

Thrombolysis for mild stroke

Hai-Chao Ji, Le-Tian Yang, Fu-Ling Yan

Hai-Chao Ji, Le-Tian Yang, Fu-Ling Yan, Department of Neurology, Zhongda Hospital affiliated to Southeast University, Nanjing 210009, Jiangsu Province, China

Author contributions: All authors contributed to this manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: None declared.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Correspondence to: Fu-Ling Yan, Professor, Department of Neurology, Zhongda Hospital affiliated to Southeast University, 87 Dingjia Qiao, Nanjing Hunan Road, Nanjing 210009, Jiangsu Province, China. yanfuling218@163.com
Telephone: +86-25-83262251
Fax: +86-25-83272011

Received: December 27, 2014

Peer-review started: December 29, 2014

First decision: January 20, 2015

Revised: April 3, 2015

Accepted: June 1, 2015

Article in press: June 2, 2015

Published online: June 28, 2015

Abstract

The term "mild stroke", or "minor stroke" refers to the acute ischemic stroke patients with mild and nondisabling symptoms. Currently there is still no unanimous consensus on the exact definition of mild stroke. Patients with mild stroke are assumed to have a good prognosis in natural course, so they are routinely not given thrombolysis despite early emergency department arrival. Recent studies have revealed that, however, approximately one third of so-called mild stroke patients who are not treated with thrombolysis have significant disability whereas those treated are

more likely to achieve a good recovery. Thus excluding all mild strokes from thrombolysis is probably not justified. Those mild stroke patients who are likely to experience early deterioration or end with disability are mostly characterized by imaging findings. Therefore, selected patients with these characteristics based on neuroimaging to be given thrombolysis might be more justified. Meanwhile, new definition should be developed to exclude those who are at a higher risk of poor outcome. Applying information from imaging may make it come true. Using neuroimaging information to define mild stroke and select patients with mild symptoms to thrombolysis may be a future direction.

Key words: Definition; Mild stroke; Minor stroke; Neuroimaging; Thrombolysis

© **The Author(s) 2015.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Clinically, mild stroke patients are routinely excluded from thrombolysis, for the considering that they are too mild and expected to have a good outcome even left untreated. Recent studies showed that mild strokes might also benefit from thrombolysis. However, unlike major stroke, about two thirds of mild stroke patients will have good outcome in nature course; about the one third will end with poor outcomes but they are found to be mostly characterized by imaging features. So we proposed that neuroimaging-based approaches to define mid stroke and selecting mild stroke patients to thrombolysis may be future directions.

Ji HC, Yang LT, Yan FL. Thrombolysis for mild stroke. *World J Neurol* 2015; 5(2): 57-63 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-6212/full/v5/i2/57.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5316/wjn.v5.i2.57>

INTRODUCTION

Evidences have confirmed that thrombolysis is the

most effective therapeutic approach for acute cerebral infarction^[1,2]. However, a number of stroke victims arriving in hospital within the time window are withheld thrombolytic treatment for several contraindications. "Mild stroke", or "minor stroke", is one of the most common reasons^[3]. Yet there is no unanimous consensus on the exact definition for mild stroke and whether mild stroke patients should be given thrombolysis remains a widely controversial issue as the pivotal studies on reperfusion treatment routinely excluded such patients, leading to scarce data available from randomized controlled trials concerning the optimal management. Some studies in the recent reported that patients with mild stroke might also benefit from thrombolysis. This may bring remarkable public health impact as approximately half of the patients with an acute stroke are categorized as mild stroke^[4]. We therefore write this review to elaborate the current researches on mild stroke including the definition, the present situation in the treatment and especially the clinical researches on thrombolysis for mild strokes. We also discuss potential approaches to define or treat mild strokes and ultimately hope that a better understanding of mild stroke will foster the development of innovative therapeutic strategies for mild stroke patients.

WHAT IS MILD STROKE?

A deficit measured on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score of ≤ 3 is the most commonly used definition

The term "minor stroke" was first mentioned 40 years ago by Perdue *et al*^[5] referring to the mild neurologic deficits preceding catastrophic cerebral infarction. Since then, the definitions used to identify minor stroke or mild stroke varied from one study to another and some even did not provide a definition^[6]. Majority of the existing definitions are based on the symptoms or baseline National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) in acute stage (Table 1). Regardless of the exact definitions, most these given definitions share an identical meaning - acute ischemic stroke patients with mild and nondisabling symptoms. A deficit measured on the NIHSS score of ≤ 3 seems to be the most commonly used definition by literature available^[6].

