

Cell therapy from bench to bedside: Hepatocytes from fibroblasts - the truth and myth of transdifferentiation

Madhusudana Girija Sanal

Madhusudana Girija Sanal, Department of Radiation Oncology, Marion Bessin Liver Research Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461, United States

Author contributions: Sanal MG conceived the issues which formed the content of the manuscript and wrote the manuscript.

Supported by IIP fellowship (2013-2014), Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, through the generosity of the Gruss Lipper Family Foundation.

Conflict-of-interest: The author has no conflict of interests.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Correspondence to: Madhusudana Girija Sanal, MBBS, PhD, Department of Radiation Oncology, Marion Bessin Liver Research Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Room 323, Ullmann Building, 1300 Morris Park Avenue, Bronx, NY 10461, United States. sanalmg@gmail.com
 Telephone: +1-347-3894440
 Fax: +1-718-4303099

Received: December 4, 2014
 Peer-review started: December 5, 2014
 First decision: March 10, 2015
 Revised: March 24, 2015
 Accepted: May 7, 2015
 Article in press: May 7, 2015
 Published online: June 7, 2015

Abstract

Hepatocyte transplantation is an alternative to liver transplantation in certain disorders such as inherited

liver diseases and liver failure. It is a relatively less complicated surgical procedure, and has the advantage that it can be repeated several times if unsuccessful. Another advantage is that hepatocytes can be isolated from partly damaged livers which are not suitable for liver transplantation. Despite these advantages hepatocyte transplantation is less popular. Important issues are poor engraftment of the transplanted cells and the scarcity of donor hepatocytes. Generation of "hepatocyte like cells"/iHeps from embryonic stem cells (ES) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) by directed differentiation is an emerging solution to the latter issue. Direct conversion or trans-differentiation of fibroblasts to "hepatocyte like cells" is another way which is, being explored. However this method has several inherent and technical disadvantages compared to the directed differentiation from ES or iPSC. There are several methods claiming to be "highly efficient" for generating "highly functional" "hepatocyte like cells". Currently different groups are working independently and coming up with differentiation protocols and each group claiming an advantage for their protocol. Directed differentiation protocols need to be designed, compared, analyzed and tweaked systematically and logically than empirically. There is a need for a well-coordinated global initiative comparable to the Human Genome Project to achieve this goal in the near future.

Key words: Trans differentiation; i-Heps; Fibroblasts; Induced pluripotent stem cells; Embryonic stem cells; Hepatocyte like cells; Telomere/telomerase; Hepatocyte transplantation; differentiation; Inherited/genetic liver disease; Cell therapy; Gene therapy

© The Author(s) 2015. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Hepatocyte transplantation is an alternative for liver transplantation in chronic liver disease patients for a long term cure. There is a scarcity of donor liver and hepatocytes. Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) derived hepatocytes and hepatocytes generated by

transdifferentiation are two possibilities. iPSC derived hepatocytes often fail to engraft upon transplantation. We need to define methods to evaluate and compare efficiency of differentiation, standards and clear quality definition for hepatocyte like cells. More comprehensive analysis of the RNAs and proteome is required. Methods to compare and analyze the expression profiles, standards and references to be compared with need to be defined. There is a need for a well-coordinated global initiative comparable to the scale of the Human Genome Project to achieve this goal in the near future.

Sanal MG. Cell therapy from bench to bedside: Hepatocytes from fibroblasts - the truth and myth of transdifferentiation. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; 21(21): 6427-6433 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v21/i21/6427.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i21.6427>

HEPATOCYTE TRANSPLANTATION OVER LIVER TRANSPLANTATION

Liver transplantation is the only long term option in some inherited metabolic liver diseases, acute liver failure and most end stage liver diseases^[1,2]. Hepatocyte transplantation is an alternative for these patients for a long term cure if not as a bridge to regeneration or liver transplantation^[3,4]. Hepatocyte transplantation has the advantage that it can be performed more than once on the same patient. Hepatocytes for this procedure can be isolated from cadaveric liver or living donor liver tissue which are not suitable for liver transplantation for various reasons such as damage to the blood vessels, localized hypoxic damage or local lesions. Another advantage of hepatocyte transplantation is that the procedure is less complicated and the mortality and morbidity is expected to be much lower compared to liver transplantation. Moreover, even if the patient receives the hepatocyte transplant and it does not work, they can be considered for orthotopic liver transplantation as if they never received the hepatocyte transplant. Shortage of donor liver is one of the major limiting factors in both liver transplantation and hepatocyte transplantation^[3].

