Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 12865-review.doc).

Title: Dengue and its effects on liver

Author: Jayanta Samanta, Vishal Sharma

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 12865

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:
1 Format has been updated
DONE

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer

(1) Reviewer No. ***00506431***

"The manuscript is a fair review of the hepatic complications of dengue fever. The overall readability of the paper is impaired by the use of some unusual terminology which in some instances is unscientific. I am sure that this is a problem related to English not being the 1st language of the authors. I have corrected some, but not all, of the mistakes I found using track changes in the attached Word document. There were some acronyms that were not spelt out and some of the reference formatting needed to be fixed. A further revision of the paper by someone who is proficient in English scientific writing would make the manuscript more readable. In terms of the synthesis of the literature cited and the overall scientific merit of the paper the authors have done a commendable job."

The paper has been reviewed by one who is proficient in English and the necessary corrections have been made as per recommendations.

(2) Reviewer No. ***02446172***

"Dear Authors, I have made some corrections on the article. In addition reference 40 have been written by following reference 41. It should be controlled. Sincerely"

The corrections have been noted and necessary amendments have been made as recommended.

(3) Reviewer No. ***00506490***

"1. non standard English/typological error in certain parts (yellow highlight)--> revise 2. first abbreviation should be given the full form"

The corrections recommended have been noted and the changes made accordingly. Full form of first abbreviation mentioned.

(4) Reviewer No. ***00504278***

"This review addresses an important topic within the dengue field. Thus, it will merit publication. However, I feel the manuscript must be improved in the English language and organization. Please see the attached document with suggestions. Table 2 will be more informative if information about adults is included for comparison."

The necessary corrections have been made as per the suggestions advised.
Table 2 has been given to highlight the studies in children; the various studies in adults have already been provided in Table 1 and can be used for comparison.

3 References and typesetting were corrected

DONE

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Sincerely yours,