

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS



January 31, 2015

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in word format (15320-Review.doc).

Title: Usefulness of telepsychiatry: A critical evaluation of videoconferencing-based approaches

Author: Subho Chakrabarti

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Psychiatry*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 15320

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Format has been updated.

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer:

Reviewer 00551176

(1) Page 19, in the elderly section: something seems to be missing from this sentence: 'However, most studies are not adequately designed, and there are very RCTs. [60, 69, 82, 139- 141]'

Content on page 19 changed.

(2) Page 22, line 15: Videoconferencing, instead of 'Videconferencing'. Page 22, line 20: counselling instead of 'counseling'.

Content on page 22 corrected.

(3) Header of Table 2: videoconferencing-based interventions instead of 'videconferencing-based intervenventions'

Headers of tables corrected.

(4) For indicating in-person treatment two different methods (IP and F2F) are used in the Tables. I recommend unifying it.

Changed to face-face treatment (F2F) throughout the text and tables.

3 References and typesetting were corrected.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Psychiatry*.

Yours sincerely,

Subho Chakrabarti