APPLICATIONS OF HEPATOCYTE TRANSPLANTATION

Conditions benefitting from hepatocyte transplantation can be classified into two major categories (1) where transplanted cells rescue the liver function and help the patient survive (example: acute liver failure resulting from toxins). Here hepatocyte transplantation might actually work because the host liver suffered a massive damage and loss of hepatocytes and transplanted hepatocyte help with the liver functions; and (2) an

inherited disease of the liver. Example: Hemophilia, Wilson's disease, Urea cycle disorders, α 1 antitrypsin deficiency, Crigler Najjar syndrome. Here the patient's liver cells have a gene defect which does not allow them to synthesize a protein in its correct form or function. Here the disease can be cured only if we can replace certain percentage the patient's defective hepatocytes with healthy hepatocytes or gene corrected (example by gene therapy using viral vectors or genome editing tools such as ZFN, TALENs^[5] or CRISPR-Cas9) hepatocytes in sufficient quantities to allow normal liver functions. This is a very difficult task to achieve and hence a major road block in the technique of hepatocyte transplantation because the transplanted cells would engraft and repopulate the host liver only if we could provide them a selective advantage. This is usually achieved by inflicting a physical or chemical damage to the host liver. However, the methods for damaging hepatocytes are not safe and therefore not clinically acceptable.

HEPATOCYTES FROM PLURIPOTENT STEMS CELLS AND FIBROBLASTS - THE TRUTH AND MYTH

Shortage of donor hepatocytes is another major issue in hepatocyte transplantation. Generating hepatocytes from embryonic stem cells (ES) or induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) (or even less known entities such as very small embryonic-like stem cells) is an exciting solution to this conundrum^[4-7]. There are several protocols which claim generation of hepatocyte like cells from directed differentiation of ES, iPSC or other stem cell types^[6,8-23]. There is no doubt about the future promise of hepatocytes derived from pluripotent stem cells (such as ES, iPSCs or SCNT/iPSCNT), however worldwide there is no unambiguous data to support the usefulness of ES or iPSC derived "hepatocyte like cells" in their current form (using the "highly efficient" and "state of the art" protocols), in animal models or humans^[4,5,23-28]. This is because despite the "extensive" and "excellent" *in vitro* characterized cellular, biochemical, metabolic, physiological and microscopic properties (such as various surface and structural proteins, transcriptional factors, secretion of albumin, clotting factors, liver enzymes, active bile acid and drug transporters, lipoprotein mediated lipid uptake/secretion, glycogen accumulation, mild to moderate cytochrome activity, electron microscopic demonstration of subcellular structures characteristic for hepatocytes) these cells fail to integrate in host livers upon transplantation except under extreme selection pressure in certain limited animal models such as genetically engineered fumaryl hydroxylase deficient knock-out (FAH-KO) immunodeficient mice^[29,30], urokinase-type plasminogen activator-severe combined immunodeficiency [uPA(+/-)-SCID] mice^[31]. Alternatively host hepatocytes are

intentionally damaged by chemical agents such as dimethylnitrosamine^[32] or physical agents such as radiation^[33] such that the transplanted hepatocytes will have a selective advantage over the host hepatocytes. Thus host liver damage would facilitate engraftment and repopulation of the transplanted cells. All these techniques will induce chronic liver damage and therefore have a very limited clinical value (e.g., clinical trial # NCT01465100)^[34].

The current hepatocyte like cells are likely to be a mixture of immature cells which express several markers belonging predominantly to the endodermal lineage which includes many liver transcription factors and liver genes. Many of the current iPSC protocols claim high efficiency, however there is no standard means to compare various protocols. Many of these protocols decide efficiency of differentiation by calculating the percentage of cells expressing one or two hepatocyte markers such as albumin, HNF-4 α and ASGPR1. This method is not entirely correct because only a few markers are evaluated and many investigators do not typically look for the quantification and co-expression of various factors. Similarly, many investigators do not look for markers which are not typically expressed in liver (for example pancreas or lung specific markers). It is possible that these ES/iPSC derived hepatocytes are somewhere "lost" with respect to their identity along their way to hepatocytes^[22]. Finally, much of the published work is dependent on immunofluorescent techniques for the determination of differentiation efficiency, however, this can be inherently flawed as many immunofluorescent techniques are associated with errors from various sources such as, nonspecific binding, variability in fixation procedures, lack of proper controls and observer bias^[35].

POPULAR ANIMAL MODELS FOR HEPATOCYTE REPOPULATION - THE ISSUES

In FAH model, part of the mechanism of engraftment and repopulation is the fusion of the transplanted cells with host cells which are deficient in a critical enzyme necessary for hepatocyte survival. Similarly, the uPA model suffers from spontaneous (or cell fusion induced deletion upon xenotransplantation) deletion of the offending uPA gene^[36-39]. This implies that non-liver cells can fuse with host hepatocytes (resulting in a compensated phenotype) and repopulate the host liver. The fusion is expected to result in unstable or metastable intermediate stages which may acquire some degree of genomic stability by spontaneous deletions, duplications or recombination of the genetic material. Therefore repopulation of FAH-KO/uPA mouse liver cannot be considered as a proof of hepatocyte identity or quality and one needs to

be skeptical towards the different claims for "highly efficient" generation of hepatocyte like cells from ES/iPSC. Spontaneous repopulation of liver with transplanted hepatocytes was reported in mutant α 1-antitrypsin protein (AAT-Z) expressing mice even in the absence of severe liver injury^[40]. However there is little information available in the literature on the post-repopulation genetic/epigenetic changes in transplanted cells.

