

Dear Editors

With reference to your letter regarding the manuscript “**Randomized clinical trials of the Antioxidant therapy in the management of acute, chronic and post-ERCP pancreatitis: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical and laboratory outcomes of 4898 patients**” submitted for publication to the World Journal Gastroenterology (WJG), we hereby convey our explanation in answer to the point of the respected reviewer. Moreover, with a certification letter the English language quality of the article is guaranteed. For convenience of the editors, the revised file has been provided in the track change mode and the clean forms.

Best Regards,
Mohammad Abdollahi

Comment 1: The title must be informative, specific, and brief (Title should be no more than 10~12 words/60 bytes. Please revise it). Words should be chosen carefully for retrieval purposes. All nonfunctional words should be deleted, such as ‘the’, ‘studies on’, ‘observations of’, and ‘roles of’, *etc.*

Answer: Done.

Comment 2: Please add (data sharing).

Answer: Done. Since this is a meta-analysis, all data have been already brought in details within the article.

Comment 3: An informative, structured abstract of no less than 246 words should accompany each manuscript. The Abstract will be structured into the following sections and adhering to the word count thresholds indicated in parentheses:

AIM (no more than 20 words): The purpose of the study should be stated clearly and with no or minimal background information, following the format of: “To investigate/study/determine...”

METHODS (no less than 80 words): You should present the materials and methods used for all of the data presented in the proceeding Results section of the abstract.

RESULTS (no less than 120 words): You should present *P* values where appropriate. You must provide relevant data to illustrate how the statistical values were obtained, e.g. 6.92 ± 3.86 vs 3.61 ± 1.67 , $P < 0.001$.

CONCLUSION (no more than 26 words): You should present your findings and implications that are within the scope of the data you have presented in the preceding Results section. The conclusion should be written in the present tense.

Answer: Done.

Comment 4: Please list 5 – 10 keywords for each paper, which reflect the content of the study and are selected mainly from the Index Medicus. Each keyword is to be separated by a semicolon.

Answer: Done.

Comment 5: Please write a summary of no more than 100 words to present the core content of your manuscript, highlighting the most innovative and important findings and/or arguments. The purpose of the Core Tip is to attract readers’ interest for reading the full version of your article and increasing the impact of your article in your field of study.

Answer: Done.

Comment 6: In order to attract readers to read your full-text article, we request that the first author make an audio file describing your final core tip. This audio file will be published online, along with your article. Please submit audio files according to the following specifications:

Acceptable file formats: .mp3, .wav, or .aiff

Maximum file size: 10 MB

To achieve the best quality, when saving audio files as an mp3, use a setting of 256 kbps or higher for stereo or 128 kbps or higher for mono. Sampling rate should be either 44.1 kHz or 48 kHz. Bit rate should be either 16 or 24 bit. To avoid audible clipping noise, please make sure that audio levels do not exceed 0 dBFS.

Answer: Thanks for this new option but if you don’t mind we prefer to not have audio file in this stage.

Comment 7: COMMENTS

Background

To concisely and accurately summarize the related background of the article and to enable the readers to gain some basic knowledge relevant to the article, thus helping them better understand the significance of the article.

Research frontiers

To briefly introduce the hotspots or important areas in the research field related to the article.

Innovations and breakthroughs

To summarize and emphasize the differences, particularly the advances, achievements, innovations and breakthroughs, from the other related or similar articles so as to allow the readers to catch up the major points of the article.

Applications

To summarize the actual application values, the implications for further application and modification, or the perspectives of future application of the article.

Terminology

To concisely and accurately describe, define or explain the specific, unique terms that are not familiar to majority of the readers, but are essential for the readers to understand the article.

Peer- review

To provide the comments from peer reviewers that most represent the characteristics, values and significance of the article, and allow the readers to have an objective point of view toward the article.

Answer: Done.