An ideal definition of a "minor stroke", just as Fischer *et al*^[6] assert, should reflect the following 5 aspects: "(1) it should capture patients with mild and nondisabling symptoms in acute stage and favorable short-term and medium-term outcomes; (2) it should be valid for different subgroups of stroke patients; (3) it should imply both qualitative and quantitative dimensions; (4) it should be simple and useful in daily clinical practice; and (5) it should not overlap with the definition of a transient ischemic attack". Fischer *et al*^[6] also assessed the 6 commonly used definitions and concluded that "NIHSS ≤ 3 " is one of the two most suitable (the other is "score ≤ 1 on each NIHSS item and normal consciousness"). However, it also has several important

limitations: (1) NIHSS score of 3 could represent a severe deficit in one NIHSS item or mild deficits in several items. Some physicians generally consider the prior situation more severe than the latter; (2) using 3 as a specific cut point might be arbitrary because the difference of 90 d outcome between patients with a NIHSS score of 3 and 4 is not evident^[7]; (3) what's more, approximately one third of patients with an NIHSS score of ≤ 3 can not be discharged home and a quarter have a poor outcome^[8]. As a result, the definition of NIHSS ≤ 3 could not well reflect the real severity and outcome of acute ischemic patients with mild symptoms.

Patients with mild stroke symptoms as defined by an NIHSS ≤ 5 are most commonly excluded from thrombolysis in clinical practice and trials

The definition of stroke severity is clinically relevant as "mild stroke" which is a frequently mentioned contraindication. However, it is never clarified and left to the clinicians' judgement although "acute ischemic stroke with a baseline NIHSS ≤ 3 " is a widely applied definition. Thus when stratifying patients based on the severity of the neurologic deficit, clinicians find themselves in hesitation: is an NIHSS of 3 mild but an NIHSS of 4 or 5 not? National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study^[1] and III European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study^[2] exclude NIHSS scores of < 5 from enrollment on the presumption that this represents the mild subgroup. According to the hospital records from 16 adult area hospitals in a study by Khatri *et al*^[16], among 437 patients with acute ischemic stroke that presented to emergency departments within 3.5 h, 247 (57%) had a base line NIHSS ≤ 5 and only 4 were treated with rt-PA. Moreover, in the American Heart Association Get With the Guidelines Registry (GWGR) nationwide program from 2003 to 2009, among 73044 cases arriving to emergency departments within 2 h of symptom onset but excluded from rt-PA treatment, 29612 (41%) were not treated solely due to mild or improving symptoms, and 75% of these had a baseline NIHSS < 5 ^[3]. Many of the stroke patients who are regarded too mild to treat actually have a higher baseline NIHSS than 3. Mild strokes with a NIHSS ≤ 5 at baseline are more likely to be excluded from thrombolysis in clinical practice and trials^[17]. In this aspect, the definition of NIHSS ≤ 5 can better reflect the clinical profile that a large number of patients are excluded from thrombolysis just because of the mild symptoms. Hence several eminent epidemiological studies employed this definition^[4,11,12].

OUTCOME OF PATIENTS WITH MILD STROKES MIGHT NOT BE AS BENIGN AS GENERALLY ASSUMED

MIS are excluded from thrombolysis because they are expected to have good functional outcome. However,

Table 1 Most commonly used definitions for mild stroke by literature

Based on baseline NIHSS	All patients with baseline NIHSS ≤ 3 ^[6-9]
	All patients with baseline NIHSS ≤ 4 ^[7,10]
	All patients with baseline NIHSS ≤ 5 ^[4,11,12]
	All patients with baseline NIHSS ≤ 6 ^[7,13]
	All patients with baseline NIHSS ≤ 9 ^[14]
Based on syndromes	All patients with a lacunar-like syndrome (presumed small vessel occlusive disease) such as pure sensory syndrome, pure motor hemiparesis, sensorimotor syndrome, ataxic hemiparesis, and dysarthria-clumsy hand syndrome ^[6,14]
	All patients with only motor deficits (can include dysarthria or ataxia) with or without sensory deficits. These patients can have only a combination of motor, coordination, and sensory deficits without any deficits in the spheres of language, level of consciousness, extinction or neglect, horizontal eye movements, or visual fields, deficits generally ascribed to larger territories of focal ischemia ^[6,14]
	All patients with baseline NIHSS in the lowest (least severe) quartile of severity (NIHSS ≤ 9), excluding all patients with aphasia, extinction, or neglect, or any points on the level-of-consciousness questions ^[6,14]
	Major stroke: A proximal cerebral artery occlusion on the CTA or MRA; if no occlusion, imaging evidence of significant parenchymal ischemia on NCCT or DWI ^[15]
Based on imaging	Minor stroke: all of the others except major stroke ^[15]

NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; CTA: Computed tomography angiography; MRA: Magnetic resonance angiography; NCCT: Non-contrasted computed tomography; DWI: Diffusion-weighted imaging.

studies indicate that outcome of patients with mild strokes might not be as benign as generally assumed. Khatri *et al.*^[17] reviewed a prospective cohort of 136 consecutive patients with mild deficits (NIHSS ≤ 5), 40 (29%; 95%CI: 22%-38%) had poor outcomes (modified Rankin Scale score 2-6) at 90 d and early worsening within 5 d were more common among those with poor outcome; Smith *et al.*^[3] analyzed the outcome of 29200 patients of mild stroke enrolled in GWGR programme who were excluded from thrombolysis, 28.3% were unable to be discharged home and 28.5% were unable to ambulate without assistance at discharge; Multiple studies have confirmed that approximately 25% to 35% of these patients are disabled at the time of discharge or 90 d despite presenting with mild neurological deficits at acute phase.

TREATING MILD STROKES WITH RT-PA - GRADUALLY ACCEPTED BY CLINICIANS

Mild stroke is one of the most common exclusions for thrombolysis. Prior studies have estimated that 30% to 50% of acute ischemic stroke patients arriving within 3 h of symptom onset are not treated with rt-PA just because of "mild stroke" or "rapidly improving stroke symptoms"^[3,18]. However, there is a lack of criteria about what is "mild stroke" and such a contraindication is consensus-based, not evidence-based. Across the Specialized Program of Translational Research in Acute Stroke (SPOTRIAS) network, there was a significant variability in the proportion of patients with mild stroke (NIHSS score 0-3) treated with rt-PA, which ranged from 2.7% to 18.0% across the entire consortium^[19]. The main reason is the threshold for the decision to treat a mild stroke differs between physicians at the various centers and between centers overall. In the recently published Promoting Acute Thrombolysis in Ischemic Stroke trial, mild stroke was a less frequent ambiguous contraindication in the intervention hospitals

compared with the nonintervention ones (17% vs 26%)^[20]. All these phenomena reflect a paucity of data on how to best treat mild stroke patients and highlight the demand for a randomized trial to clarify the effect of thrombolysis for mild stroke patients.

On the other hand, having recognized the poor outcomes of mild stroke, many medical centers realize that it is unreasonable to leave them untreated and sometimes they inform patients of the medical knowledge about thrombolysis and may follow their choice^[21]. Thus an increasing number of patients with mild stroke are treated. The proportion of mild strokes (NIHSS score 0-3) treated with rt-PA increased from 4.8% in 2005 to 10.7% in 2009 across the SPOTRIAS network^[19]; In Sweden, an increase in the proportion of patients with mild stroke (NIHSS ≤ 5) treated with thrombolysis has contributed to rising overall thrombolysis rates - the proportion with mild stroke among patients treated with thrombolysis increased from 22.1% in 2007 to 28.7% in 2010^[11]; Over the past two decades period, the initial severity of stroke patients enrolled in the large clinical trials has declined gradually^[1,2,22]. These trends may reflect the trend toward the use of thrombolytic agents in patients who have less severe acute ischemic stroke. Many investigators therefore proposed that current thrombolysis guidelines need revision^[21].

DOES MILD STROKE BENEFIT FROM THROMBOLYSIS?

Currently no randomized, placebo-controlled trial has yet been implemented to test the efficacy and safety of rt-PA administered in patients with mild stroke. Some studies that retrospectively analyse the outcome of mild stroke patients received thrombolysis have attempted to evaluate the safety and efficacy. Some show that the patients treated with thrombolysis tend to acquire better outcomes, while the others do not show advantages. However, nearly all the studies show that the rate of