HEPATOCYTE LIKE CELLS - TRANSDIFFERENTIATED FIBROBLASTS VS IPSC/ES

It is amidst these unsubstantiated claims of iPSC derived "highly functional" hepatocyte like cells, claims of trans-differentiated hepatocytes rose to the limelight^[19,35,41-51]. The proponents of transdifferentiation (from fibroblasts, the preferred source for most investigators) claim 'highly efficient conversion of fibroblasts to hepatocytes' by ectopic expression of a combination of transcription factors (or using a cocktail of small molecules, growth factors and cytokines). It has been claimed that these trans-differentiated fibroblasts are better than hepatocyte like cells from iPSC because they are less likely to form tumors (such as teratomas). They point to the potential of iPSCs to form teratomas. Another reason they cite is that oncogenes such as c-myc are used in the generation of iPSCs. These are pithless arguments for the following reasons: (1) it is the essential and natural property of all pluripotent stem cells to form teratomas and teratomas are benign tumors^[52]. All the pluripotent cells in a morula which would give rise to a healthy offspring have the potential to form teratomas. In fact teratoma formation is the gold standard for the quality of pluripotency (in humans)^[53]; and (2) all oncogenes are essential genes for normal development and function of an organism. Oncogenes can be oncogenic or anti-oncogenic depending on the cellular and extracellular genetic and epigenetic context which is partly dictated by the microenvironment. For example c-Myc can induce apoptosis in hepatocytes instead of proliferation^[54,55]. Another argument favoring the trans-differentiated hepatocytes is that fibroblasts the common "raw material" for the generation of "trans-differentiated hepatocyte like cells" are easily available. This is essentially wrong because ES/iPSC can proliferate indefinitely (by definition) *in-vitro* and therefore several fold more fibroblasts are required to generate a similar quantity of "hepatocyte like cells" through transdifferentiation considering the fact that fibroblast proliferation is limited by Hayflick's limit. I would expect hepatocyte like cells generated from iPSC to be better than directly trans-differentiated cells because during iPSC generation the somatic cells are brought down to a ground state and this brings more epigenetic uniformity compared to transdifferentiation where hepatocytes

might carry a stronger epigenetic memory of the parent cell. This can be explained by the simple analogy that scrap metal can be melted and remolded to new goods. New items can also be made by compression at high temperatures without going through the melting step. We also know that items made by compression will be less homogenous and will retain some properties of the material from which it originated. List below are the reasons why hepatocyte like cells derived from iPSC are expected to be better than those derived directly from fibroblasts: (1) fibroblasts are likely to retain an epigenetic memory which could be stronger compared to iPSC derived i-Heps because during the process of generation of iPSCs, cells are pushed to the ground state (at least partially if not completely); (2) several generations of iPSC (passages) will improve and ensure uniformity and quality (compared to trans-differentiated fibroblasts as once they become hepatocyte like cells, they will either not proliferate or their proliferation is limited because any differentiated cell would eventually undergo senescence). This could be the reason why extended passaging of iPSC clones improves the efficiency of differentiation^[56]; (3) iPSC culture can be scaled up to industrial levels using bioreactors^[5] but this is not possible with fibroblasts because they will undergo senescence issue; (4) iPSCs are similar to ES cells in their pluripotency and clonal nature. The clonal nature and pluripotent stem cell properties of iPSC will allow intense screening- morphological, physiological, genetic, epigenetic and functional, of iPSC cells to insure that the best clones are selected based on the screening. Thus the uniformity, genetic quality and safety can be assured for cells used in transplantation/clinics^[57,58]. This is not possible with hepatocytes from trans-differentiated fibroblasts because their proliferative capacity is limited (and they are not clonal) and are highly heterogenous to start with. Single cell genomics and epigenomics are making tremendous progress and the sequencing costs seems to follow the Moore's law^[59]; (5) telomere "resetting" happens in iPSC but not in trans-differentiated cells. This will help them from becoming senescent^[60]; (6) huge number of starting fibroblasts are required to make trans-differentiated hepatocytes. More starting cells means more heterogeneity and more somatic mutations (see below)^[57,58]. As mentioned before, fibroblasts cannot be expanded beyond Hayflick's limit but iPSC has no such limit^[5,61]; (7) as noted earlier, heterogeneity and mutation content of trans-differentiated hepatocyte like cells will be more because they originate from more fibroblasts^[58]. Theoretical mutation rate is 10^{-7} per gene per cell^[62,63]. One can screen iPSC for potentially harmful mutations, as noted before, because they can be clonally selected and expanded without a limit in practice; and (8) the cell cycle of stem cells, especially pluripotent stem cells are different and has special mechanisms for more faithful DNA replication and repair than somatic cells ensuring better genetic reproducibility

and hence lesser number of mutations^[64-69].

