



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29115

**Title:** Comparative Study of Outcomes following Laparoscopic Roux-En-Y Gastric Bypass and Sleeve Gastrectomy in Morbidly Obese Patients: A Case Control Study

**Reviewer's code:** 01047575

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-16 12:00

**Date reviewed:** 2016-08-23 09:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a retrospective study to compare the impact of LRYGB and LSG on weight loss and obesity related comorbidities. This is an important issue in clinics. However, there are some problems in the manuscript which need revision.

1. The operation indication for LRYGB and LSG should be stated detailly.

Response: The operation indications for LRYGB and LSG have been detailed in the manuscript

2. The procedure of LRYGB (bilio-pancreatic limb of 70cm), what is the starting point for calculating?

Response: The starting point used for calculating the length of the bilio-pancreatic limb was duodeno-jejunal flexure.

3. The type of DM should be stated (Type 1 or Type 2 ?)

Response: All patients had Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Appropriate changes have been made in the manuscript.



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

4. If possible, some other outcomes (such as quality of life, time of hospital stay) should be compared, which are very important to evaluate the effect of an operation.

Response: In the present study, we did not focus specifically on quality of life following surgery, the primary and secondary outcomes were the impact of LSG and LRYGB on weight loss and obesity associated comorbidities.. Further studies can be undertaken to specifically elucidate differences in quality of life. Duration of hospital stay was essentially similar between two groups, and wasn't included in the final manuscript for the same reason.

-5. The tables and figures of the manuscript are not normative.

Response: Appropriate changes have been made in the tables as suggested



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29115

**Title:** Comparative Study of Outcomes following Laparoscopic Roux-En-Y Gastric Bypass and Sleeve Gastrectomy in Morbidly Obese Patients: A Case Control Study

**Reviewer's code:** 01799104

**Reviewer's country:** Taiwan

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-16 12:00

**Date reviewed:** 2016-08-29 00:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors compared laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) with Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (LRYGB) of 2-year effect on glycemic control, hypertension, weight loss, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), hypothyroidism and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) respectively. As with other published data, LRYGB achieved better outcome on weight loss at long-term. Though the sample size is not large enough, the experiences are informative, especially not at Western countries. As you already mentioned in your drawbacks that you do not have data of HbA1C and lipid profile, it is a difficult job to present them in your retrospective study like most studies did. Nevertheless, you compared LRYGB with LSG on hypertension, hypothyroidism, OSA and GERD are valuable. My only minor concern is that the text is a little bit tedious in your discussion.

Response: Thanks for the comment. Certain aspects of discussion in the manuscript have been edited to make it more lucid



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29115

**Title:** Comparative Study of Outcomes following Laparoscopic Roux-En-Y Gastric Bypass and Sleeve Gastrectomy in Morbidly Obese Patients: A Case Control Study

**Reviewer's code:** 00041963

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-16 12:00

**Date reviewed:** 2016-08-29 05:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Key-word: case control study should delete and comorbidities should add

Response: Appropriate changes have been made

2. 2-The authors should explain how the surgeon decided to choose type of the surgery.

Response: The type and indications for LSG and LRYGB have been detailed in the text.

3. 3-In Table 2-3; The authors should add bottom of the table for each P value which statistical test was used to calculate.

Response: Appropriate changes have been made in the final manuscript

4-In Figure 1; the authors should calculate P value between the groups

Response: Appropriate changes have been made in the final manuscript.



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29115

**Title:** Comparative Study of Outcomes following Laparoscopic Roux-En-Y Gastric Bypass and Sleeve Gastrectomy in Morbidly Obese Patients: A Case Control Study

**Reviewer's code:** 02486710

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-16 12:00

**Date reviewed:** 2016-09-08 09:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Operation criteria and patient selection for LRYGB and LSG should be explained.

Response: The indications for LSG and LRYGB have been detailed in the manuscript.

The authors should add bottom of the table and figure for P value and type of test which was used for stats.

Response: Appropriate changes have been made in the final manuscript.

Discussion is informative and acceptable from my stand point

Thank you for the comment