Table 2 Studies on thrombolysis in mild stroke

Ref.	Definition of mild stroke	Patients		Favorable outcome (t-PA vs Placebo)	P	SICH (t-PA vs Placebo)	P
		rt-PA	Placebo				
NINDS rt-PA Stroke Study Group ^[14]	Score ≤ 1 on each NIHSS item and normal consciousness	21	7	100% vs 86%	< 0.02	0% vs 0%	-
	Presumed small-vessel stroke	51	30	69% vs 60%	< 0.02	4% vs 0%	-
	Only motor deficits ± sensory deficits	220	219	61% vs 46%	< 0.02	3% vs 0.5%	-
	NIHSS score ≤ 9, minus all with aphasia, extinction/neglect, or any points on the level of consciousness questions	97	76	81% vs 74%	< 0.02	3% vs 0%	-
	NIHSS ≤ 9	99	78	82% vs 74%	< 0.02	3% vs 0%	-
Köhrmann <i>et al</i> ^[10]	NIHSS ≤ 4	32	-	94%	-	0%	-
Hassan <i>et al</i> ^[13]	NIHSS ≤ 6	27	24	92.6% vs 50%	< 0.03	3.7% vs 4.2%	1
Hassan <i>et al</i> ^[23]	NIHSS ≤ 10	52	98	74% vs 34%	< 0.009	2% vs 3%	-
¹ Steffenhagen <i>et al</i> ^[24]	NIHSS ≤ 5	78	16	74.7% vs 81.3%	0.75	2.6% vs -	0.572
² Khatri <i>et al</i> ^[25]	NIHSS ≤ 5	42	16	78.6% vs 81.3%	-	2.4% vs 0%	-
³ Huisa <i>et al</i> ^[26]	NIHSS ≤ 5	59	74	57.6% vs 68.9%	0.871	5%	-
³ Helsinki Stroke Thrombolysis Registry ^[7]	NIHSS 0-2	58	-	88%	-	0%	-
	NIHSS 3-4	194	-	86%	-	2.6%	-
	NIHSS 5-6	236	-	78%	-	2.1%	-
Greisenegger <i>et al</i> ^[27]	NIHSS ≤ 5	445	445	41% vs 29%	< 0.001	2.5% vs 0%	-
Urra <i>et al</i> ^[28]	NIHSS ≤ 5	119	84	83% vs 81%	> 0.05	0% vs 0%	-
Logallo <i>et al</i> ^[29]	NIHSS ≤ 5	158	1633	38% vs 31%	0.07	1.9% vs 0.1%	< 0.001

¹Steffenhagen *et al*^[24] compared the functional outcome of mild stroke patients using the modified Rankin scale (mRS) with severe (NIHSS score > 5) groups. Symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage was low (2.6% vs 4.7%; $P = 0.572$). Favorable outcome (mRS score < 2) at 3 mo was more frequent (74.7% vs 34.7%; $RR = 2.2$, 95%CI: 1.8-2.5, $P < 0.001$) and mortality rate was lower (8% vs 22.9%; $RR = 0.35$, 95%CI: 0.16-0.76, $P = 0.002$). Favorable outcomes were not different (81.3% vs 74.7%, mRS score < 2, $P = 0.75$) compared to a placebo-treated group with baseline NIHSS scores ≤ 5 ($n = 16$) from the NINDS t-PA trial; ²In Khatri's study, 4 of the 58 minor strokes had baseline disability (mRS > 2), and all were in the rt-PA group. In Huisa's study, the NIHSS score at admission was higher in the t-PA treated group compared to that in untreated group (3.4 ± 1.4 vs 1.9 ± 1.3 ; $P < 0.001$). Thus the selective bias in the two studies might underestimate the effects of thrombolysis; ³In Helsinki Stroke Thrombolysis Registry, they did not give the definition of mid stroke. Fifty-eight mild stroke patients with NIHSS score 0-2 were treated with thrombolysis only when hyperdense cerebral artery sign, artery occlusion on computed tomography (CT) angiography, or perfusion deficit on perfusion CT scan presented. NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; t-PA: Tissue plasminogen activator; SICH: Symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage.

intracranial hemorrhage will not increase significantly in rt-PA groups, which indicates that thrombolysis for mild stroke should be safe (Table 2).

HOW TO TREAT MILD STROKE?

- NEUROIMAGING-GUIDED THROMBOLYSIS FOR MILD STROKE MAY BE A POTENTIAL WAY

Unlike major stroke, about two thirds of mild strokes have good functional outcomes in their natural course. Considering the probability that these patients may not benefit much but will be exposed at risks of hemorrhage if given rt-PA, it seems to be not reasonable if all mild strokes are given thrombolytic therapy. On contrary, those who will have a poor outcome and can be figured out ideally might derive the greatest benefit from thrombolysis if treated. A number of studies have tried to find out the the causes and characteristics of mild stroke patients with poor outcomes.

