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**Abstract**

AIM**:** To identify demographic and clinical factors associated with disabling Crohn’s disease (CD).

Methods**:** A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies, focusing on the factors that can predict the prognosis of different outcomes of CD was undertaken. PubMed, ISI Web of Knowledge and Scopus were searched to identify studies investigating the above mentioned factors in adult patients with CD. Studies were eligible for inclusion if they describe prognostic factors in CD, with inclusion and exclusion criteria defined as follows. Studies with adult patients and CD, written in English and studying association between clinical factors and at least one prognosis outcome were included. Meta-analysis of effects was undertaken for the disabling disease outcome, using odds ratio (OR) to assess the effect of the different factors in the outcome. The statistical method used was Mantel-Haenszel for fixed effects. The 16-item quality assessment tool (QATSDD) was used to assess the quality of the studies (range 0-42).

Results**:** Of the 913 papers initially selected, sixty studies were reviewed and three were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis. The global QATSDD scores of papers were 18, 21, and 22. Of a total of 1 961 patients enrolled, 1332 (78%) were classified with disabling disease five years after diagnosis. In two studies, age at diagnosis was a factor associated with disabling disease five years after diagnosis. Individuals under 40 years old had a higher risk of developing disabling disease. In two studies, patients who were treated with corticosteroids on the first flare developed disabling disease five years after diagnosis. Further, perianal disease was found to be relevant in all of the studies at two and five years after diagnosis. Finally, one study showed localization as a factor associated with disabling disease five years after diagnosis, with L3 being a higher risk factor. This meta-analysis showed a significantly higher risk of developing disabling disease at five years after initial diagnosis among patients younger than 40 years of age [OR = 2.47 (range, 1.74-3.51)], with initial steroid treatment for first flare [OR = 2.42 (range, 1.87-3.11)] and with perianal disease [OR = 2.00 (range, 1.41-2.85)].

Conclusion**:** Age at diagnosis, perianal disease, initial use of steroids and localization seem to be independent prognostic factors of disabling disease.

© 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.
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**Introduction**

Crohn’s disease (CD) occurs in equal proportion in both genders and its incidence has been growing worldwide in the last decades[1]. CD is a disabling disease affecting psychological, familial, and social dimensions of life[2]. Therefore, the need to develop a specific instrument able to evaluate disabilities and identify specific factors as predictors is paramount. This is particularly true since in the last decades the medical treatment options have been dramatically changed. Other strategies are now approaching, namely accelerate step-up and top-down treatment[3]. The top-down strategy is based on the very early use of intensive therapy (immunosuppressants and/or biologics) to maintain a good quality of life from the first flare-up of the disease and prevent any irreversible consequences[3]. Therefore, it is now crucial to identify simple clinical criteria at diagnosis to predict CD outcome. This work aims to systematically review the evidence with respect to predictive clinical prognostic factors for CD.

* 1. **Material and Methods**

A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies focusing on the factors that can predict the prognosis of different outcomes of CD was undertaken. The methodology included the definition of eligibility criteria, search strategies, study selection and characteristics, outcome measures, quantitative data synthesis and sensitivity analysis, methodological quality of studies, and statistical data analysis.

***Eligibility criteria***

Studies that described prognostic factors in CD were eligible for inclusion. The criteria for inclusion were studies with adult patients and CD written in English and studying association between clinical factors and disabling disease. Studies not in English, without available abstract, with genetic or serologic factors, biomarker studies, or those addressing diagnosis or quality of life were excluded.

***Search strategy***

The main method to search for the eligible articles was a broad literature search using PubMed with the following keywords and MeSH terms: "crohn disease"[MeSH Terms] OR "crohn"[All Fields] AND predictor[All Fields] OR predictors[All Fields] OR predict[All Fields] OR "prognostic factor"[All Fields] OR "prognostic factors"[All Fields]. Literature searches were also undertaken in Scopus database and ISI Web of Knowledge using the same search keywords: crohn disease AND (predictors OR predict OR prognostic factors).