To conclude we have a long way to our goal of generating hepatocytes by directed differentiation from ES/iPSCs or by transdifferentiation from somatic cells. Currently for many reasons iPSC derived hepatocytes are superior to hepatocyte like cells from transdifferentiated fibroblasts or other somatic cells.

CALL FOR A UNIFIED EFFORT SIMILAR TO THE HUMAN GENOME PROJECT FOR ELUCIDATING DEVELOPMENTAL PATHWAYS AND SIGNALING

Before we compare and promote one method or the other for generating hepatocyte like cells, we need to define methods/protocols to evaluate and compare efficiency of differentiation, we need to define the standards and more importantly a clear definition for hepatocyte like cells. A more comprehensive analysis of the epigenome, RNAs and proteome of the different hepatocyte like cells are required to set the standards- the gold standard of comparison being human primary hepatocytes. Methods to compare and analyze the expression profiles, standards and references to be compared with need to be defined.

Currently different groups are working independently and coming up with differentiation protocols and each group claiming an advantage for their protocol. Directed differentiation protocols need to be compared, analyzed and tweaked systematically and logically than empirically. This is true not only for hepatocytes but also for several other cell types such as cardiomyocytes, neurons, retinal cells, cartilage, macrophages, endometrial or germ cells, which could eventually be used in clinics. There is a need for a well-coordinated global initiative comparable to the scale of the Human Genome Project^[70] to achieve this goal in the near future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is thankful to Dr. Mitradas Panicker for the useful discussions. The author is indebted to Mr. Alan Alfieri for carefully reading the manuscript and improving it remarkably.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Muraca M**, Gerunda G, Neri D, Vilei MT, Granato A, Feltracco P, Meroni M, Giron G, Burlina AB. Hepatocyte transplantation as a treatment for glycogen storage disease type 1a. *Lancet* 2002; **359**: 317-318 [PMID: 11830200 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07529-3]
- 2 **Bumgardner GL**, Fasola C, Sutherland DE. Prospects for hepatocyte transplantation. *Hepatology* 1988; **8**: 1158-1161 [PMID: 3047038 DOI: 10.1002/hep.1840080533]
- 3 **Puppi J**, Strom SC, Hughes RD, Bansal S, Castell JV, Dagher I, Ellis EC, Nowak G, Ericzon BG, Fox IJ, Gómez-Lechón MJ, Guha C, Gupta S, Mitry RR, Ohashi K, Ott M, Reid LM, Roy-Chowdhury J, Sokal E, Weber A, Dhawan A. Improving the techniques for

