comment

"Gastroparesis may be also seen in very rare diseases such as dieulafoy lesion or even at gists" (Minerva Chir. 2014 Jun;69(3):147-53.) and (Case Rep Gastroenterol. 2008 Sep;2(3):469-73. doi: 10.1159/000175414) I suggest both of these uptodate studies for the references.

Author Response

Thank you for the time taken to review our manuscript and for your suggestions. We have read the suggested articles and as they do not specifically relate to gastroparesis (and psychological aspects associated with gastroparesis) we chose not to include them in the review. However, we welcome suggestions by the reviewer and/or the Editor on how they could (or should) be incorporated.

Author Action

No changes to the manuscript were made.

REVIEWER #2:**Overall Comment**

This study is a systemic review that evaluated the clinical correlation between major psychologic disease and gastroparesis. Your study is very reasonably described and even informative to the clinician in practice. Although the definition of gastroparesis is not well established, your results are inspiring and helpful to clarify the correlation between the psychologic disease and other functional GI disease.

Author Response

We thank the second reviewer for their kind comments and the time taken to review our manuscript.

REVIEWER #3**Overall Comment**

This paper conducted for systematic review of psychological aspects of gastroparesis. Authors concluded that “gastroparesis is associated with significant psychological distress and poor quality of life. Recommendations for future studies and the development of psychological interventions are provided”. As authors described, this paper has some flaw, but it is relatively well written, organized, and within the scope of the World Journal of Gastroenterology. Major revision This manuscript needs no major revision.

Reviewer Comment

Minor revision Although authors described “one (0.06%) involved a psychologically-based intervention for gastroparesis patients [36]” in page 8, “one (6.25%)” is correct?

Author Response

We thank the third author for their feedback, and for noticing this discrepancy in the manuscript.

Author Action

Text on Page 8 has been edited to read: “one (6.25%) involved a psychologically-based intervention for gastroparesis patients”.

Thank you for your time and we look forward to any further recommendations.