***Study selection***

The studies were screened and selected by two reviewers. First, all titles and abstracts were read and the inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied. Second, the reviewers read the full text of all papers considered for inclusion after abstract selection, again applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

***Study characteristics***

The following properties of each study were recorded: total number of patients, prognostic variables, and percentage of patients with disabling disease.

***Outcome measures***

The aim of the study is to assess prognostic factors to predict disabling CD.

***Methodological quality of included studies***

The 16-item quality assessment tool (QATSDD), developed by Higgins *et al*[4], was used to assess the quality of the included studies. This tool includes 16 items, scored between 0 and 3, and can be applied to different types of studies using different approaches. However, two of the items were not evaluated as they only address qualitative studies, hence we only considered a maximum score of 42.

***Statistical analysis***

Statistical evidence of effects is presented as described in the original studies. Meta-analysis of effects was undertaken for the disabling disease outcome using odds ratio (OR) to assess the effect of the different factors in the outcome. The statistical method used was Mantel-Haenszel for fixed effects. All included estimates are recomputed from original articles descriptions, potentially resulting in slightly different values. All reported *P*-values are 2-sided with a significance level of 5%. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed with the *I*2 statistic; values higher than 50% indicate a substantial level of heterogeneity[5]. RevMan v5.1 (The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011) was used to calculate OR and 95% confidence intervals for disabling disease and to derived forest plots showing the results of individual studies and pooled analysis.

* 1. **Results**

***Search and study selection***

A total of 913 articles were identified using the search strategy. After reading all titles and abstracts, 853 articles were excluded (Figure 1). Sixty studies were reviewed in detail and three articles were included in the study. A new search of the literature focused on the outcome was made in order to find other papers that could have been missed by the generic search. The global QATSDD scores ranged between 18 and 22. The main characteristics of the studies are summarized in Table 1.

***Predicting factors of disabling disease***

Beaugerie *et al*[6] and Loly *et al*[7] define disabling disease by the presence of at least one of the following criteria: two steroid courses required and/or steroid dependency; further hospitalization after diagnosis for flare up or complications of the disease; chronic symptoms (diarrhea with nocturnal and/or urgent stools, intensive abdominal pain due to intestinal obstruction, fever, fatigue attributable to the disease); joint pain; painful uveitis or pyoderma gangrenous for 12 mo within the five year study; immunosuppressive therapy and intestinal resection or surgical operation for perianal disease. Yang *et al*[8] defined CD as disabling if patients satisfy at least one of the following criteria: require two or more steroids courses and/or steroid dependency; need immunosuppressive therapy; intestinal resection or surgical operation for perianal disease and hospitalization after diagnosis for the treatment of acute exacerbation, or complication of the disease.

According to Beaugerie *et al*[6], 957 of 1123 patients (85.2%) were classified with disabling disease. With a sample of 361 patients, Loly *et al*[7] found 209 patients (57.9%) with disabling disease, while Yang *et al*[8] found 81.2% of 207 patients with disabling disease five years after diagnosis, and 71% of patients already had disabling disease two years after diagnosis.

Different factors were found that could predict disabling disease, namely age at diagnosis, use of steroids, perianal disease, and localization.

***Age at diagnosis***

Beaugerie *et al*[6] found age at diagnosis as a factor associated with disabling disease. Patients less than 40 years old had a higher risk of developing disabling disease than older patients [OR = 2.1 (range, 1.3-3.6)] five years after the diagnosis. Yang *et al*[8] also showed that patients under 40 had a higher risk of developing disabling disease [OR = 3.56 (range, 1.74-7.30)].

Results of studies comparing younger patients (under 40) with older patients (over 40) are shown in Figure 2A. A fixed effects model shows that younger patients had a higher risk of disabling disease five years after diagnosis [OR = 2.47 (range, 1.74-3.51)]. There was no evidence of statistical heterogeneity among the studies (*I*2 = 26%).