- human hepatocyte transplantation: report from a consensus meeting in London. *Cell Transplant* 2012; **21**: 1-10 [PMID: 21457616 DOI: 10.3727/096368911X566208]
- 4 **Sanal MG.** A highly efficient method for generation of therapeutic quality human pluripotent stem cells by using naive induced pluripotent stem cells nucleus for nuclear transfer. *SAGE Open Med* 2014; **2**: 2050312114550375 [DOI: 10.1177/2050312114550375]
 - 5 **Sanal MG.** Personalized Medicine in Cell Therapy and Transplantation. Omics for Personalized Medicine. India: Springer, 2013: 775-799 [DOI: 10.1007/978-81-322-1184-6_33]
 - 6 **Yasunaga M,** Tada S, Torikai-Nishikawa S, Nakano Y, Okada M, Jakt LM, Nishikawa S, Chiba T, Era T, Nishikawa S. Induction and monitoring of definitive and visceral endoderm differentiation of mouse ES cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2005; **23**: 1542-1550 [PMID: 16311587 DOI: 10.1038/nbt1167]
 - 7 **Chen ZH,** Lv X, Dai H, Liu C, Lou D, Chen R, Zou GM. Hepatic regenerative potential of mouse bone marrow very small embryonic-like stem cells. *J Cell Physiol* 2015; **230**: 1852-1861 [PMID: 25545634 DOI: 10.1002/jcp.24913]
 - 8 **Lavon N,** Benvenisty N. Study of hepatocyte differentiation using embryonic stem cells. *J Cell Biochem* 2005; **96**: 1193-1202 [PMID: 16211581 DOI: 10.1002/jcb.20590]
 - 9 **Kang XQ,** Zang WJ, Song TS, Xu XL, Yu XJ, Li DL, Meng KW, Wu SL, Zhao ZY. Rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells differentiate into hepatocytes in vitro. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005; **11**: 3479-3484 [PMID: 15948259]
 - 10 **Soto-Gutiérrez A,** Kobayashi N, Rivas-Carrillo JD, Navarro-Alvarez N, Zhao D, Okitsu T, Noguchi H, Basma H, Tabata Y, Chen Y, Tanaka K, Narushima M, Miki A, Ueda T, Jun HS, Yoon JW, Lebkowski J, Tanaka N, Fox IJ. Reversal of mouse hepatic failure using an implanted liver-assist device containing ES cell-derived hepatocytes. *Nat Biotechnol* 2006; **24**: 1412-1419 [PMID: 17086173]
 - 11 **Gouon-Evans V,** Boussemart L, Gadue P, Nierhoff D, Koehler CI, Kubo A, Shafritz DA, Keller G. BMP-4 is required for hepatic specification of mouse embryonic stem cell-derived definitive endoderm. *Nat Biotechnol* 2006; **24**: 1402-1411 [PMID: 17086172 DOI: 10.1038/nbt1258]
 - 12 **Banas A,** Teratani T, Yamamoto Y, Tokuhara M, Takeshita F, Quinn G, Okochi H, Ochiya T. Adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells as a source of human hepatocytes. *Hepatology* 2007; **46**: 219-228 [PMID: 17596885 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21704]
 - 13 **Hay DC,** Zhao D, Fletcher J, Hewitt ZA, McLean D, Urruticoechea-Uriguen A, Black JR, Elcombe C, Ross JA, Wolf R, Cui W. Efficient differentiation of hepatocytes from human embryonic stem cells exhibiting markers recapitulating liver development in vivo. *Stem Cells* 2008; **26**: 894-902 [PMID: 18238852 DOI: 10.1634/stemcells.2007-0718]
 - 14 **Song Z,** Cai J, Liu Y, Zhao D, Yong J, Duo S, Song X, Guo Y, Zhao Y, Qin H, Yin X, Wu C, Che J, Lu S, Ding M, Deng H. Efficient generation of hepatocyte-like cells from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Res* 2009; **19**: 1233-1242 [PMID: 19736565 DOI: 10.1038/cr.2009.107]
 - 15 **Basma H,** Soto-Gutiérrez A, Yannam GR, Liu L, Ito R, Yamamoto T, Ellis E, Carson SD, Sato S, Chen Y, Muirhead D, Navarro-Alvarez N, Wong RJ, Roy-Chowdhury J, Platt JL, Mercer DF, Miller JD, Strom SC, Kobayashi N, Fox IJ. Differentiation and transplantation of human embryonic stem cell-derived hepatocytes. *Gastroenterology* 2009; **136**: 990-999 [PMID: 19026649]
 - 16 **Si-Tayeb K,** Noto FK, Nagaoka M, Li J, Battle MA, Duris C, North PE, Dalton S, Duncan SA. Highly efficient generation of human hepatocyte-like cells from induced pluripotent stem cells. *Hepatology* 2010; **51**: 297-305 [PMID: 19998274 DOI: 10.1002/hep.23354]
 - 17 **Touboul T,** Hannan NR, Corbineau S, Martinez A, Martinet C, Branchereau S, Mainot S, Strick-Marchand H, Pedersen R, Di Santo J, Weber A, Vallier L. Generation of functional hepatocytes from human embryonic stem cells under chemically defined conditions that recapitulate liver development. *Hepatology* 2010; **51**: 1754-1765 [PMID: 20301097 DOI: 10.1002/hep.23506]