Ohara *et al*^[30] retrospectively studied the clinical data of mild strokes (NIHSS ≤ 5) who presented within 3 h after onset and did not receive intravenous rt-PA and found that major vessel occlusion ($OR = 6.90$; 95%CI: 1.31-47.51; $P = 0.022$) and NIHSS >

3 ($OR = 8.00$; 95%CI: 1.20-79.31; $P = 0.031$) were independent predictors of poor outcomes. Rajajee *et al*^[31] revealed that persisting large-vessel occlusion substantially increases the risk of early worsening ($OR = 18$; 95%CI: 1.6-209; $P = 0.02$) and poor functional outcome ($OR = 7$; 95%CI: 1.2-38; $P = 0.04$) in mild stroke patients (NIHSS ≤ 5) while Coutts *et al*^[32]'s study indicated that intracranial or extracranial vessel occlusion or ≥ 50% stenosis was associated with poorer outcome ($RR = 2.92$; 95%CI: 1.81-4.71). A study in Changhua, Taiwan, also demonstrated that mild or rapidly improving patients with initial NIHSS score ≥ 3 had high risk of unfavorable outcome ($OR = 5.95$; 95%CI: 1.10-32.12)^[33].

Moreover, several studies have obtained amazing results through analyzing multimodal MRIs of mild strokes. A study by Khatri *et al*^[17] showed that mild strokes (NIHSS ≤ 5) with poor outcome had larger DWI infarcts at baseline and more frequent lesions growth and NIHSS worsening from baseline to 5 d, increase in DWI infarct volume ($OR = 3.57$; 95%CI: 1.17-10.9; $P < 0.03$) was an independent predictor of poor 90-d outcome. Similarly, in Asdaghi *et al*^[34]'s study, early "recurrence" of mild stroke (NIHSS ≤ 5) was much more likely in patients with larger baseline diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) or perfusion-weighted imaging (PWI) lesions and all new lesions developed within the

baseline PWI infarcts. Interestingly, both Asdaghi *et al.*^[34] and Rajajee *et al.*^[31]'s studies showed that baseline large DWI lesion or DWI-PWI mismatch were frequently accompanied by large vessel occlusions in those mild patients who underwent early neurologic deterioration with infarct expansion and ended with poor functional status. These findings suggest that majority of "recurrent" events or deteriorations over the first few days after the mild strokes are related to progression of the original infarct within the territory of the penumbral deficit due to consistent vessel occlusion, rather than new cerebrovascular events^[35]. Thrombolysis may restrain this progression and bring potential benefit.

Studies above illustrate that baseline NIHSS > 3, major vessel occlusion or severe stenosis, relatively large DWI or PWI lesions are strongly associated with early deterioration and poor prognosis, which suggests that selecting mild strokes with these characteristics to treat might be more justified. Specifically, strokes with baseline NIHSS > 3 but without contraindications perhaps all should be given thrombolysis^[36], while patients with baseline NIHSS ≤ 3 should be given thrombolysis or other reperfusion therapies as well on condition that major vessel occlusion, relatively large DWI and PWI lesions or significant DWI/PWI mismatch be visualized with rapid neuroimaging methods^[37]. Certainly, justification of this selective approach awaits clinical validation in more studies.

RETHINK THE DEFINITION OF MILD STROKE

To supplement NIHSS, many studies have proposed imaging-based methods to evaluate and classify acute cerebral infarction^[38]. However, few such studies have yet to be performed for mild stroke. Torres-Mozqueda *et al.*^[15] proposed a neuroimaging-based ischemic stroke classification system - Boston Acute Stroke Imaging Scale (BASIS). The rationale underlying this classification system is that if proximal cerebral artery occlusions are identified on the computerized tomography angiography or Magnetic Resonance Angiography, or significant parenchymal abnormalities are identified by examination of the non-contrasted CT or diffusion MR imaging, patients will be classified as having a major stroke. All of the other patients are classified as having a mild stroke. Compared to NIHSS and other widely accepted imaging-based scale such as Alberta Stroke Programme Early CT Score^[39], BASIS is found to be highly effective in predicting outcomes and applicable to both anterior and posterior circulation strokes^[40]. What's more, it focuses on the primary cause of the infarct - arterial occlusion^[15], which will give physicians more clues than NIHSS to determine whether thrombolysis should be given or not, especially for patients with mild symptoms. Clearly, definition solely based on baseline NIHSS or symptoms seems not to be a good choice. Using neuroimaging methods might be more

reasonable and superior to using NIHSS in terms of suggesting prognosis and helping to guide therapy in individual patient. Advances in the field of neuroimaging have made it quite feasible and achievable^[38], but few such studies have yet to be performed and it may be a future direction to define mild stroke.

CONCLUSION

The definition of mild stroke is not uniform yet. The term "mild stroke" or "minor stroke" might not be suitable for all those stroke patients who are currently deemed too mild to treat as a significant percentage of them will be disabled if left untreated. Definitions on the basis of NIHSS solely might not be a good choice. An ideal definition should better differentiate those who are at a higher risk for clinical deterioration and poor prognosis from the patients presenting with mild neurological deficits or low NIHSS. Applying information from neuroimaging may help it come true.