***Steroids for treatment of first flare***

Both Beaugerie *et al*[6] and Loly *et al*[7] show patients who had initial requirement of steroids for treating the first flare had a higher risk of developing disabling disease five years after diagnosis when compared to those who did not require treatment [OR = 3.1 (range, 2.2-4.4) and OR = 1.7 (range, 1.02-2.7) respectively]. Yang *et al*[8] found similar results two years after diagnosis [OR = 2.142 (range, 1.068-4.298)].

Results of these different studies comparing patients with and without steroid requirement treatment are presented in Figure 2B. A fixed effects model shows that patients with steroid treatment had a higher risk of disabling disease five years after diagnosis [OR = 2.41 (range, 1.87-3.10)]. Significant heterogeneity was found among the studies (*I*2 = 66%). Nevertheless, all studies found a higher risk of disabling disease for patients on steroids.

***Perianal disease***

In all three studies, patients with perianal disease had a higher risk of developing disabling disease five years after diagnosis when compared to patients without perianal disease: Beaugerie *et al*[6] [OR = 1.8 (1.2-2.8)], Loly *et al*[7] [OR = 2.6 (1.4-5.1)], Yang *et al*[8] (two years after diagnosis) [OR = 5.433 (1.585-18.620)].

The comparison between patients with and without perianal disease is shown in Figure 2C. A fixed effects model shows the presence of perianal disease as a high risk of disabling disease five years after diagnosis [OR = 2.00 (1.41-3.85)]. There was no evidence of statistical heterogeneity among the studies (*I*2 = 48%).

***Localization***

One study associated disabling disease to the localization of the disease. In this study, patients with L3 localization had a higher risk of developing disabling disease five years after the diagnosis [OR = 1.74 (1.06-2.8)][7].

* 1. **Discussion**

CD is a chronic disease with no known medical or surgical cure, requiring several appointments and hospitalizations for those afflicted. There are several reasons stressing the importance of prognostic factors: (1) Recent available drugs, namely anti-tumour necrosis factor (TNF), having the potential of inducting mucosal healing and prolonged clinical remission; (2) Mucosal healing has been considered a therapeutic goal; (3) Early therapeutic interventions are followed by a better outcome. Therefore, it is imperative that therapeutic options are optimized.

The present systematic review and meta-analysis presented some of the factors that could help clinicians identify risk groups for disabling CD. Age, perianal disease, the use of steroids and localization were all associated with disabling disease. Although other markers can help clinicians to predict disease course of CD, namely genetic, serologic and endoscopic findings, we limited this meta-analysis to demographic and clinical characteristics due to feasibility to apply at diagnosis at the bedside.

Three studies address disabling disease and used similar definitions, although in Yang *et al*[8] the presence of chronic symptoms like diarrhea, fever, fatigue, was not considered. All studies were retrospective and the number of patients in each study ranged from 207 to 1 123[6,8]. It was clear that a large number of patients had disabling disease (range, 59%-81%), which gives an indication of the severity of the disease. Moreover, the study with the highest percentage of disabling disease included the least amount of defining characteristics[8]. Our meta-analysis showed that patients under 40 years old and patients with an initial requirement of steroids, or patients with perianal disease had a higher risk of having disabling disease five years following initial diagnosis. These results are in line with the three studies used in the meta-analysis[6-8]. Although the definition of disabling disease in Yang *et al*[8] is slightly different, this study had a lower weight in the final result of the meta-analysis, hence limiting the possible bias. Even though the effect of age at diagnosis was clear in the meta-analysis; further studies are necessary to better assess relative CD risk, including an evaluation of more patients diagnosed after the age threshold. We call into question some of the recent points included in disability definition, namely steroids following the first flare, the need for immunosuppressants, and surgery. The percentage of patients treated with steroids in the first flare (65%) in Beaugerie *et al*[6] was very similar to the percentage of patients who received steroids within in the first year of disease in the North-European population-based study, however this only reflects the step-up strategy, and the population on immunosuppressants was very low[9]. Markowitz *et al*[10] showed children requiring steroids for the treatment of the first flare-up that a very early use of 6-Mercaptopurine was associated with steroid sparing and a more favorable clinical outcome in the 18-mo period following diagnosis. Similar results were observed in those treated with anti-TNF in the first two years of disease[11]. Finally, the role of early surgery in limiting ileal disease with regard to CD prognosis is also debatable. In conclusion, the risk factors analyzed in this meta-analysis should be considered when new scores or approaches are taken concerning risk factors in CD outcome, particularly when more early therapeutic approaches are imminent.