 - 18 **Sullivan GJ,** Hay DC, Park IH, Fletcher J, Hannoun Z, Payne CM, Dalgetty D, Black JR, Ross JA, Samuel K, Wang G, Daley GQ, Lee JH, Church GM, Forbes SJ, Iredale JP, Wilmot I. Generation of functional human hepatic endoderm from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Hepatology* 2010; **51**: 329-335 [PMID: 19877180 DOI: 10.1002/hep.23335]
 - 19 **Huang P,** He Z, Ji S, Sun H, Xiang D, Liu C, Hu Y, Wang X, Hui L. Induction of functional hepatocyte-like cells from mouse fibroblasts by defined factors. *Nature* 2011; **475**: 386-389 [PMID: 21562492 DOI: 10.1038/nature10116]
 - 20 **Chen YF,** Tseng CY, Wang HW, Kuo HC, Yang VW, Lee OK. Rapid generation of mature hepatocyte-like cells from human induced pluripotent stem cells by an efficient three-step protocol. *Hepatology* 2012; **55**: 1193-1203 [PMID: 22095466 DOI: 10.1002/hep.24790]
 - 21 **Wang Q,** Wang H, Sun Y, Li SW, Donelan W, Chang LJ, Jin S, Terada N, Cheng H, Reeves WH, Yang LJ. The reprogrammed pancreatic progenitor-like intermediate state of hepatic cells is more susceptible to pancreatic beta cell differentiation. *J Cell Sci* 2013; **126**: 3638-3648 [PMID: 23750005 DOI: 10.1242/jcs.124925]
 - 22 **Schwartz RE,** Fleming HE, Khetani SR, Bhatia SN. Pluripotent stem cell-derived hepatocyte-like cells. *Biotechnol Adv* 2014; **32**: 504-513 [PMID: 24440487 DOI: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2014.01.003]
 - 23 **Berger DR,** Ware BR, Davidson MD, Allsup SR, Khetani SR. Enhancing the functional maturity of induced pluripotent stem cell-derived human hepatocytes by controlled presentation of cell-cell interactions in vitro. *Hepatology* 2015; **61**: 1370-1381 [PMID: 25421237]
 - 24 **Fox IJ,** Daley GQ, Goldman SA, Huard J, Kamp TJ, Trucco M. Stem cell therapy. Use of differentiated pluripotent stem cells as replacement therapy for treating disease. *Science* 2014; **345**: 1247391 [PMID: 25146295]
 - 25 **Kobayashi T,** Yamaguchi T, Hamanaka S, Kato-Itoh M, Yamazaki Y, Ibata M, Sato H, Lee YS, Usui J, Knisely AS, Hirabayashi M, Nakauchi H. Generation of rat pancreas in mouse by interspecific blastocyst injection of pluripotent stem cells. *Cell* 2010; **142**: 787-799 [PMID: 20813264 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.07.039]
 - 26 **Takebe T,** Sekine K, Enomura M, Koike H, Kimura M, Ogaeri T, Zhang RR, Ueno Y, Zheng YW, Koike N, Aoyama S, Adachi Y, Taniguchi H. Vascularized and functional human liver from an iPSC-derived organ bud transplant. *Nature* 2013; **499**: 481-484 [PMID: 23823721 DOI: 10.1038/nature12271]
 - 27 **Inoue H,** Nagata N, Kurokawa H, Yamanaka S. iPS cells: a game changer for future medicine. *EMBO J* 2014; **33**: 409-417 [PMID: 24500035 DOI: 10.1002/embj.201387098]
 - 28 **Moszczyński P,** Moszczyński P, Sułowicz W. Lysosomal enzymes in peripheral blood lymphocytes of patients with gastric cancer. *Folia Haematol Int Mag Klin Morphol Blutforsch* 1986; **113**: 460-465 [PMID: 2431966 DOI: 10.1016/j.stemcr.2013.10.002]
 - 29 **Espejel S,** Roll GR, McLaughlin KJ, Lee AY, Zhang JY, Laird DJ, Okita K, Yamanaka S, Willenbring H. Induced pluripotent stem cell-derived hepatocytes have the functional and proliferative capabilities needed for liver regeneration in mice. *J Clin Invest* 2010; **120**: 3120-3126 [PMID: 20739754 DOI: 10.1172/JCI43267]
 - 30 **Azuma H,** Paulk N, Ranade A, Dorrell C, Al-Dhalimy M, Ellis E, Strom S, Kay MA, Finegold M, Grompe M. Robust expansion of human hepatocytes in Fah^{-/-}/Rag2^{-/-}/Il2rg^{-/-} mice. *Nat Biotechnol* 2007; **25**: 903-910 [PMID: 17664939 DOI: 10.1038/nbt1326]
 - 31 **Carpentier A,** Tesfaye A, Chu V, Nimgaonkar I, Zhang F, Lee SB, Thorgeirsson SS, Feinstone SM, Liang TJ. Engrafted human stem cell-derived hepatocytes establish an infectious HCV murine model. *J Clin Invest* 2014; **124**: 4953-4964 [PMID: 25295540]
 - 32 **Liu H,** Kim Y, Sharkis S, Marchionni L, Jang YY. In vivo liver regeneration potential of human induced pluripotent stem cells from diverse origins. *Sci Transl Med* 2011; **3**: 82ra39 [PMID: 21562231 DOI: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3002376]
 - 33 **Aiyer A,** Asp P, Zang W, Dong X, Neufeld D, Alfieri A, Sanal MG, Guha C. Preparative Hepatic Irradiation (HIR) for Transplantation of

- Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell (iPSC)-Derived Hepatocyte-Like Cells (iHep) in Mouse Livers. *Int J Rad Oncol* 2013; **87**: S110-S111 [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.06.285]
- 34 **Liver Cell Transplant for Phenylketonuria**. Available from: URL: <http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01465100>
- 35 **Wang X**, Willenbring H, Akkari Y, Torimaru Y, Foster M, Al-Dhalimy M, Lagasse E, Finegold M, Olson S, Grompe M. Cell fusion is the principal source of bone-marrow-derived hepatocytes. *Nature* 2003; **422**: 897-901 [PMID: 12665832 DOI: 10.1038/nature01531]
- 36 **Okamura K**, Asahina K, Fujimori H, Ozeki R, Shimizu-Saito K, Tanaka Y, Teramoto K, Arai S, Takase K, Kataoka M, Soeno Y, Tateno C, Yoshizato K, Teraoka H. Generation of hybrid hepatocytes by cell fusion from monkey embryoid body cells in the injured mouse liver. *Histochem Cell Biol* 2006; **125**: 247-257 [PMID: 16195892 DOI: 10.1007/s00418-005-0065-1]
- 37 **Bissig KD**, Le TT, Woods NB, Verma IM. Repopulation of adult and neonatal mice with human hepatocytes: a chimeric animal model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007; **104**: 20507-20511 [PMID: 18077355 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0710528105]
- 38 **Amicone L**, Citarella F, Tripodi M, Cicchini C. Hepatocytes and Progenitor - Stem Cells in Regeneration and Therapy. Available from: URL: <http://cdn.intechopen.com/pdfs-wm/36988.pdf>
- 39 **Ding J**, Yannam GR, Roy-Chowdhury N, Hidvegi T, Basma H, Rennard SI, Wong RJ, Avasar Y, Guha C, Perlmutter DH, Fox IJ, Roy-Chowdhury J. Spontaneous hepatic repopulation in transgenic mice expressing mutant human α 1-antitrypsin by wild-type donor hepatocytes. *J Clin Invest* 2011; **121**: 1930-1934 [PMID: 21505264 DOI: 10.1172/JCI45260]
- 40 **Soini E**, Hemmili I. Fluoroimmunoassay: present status and key problems. *Clin Chem* 1979; **25**: 353-361 [PMID: 400437]
- 41 **Eguchi G**, Kodama R. Transdifferentiation. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 1993; **5**: 1023-1028 [PMID: 8129939 DOI: 10.1016/0955-0674(93)90087-7]
- 42 **Lue J**, Lin G, Ning H, Xiong A, Lin CS, Glenn JS. Transdifferentiation of adipose-derived stem cells into hepatocytes: a new approach. *Liver Int* 2010; **30**: 913-922 [PMID: 20353420 DOI: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2010.02231.x]
- 43 **Chen Y**, Dong XJ, Zhang GR, Shao JZ, Xiang LX. Transdifferentiation of mouse BM cells into hepatocyte-like cells. *Cytotherapy* 2006; **8**: 381-389 [PMID: 16923614 DOI: 10.1080/14653240600735800]
- 44 **Sekiya S**, Suzuki A. Direct conversion of mouse fibroblasts to hepatocyte-like cells by defined factors. *Nature* 2011; **475**: 390-393 [PMID: 21716291 DOI: 10.1038/nature10263]
- 45 **Han SM**, Coh YR, Ahn JO, Jang G, Yum SY, Kang SK, Lee HW, Youn HY. Enhanced hepatogenic transdifferentiation of human adipose tissue mesenchymal stem cells by gene engineering with Oct4 and Sox2. *PLoS One* 2015; **10**: e0108874 [PMID: 25815812]
- 46 **Swenson ES**. Direct conversion of mouse fibroblasts to hepatocyte-like cells using forced expression of endodermal transcription factors. *Hepatology* 2012; **55**: 316-318 [PMID: 22190377]
- 47 **Kogiso T**, Nagahara H, Otsuka M, Shiratori K, Dowdy SF. Transdifferentiation of human fibroblasts into hepatocyte-like cells by defined transcriptional factors. *Hepatol Int* 2013; **7**: 937-944 [DOI: 10.1007/s12072-013-9432-5]
- 48 **Pacher M**, Rittelmeyer I, Balakrishnan A, Schambach A, Arauzo-Bravo MJ, Ott M. Transdifferentiation of human fibroblasts to hepatocyte-like cells by transcription factors. *Z Gastroenterol* 2013; **51**: 3-22 [DOI: 10.1055/s-0032-1332017]
- 49 **Simeonov KP**, Uppal H. Direct reprogramming of human fibroblasts to hepatocyte-like cells by synthetic modified mRNAs. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e100134 [PMID: 24963715 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0100134]
- 50 **Huang P**, Zhang L, Gao Y, He Z, Yao D, Wu Z, Cen J, Chen X, Liu C, Hu Y, Lai D, Hu Z, Chen L, Zhang Y, Cheng X, Ma X, Pan G, Wang X, Hui L. Direct reprogramming of human fibroblasts to functional and expandable hepatocytes. *Cell Stem Cell* 2014; **14**: 370-384 [PMID: 24582927 DOI: 10.1016/j.stem.2014.01.003]
- 51 **Zhu S**, Rezvani M, Harbell J, Mattis AN, Wolfe AR, Benet LZ, Willenbring H, Ding S. Mouse liver repopulation with hepatocytes generated from human fibroblasts. *Nature* 2014; **508**: 93-97 [PMID: 24572354 DOI: 10.1038/nature13020]
- 52 **Teratoma**. Available from: URL: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teratoma>