The available studies on rt-PA for mild stroke inform us that thrombolysis may be beneficial and with minimal side effects. Considering the fact that most of them have favorable functional outcomes in their natural course, selecting patients who are most likely to be early worsening and disabled to treat might be more justified. Current studies have identified some characteristics of these patients and efforts of neuroimaging-guided thrombolysis in mild stroke have been made. We think that it will be a future direction for treating mild strokes.

REFERENCES

- 1 Tissue plasminogen activator for acute ischemic stroke. The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1995; **333**: 1581-1587 [PMID: 7477192 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199512143332401]
- 2 Hacke W, Kaste M, Bluhmki E, Brozman M, Dávalos A, Guidetti D, Larrue V, Lees KR, Medeghri Z, Machnig T, Schneider D, von Kummer R, Wahlgren N, Toni D. Thrombolysis with alteplase 3 to 4.5 hours after acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **359**: 1317-1329 [PMID: 18815396 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0804656]
- 3 Smith EE, Fonarow GC, Reeves MJ, Cox M, Olson DM, Hernandez AF, Schwamm LH. Outcomes in mild or rapidly improving stroke not treated with intravenous recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator: findings from Get With The Guidelines-Stroke. *Stroke* 2011; **42**: 3110-3115 [PMID: 21903949 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.613208]
- 4 Dhamoon MS, Moon YP, Paik MC, Boden-Albala B, Rundek T, Sacco RL, Elkind MS. Long-term functional recovery after first ischemic stroke: the Northern Manhattan Study. *Stroke* 2009; **40**: 2805-2811 [PMID: 19556535 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.549576]
- 5 Perdue GD, Smith RB, Rhodes L, Long WD. Carotid revascularization in the treatment of cerebral ischemia. *Arch Surg* 1970; **100**: 562-564 [PMID: 5438569 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.1970.01340230028005]
- 6 Fischer U, Baumgartner A, Arnold M, Nedeltchev K, Gralla J, De Marchis GM, Kappeler L, Mono ML, Brekenfeld C, Schroth G, Mattle HP. What is a minor stroke? *Stroke* 2010; **41**: 661-666 [PMID: 20185781 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.572883]
- 7 Strbian D, Piironen K, Meretoja A, Sairanen T, Putaala J, Tiaenen M, Arto V, Rantanen K, Häppölä O, Kaste M, Lindsberg PJ. Intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke patients presenting with mild symptoms. *Int J Stroke* 2013; **8**: 293-299