The QATSDD scale, developed by Sirriyet *et al*[5], allows the comparison of the quality of the included papers even when their designs are different. The included papers consistently presented low quality scores, especially considering the representativeness of the sample and the absence of a critical discussion of strengths and limitations.

The results of this study may need further confirmation due to the small number of reviewed studies and their low quality (maximum QATSDD score of 22 out of 42). Nevertheless, this work presents a step-forward in the definition of clinical predictors for disabling CD, exposing their relevance and impact in disease prognosis.

In summary, this review and meta-analysis showed that age, perianal disease and the use of steroids are associated with disabling disease. The use of these factors in building predictive models for CD prognosis could enhance the initial clinical approach, and therefore improve the clinical outcome of patients with severe disease. However, more elaborate and precise definitions of disabling and severe disease are needed.

**COMMENTS**

***Background***

Crohn’s disease (CD) occurs in equal proportion in both genders and its incidence has been growing worldwide in the last decades. CD is a disabling disease affecting psychological, familial, and social dimensions of life. Therefore, the need to develop a specific instrument able to evaluate disabilities and identify specific factors as predictors is paramount.

***Research frontiers***

The top-down strategy is based on the very early use of intensive therapy (immunosuppressants and/or biologics) to maintain a good quality of life from the first flare-up of the disease and prevent any irreversible consequences. Therefore, it is now crucial to identify simple clinical criteria at diagnosis to predict CD outcome.

***Innovations and breakthroughs***

This work aims to systematically review the evidence with respect to predictive clinical prognostic factors for CD.

***Applications***

This review and meta-analysis showed that age, perianal disease and the use of steroids are associated with disabling disease. The use of these factors in building predictive models for CD prognosis could enhance the initial clinical approach, and therefore improve the clinical outcome of patients with severe disease. However, more elaborate and precise definitions of disabling and severe disease are needed.

***Peer review***

It is one of the first work searching the role of CD in disability of the patient. The authors performed an extensive review of multiple manuscript related with the topic. The manuscript is very well prepared and written and can be accepted for publication.
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**Figure 1 Flowchart of the selection process for this meta-analysis.**

**Figure 2 Predictor of disabling disease.** A: Age at diagnosis as a predictor of disabling disease; B: The use of steroids for treatment of the first flare as a predictor of disabling disease; C:Perianal disease as a predictor of disabling disease.

Table 1 **Characteristics of the studies**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ref. | Country | Sample **size (*n*)** | Type of **study** | Disabling | Follow**-up** **(yr)** | Factor | QATSDD |
| Beaugerie *et al*[6] | France | 1123 | Retrospective | 85.2% | 5 | Age (under 40) | 22 |
| Steroids for 1st flare |
| Perianal disease |
| Loly *et al*[7] | Belgium | 361 | Retrospective | 57.9% | 5 | Steroids for 1st flare | 18 |
| Perianal disease |
| L3 |
| Yang *et al*[8] | China | 207 | Retrospective | 71.0% | 2 | Steroids for 1st flare | 21 |
| Perianal disease |
| 80.2% | 5 | Age (under 40) |

QATSDD: 16-item quality assessment tool.