- 53 **Smith KP**, Luong MX, Stein GS. Pluripotency: toward a gold standard for human ES and iPS cells. *J Cell Physiol* 2009; **220**: 21-29 [PMID: 19326392 DOI: 10.1002/jcp.21681]
- 54 **Thompson EB**. The many roles of c-Myc in apoptosis. *Annu Rev Physiol* 1998; **60**: 575-600 [PMID: 9558477 DOI: 10.1146/annurev.physiol.60.1.575]
- 55 **Ladu S**, Calvisi DF, Conner EA, Farina M, Factor VM, Thorgeirsson SS. E2F1 inhibits c-Myc-driven apoptosis via PIK3CA/Akt/mTOR and COX-2 in a mouse model of human liver cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2008; **135**: 1322-1332 [PMID: 18722373 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2008.07.012]
- 56 **Koehler KR**, Tropel P, Theille JW, Kondo T, Cummins TR, Viville S, Hashino E. Extended passaging increases the efficiency of neural differentiation from induced pluripotent stem cells. *BMC Neurosci* 2011; **12**: 82 [PMID: 21831300]
- 57 **Liang G**, Zhang Y. Genetic and epigenetic variations in iPSCs: potential causes and implications for application. *Cell Stem Cell* 2013; **13**: 149-159 [PMID: 23910082 DOI: 10.1016/j.stem.2013.07.001]
- 58 **Abyzov A**, Mariani J, Palejev D, Zhang Y, Haney MS, Tomasini L, Ferrandino AF, Rosenberg Belmaker LA, Szekely A, Wilson M, Kocabas A, Calixto NE, Grigorenko EL, Huttner A, Chawarska K, Weissman S, Urban AE, Gerstein M, Vaccarino FM. Somatic copy number mosaicism in human skin revealed by induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 2012; **492**: 438-442 [PMID: 23160490]
- 59 **Moore's law**. Available from: URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moore's_law
- 60 **Marion RM**, Strati K, Li H, Tejera A, Schoeftner S, Ortega S, Serrano M, Blasco MA. Telomeres acquire embryonic stem cell characteristics in induced pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2009; **4**: 141-154 [PMID: 19200803 DOI: 10.1016/j.stem.2008.12.010]
- 61 **Harley CB**, Vaziri H, Counter CM, Allsopp RC. The telomere hypothesis of cellular aging. *Exp Gerontol* 1992; **27**: 375-382 [PMID: 1459213 DOI: 10.1016/0531-5565(92)90068-B]
- 62 **Araten DJ**, Golde DW, Zhang RH, Thaler HT, Gargiulo L, Notaro R, Luzzatto L. A quantitative measurement of the human somatic mutation rate. *Cancer Res* 2005; **65**: 8111-8117 [PMID: 16166284 DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-1198]
- 63 **Voet T**, Kumar P, Van Loo P, Cooke SL, Marshall J, Lin ML, Zamani Esteki M, Van der Aa N, Mateiu L, McBride DJ, Bignell GR, McLaren S, Teague J, Butler A, Raine K, Stebbings LA, Quail MA, D'Hooghe T, Moreau Y, Futreal PA, Stratton MR, Vermeesch JR, Campbell PJ. Single-cell paired-end genome sequencing reveals structural variation per cell cycle. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013; **41**: 6119-6138 [PMID: 23630320 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkt345]
- 64 **Tichy ED**. Mechanisms maintaining genomic integrity in embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells. *Exp Biol Med* (Maywood) 2011; **236**: 987-996 [PMID: 21768163 DOI: 10.1258/ebm.2011.011107]
- 65 **Burdon T**, Smith A, Savatier P. Signalling, cell cycle and pluripotency in embryonic stem cells. *Trends Cell Biol* 2002; **12**: 432-438 [PMID: 12220864 DOI: 10.1016/S0962-8924(02)02352-8]
- 66 **Becker KA**, Ghule PN, Therrien JA, Lian JB, Stein JL, van Wijnen AJ, Stein GS. Self-renewal of human embryonic stem cells is supported by a shortened G1 cell cycle phase. *J Cell Physiol* 2006; **209**: 883-893 [PMID: 16972248 DOI: 10.1002/jcp.20776]
- 67 **Tichy ED**, Pillai R, Deng L, Liang L, Tischfield J, Schwemberger SJ, Babcock GF, Stambrook PJ. Mouse embryonic stem cells, but not somatic cells, predominantly use homologous recombination to repair double-strand DNA breaks. *Stem Cells Dev* 2010; **19**: 1699-1711 [PMID: 20446816 DOI: 10.1089/scd.2010.0058]
- 68 **Schwaiger M**, Schübeler D. A question of timing: emerging links between transcription and replication. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2006;

- 16: 177-183 [PMID: 16503127 DOI: 10.1016/j.gde.2006.02.007]
 - 69 **Tarasov KV**, Tarasova YS, Tam WL, Riordon DR, Elliott ST, Kania G, Li J, Yamanaka S, Crider DG, Testa G, Li RA, Lim B, Stewart CL, Liu Y, Van Eyk JE, Wersto RP, Wobus AM, Boheler KR. B-MYB is essential for normal cell cycle progression and chromosomal stability of embryonic stem cells. *PLoS One* 2008; **3**: e2478 [PMID: 18575582]
 - 70 **Hood L**, Rowen L. The Human Genome Project: big science transforms biology and medicine. *Genome Med* 2013; **5**: 79 [PMID: 24040834 DOI: 10.1186/gm483]
- P- Reviewer:** Bhartiya D, Qin JM, Wong GLH **S- Editor:** Qi Y
L- Editor: A **E- Editor:** Wang CH





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx>

<http://www.wjgnet.com>



ISSN 1007-9327



9 771007 932045