- [PMID: 22568877 DOI: 10.1111/j.1747-4949.2012.00808.x]
- 8 **Nedeltshev K**, Schwegler B, Haefeli T, Brekenfeld C, Gralla J, Fischer U, Arnold M, Remonda L, Schroth G, Mattle HP. Outcome of stroke with mild or rapidly improving symptoms. *Stroke* 2007; **38**: 2531-2535 [PMID: 17673713 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.482554]
 - 9 **Coull AJ**, Lovett JK, Rothwell PM. Population based study of early risk of stroke after transient ischaemic attack or minor stroke: implications for public education and organisation of services. *BMJ* 2004; **328**: 326 [PMID: 14744823 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.37991.635266.44]
 - 10 **Köhrmann M**, Nowe T, Huttner HB, Engelhorn T, Struffert T, Kollmar R, Saake M, Doerfler A, Schwab S, Schellinger PD. Safety and outcome after thrombolysis in stroke patients with mild symptoms. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2009; **27**: 160-166 [PMID: 19092237 DOI: 10.1159/000185607]
 - 11 **Stecksen A**, Asplund K, Appelros P, Glader EL, Norrving B, Eriksson M. Thrombolytic therapy rates and stroke severity: an analysis of data from the Swedish stroke register (Riks-Stroke) 2007-2010. *Stroke* 2012; **43**: 536-538 [PMID: 21980204 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.630590]
 - 12 **Kleindorfer D**, Kissela B, Schneider A, Woo D, Khoury J, Miller R, Alwell K, Gebel J, Szaflarski J, Pancioli A, Jauch E, Moomaw C, Shukla R, Broderick JP. Eligibility for recombinant tissue plasminogen activator in acute ischemic stroke: a population-based study. *Stroke* 2004; **35**: e27-e29 [PMID: 14739423 DOI: 10.1161/01.STR.0000109767.11426.17]
 - 13 **Hassan AE**, Hassanzadeh B, Tohidi V, Kirmani JF. Very mild stroke patients benefit from intravenous tissue plasminogen activator without increase of intracranial hemorrhage. *South Med J* 2010; **103**: 398-402 [PMID: 20375952 DOI: 10.1097/SMJ.0b013e3181d7814a]
 - 14 **National Institute of Neurological Disorders Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study Group**. Recombinant tissue plasminogen activator for minor strokes: the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study experience. *Ann Emerg Med* 2005; **46**: 243-252 [PMID: 16126134]
 - 15 **Torres-Mozqueda F**, He J, Yeh IB, Schwamm LH, Lev MH, Schaefer PW, González RG. An acute ischemic stroke classification instrument that includes CT or MR angiography: the Boston Acute Stroke Imaging Scale. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2008; **29**: 1111-1117 [PMID: 18467521 DOI: 10.3174/ajnr.A1000]
 - 16 **Khatrri P**, Khoury JC, Alwell K, Moomaw CH, Kissela BM, Woo D. The public health impact of an effective acute treatment for mild ischemic strokes. *Stroke* 2011; **42**: e66
 - 17 **Khatrri P**, Conaway MR, Johnston KC. Ninety-day outcome rates of a prospective cohort of consecutive patients with mild ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2012; **43**: 560-562 [PMID: 22052513 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.593897]
 - 18 **Wang Y**, Liao X, Zhao X, Wang DZ, Wang C, Nguyen-Huynh MN, Zhou Y, Liu L, Wang X, Liu G, Li H, Wang Y. Using recombinant tissue plasminogen activator to treat acute ischemic stroke in China: analysis of the results from the Chinese National Stroke Registry (CNSR). *Stroke* 2011; **42**: 1658-1664 [PMID: 21512182 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.604249]
 - 19 **Wiley JZ**, Khatrri P, Khoury JC, Merino JG, Ford AL, Rost NS, Gonzales NR, Ali LK, Meyer BC, Broderick JP. Variability in the use of intravenous thrombolysis for mild stroke: experience across the SPOTRIAS network. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2013; **22**: 318-322 [PMID: 22177935 DOI: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2011.09.005]
 - 20 **Dirks M**, Niessen LW, van Wijngaarden JD, Koudstaal PJ, Franke CL, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Huijsman R, Lingsma HF, Minkman MM, Dippel DW. Promoting thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2011; **42**: 1325-1330 [PMID: 21393587 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.596940]
 - 21 **Tong DC**. Avoiding thrombolysis in patients with mild stroke: is it SMART? *Stroke* 2012; **43**: 625-626 [PMID: 22308248]
 - 22 **Wahlgren N**, Ahmed N, Dávalos A, Ford GA, Grond M, Hacke W, Hennerici MG, Kaste M, Kuelkens S, Larrue V, Lees KR, Roine RO, Soisson L, Toni D, Vanhooren G. Thrombolysis with alteplase for acute ischaemic stroke in the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke-Monitoring Study (SITS-MOST): an observational study. *Lancet* 2007; **369**: 275-282 [PMID: 17258667 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60149-4]
 - 23 **Hassan AE**, Zacharatos H, Hassanzadeh B, El-Gengaihy A, AlKawi A, Shhadeh A, Kirmani JF. Does mild deficit for patients with stroke justify the use of intravenous tissue plasminogen activator? *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2010; **19**: 116-120 [PMID: 20189087 DOI: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2009.03.019]
 - 24 **Steffenhagen N**, Hill MD, Poppe AY, Buchan AM, Coutts SB. Should you thrombolysed all or any stroke patients with baseline National Institutes of Health stroke scale scores < or = 5? *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2009; **28**: 201-202 [PMID: 19571531 DOI: 10.1159/000226579]
 - 25 **Khatrri P**, Kleindorfer DO, Yeatts SD, Saver JL, Levine SR, Lyden PD, Moomaw CJ, Palesch YY, Jauch EC, Broderick JP. Strokes with minor symptoms: an exploratory analysis of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke recombinant tissue plasminogen activator trials. *Stroke* 2010; **41**: 2581-2586 [PMID: 20814000 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.593632]
 - 26 **Huisa BN**, Raman R, Neil W, Ernststrom K, Hemmen TM. Intravenous tissue plasminogen activator for patients with minor ischemic stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2012; **21**: 732-736 [PMID: 21531576 DOI: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2011.03.009]
 - 27 **Greisenegger S**, Seyfang L, Kiechl S, Lang W, Ferrari J. Thrombolysis in patients with mild stroke: results from the Austrian Stroke Unit Registry. *Stroke* 2014; **45**: 765-769 [PMID: 24481972 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.003827]
 - 28 **Urra X**, Ariño H, Lull L, Amaro S, Obach V, Cervera Á, Chamorro Á. The outcome of patients with mild stroke improves after treatment with systemic thrombolysis. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e59420 [PMID: 23527192 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059420]
 - 29 **Logallo N**, Kvistad CE, Naess H, Waje-Andreassen U, Thomassen L. Mild stroke: safety and outcome in patients receiving thrombolysis. *Acta Neurol Scand Suppl* 2014; **(198)**: 37-40 [PMID: 24588505 DOI: 10.1111/ane.12235]
 - 30 **Ohara T**, Nagakane Y, Tanaka E, Morii F, Koizumi T, Yamamoto Y. Clinical and Radiological Features of Stroke Patients with Poor Outcomes Who Do Not Receive Intravenous Thrombolysis because of Mild Symptoms. *Eur Neurol* 2013; **69**: 4-7 [PMID: 23128786 DOI: 10.1159/000341339]
 - 31 **Rajajee V**, Kidwell C, Starkman S, Ovbiagele B, Alger JR, Villablanca P, Vinuela F, Duckwiler G, Jahan R, Fredieu A, Suzuki S, Saver JL. Early MRI and outcomes of untreated patients with mild or improving ischemic stroke. *Neurology* 2006; **67**: 980-984 [PMID: 17000964 DOI: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000237520.88777.71]
 - 32 **Coutts SB**, O'Reilly C, Hill MD, Steffenhagen N, Poppe AY, Boyko MJ, Puetz V, Demchuk AM. Computed tomography and computed tomography angiography findings predict functional impairment in patients with minor stroke and transient ischaemic attack. *Int J Stroke* 2009; **4**: 448-453 [PMID: 19930054 DOI: 10.1111/j.1747-4949.2009.00346.x]
 - 33 **Sun MC**, Lai TB. Initial Stroke Severity Is the Major Outcome Predictor for Patients Who Do Not Receive Intravenous Thrombolysis due to Mild or Rapidly Improving Symptoms. *ISRN Neurology* 2011; **2011**: 947476 [PMID: 22389835 DOI: 10.5402/2011/947476]
 - 34 **Asdaghi N**, Hameed B, Saini M, Jeerakathil T, Emery D, Butcher K. Acute perfusion and diffusion abnormalities predict early new MRI lesions 1 week after minor stroke and transient ischemic attack. *Stroke* 2011; **42**: 2191-2195 [PMID: 21737809 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.611376]
 - 35 **Coutts SB**, Hill MD, Campos CR, Choi YB, Subramaniam S, Kosior JC, Demchuk AM. Recurrent events in transient ischemic attack and minor stroke: what events are happening and to which patients? *Stroke* 2008; **39**: 2461-2466 [PMID: 18617658 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.513234]
 - 36 **Dirks M**, Niessen LW, Koudstaal PJ, Franke CL, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Dippel DW. Intravenous thrombolysis in acute ischaemic stroke: from trial exclusion criteria to clinical contraindications. An international Delphi study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2007; **78**: 685-689 [PMID: 17332052 DOI: 10.1136/jnnp.2006.102798]

- 37 **Lansberg MG**, Thijs VN, Bammer R, Olivot JM, Marks MP, Wechsler LR, Kemp S, Albers GW. The MRA-DWI mismatch identifies patients with stroke who are likely to benefit from reperfusion. *Stroke* 2008; **39**: 2491-2496 [PMID: 18635861 DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.508572]
- 38 **Yoo AJ**, Pulli B, Gonzalez RG. Imaging-based treatment selection for intravenous and intra-arterial stroke therapies: a comprehensive review. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther* 2011; **9**: 857-876 [PMID: 21809968 DOI: 10.1586/erc.11.56]
- 39 **Barber PA**, Demchuk AM, Zhang J, Buchan AM. Validity and reliability of a quantitative computed tomography score in predicting outcome of hyperacute stroke before thrombolytic therapy. ASPECTS Study Group. Alberta Stroke Programme Early CT Score. *Lancet* 2000; **355**: 1670-1674 [PMID: 10905241 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02237-6]
- 40 **Cipriano LE**, Steinberg ML, Gazelle GS, González RG. Comparing and predicting the costs and outcomes of patients with major and minor stroke using the Boston Acute Stroke Imaging Scale neuroimaging classification system. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2009; **30**: 703-709 [PMID: 19164436 DOI: 10.3174/ajnr.A1441]

P- Reviewer: Bereczki D, Paoloni M **S- Editor:** Ji FF
L- Editor: A **E- Editor:** Wu HL





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx>

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

