

Summary of completed editing work

Editing work was completed by Amanda Steele. You can request this editor specifically for follow-up and future orders and, if you like, you can acknowledge the work by thanking the editor and our company by name. General feedback and a summary of the work done are provided below.

*Items that generally only accompany premium/superior editing levels are designated with an *. If the editor indicates that additional work is needed beyond the scope of editing ordered in one or more aspects, you should consider upgrading service level on future orders.*

Content:

- The content was complete and satisfactory as submitted, requiring no removal or addition of content.
- The editor made suggestions to remove some superfluous, repetitive or unnecessary information.
- The editor made suggestions to help the author fill in a small amount missing information or details. New text written in response to these suggestions should subsequently be reviewed for language before submitting the paper. They are minor enough that the added information can be rechecked for free.
- The editor made suggestions to help the author fill in substantial missing information. To properly address these deficiencies will require the author to provide the addition of substantial new text which should be submitted as a follow-up editing order.
- The editor added some new content requiring your approval.*

Language:

- All grammatical/syntactical errors and awkward verbiage have been revised and the language is ready to submit for publication review. There may be some sentences in which the editor has asked the author to confirm that the meaning was properly interpreted in the editing process.
- Following thorough revision for language, there were some isolated sections of text that could not be deciphered; the editor has indicated where further/alternate explanation is needed to understand the text. If the author provides the requested explanations, the editor will quickly recheck these sections for free.
- The language revision requires extensive editing/rewriting beyond the scope of the level of editing requested by the author. The author should review the changes made in this round of editing and respond to suggestions made by the editor thus far and submit for a follow-up round of editing (standard editing orders) or return for continued editing (upgraded editing order).

Text Organization:

- The organization of the paper was excellent as submitted.
- The paper was generally well organized as submitted.
- The editor made minor reorganization changes (moved sentences) which should be sufficient.
- The editor made major reorganization changes which should be sufficient.*
- The author should review/answer/approve the suggestions/questions/changes (respectively) made thus far before the editor continues with additional work on the organization. Please return the paper after addressing these issues to complete the editing process.*
- The paper requires further reorganization which is beyond the scope of the level of editing requested by the author. The author should either make the additional needed changes and request a follow-up edit to check final language or should authorize higher level of service to have the editor do the work for him/her. If there are margin questions by the editor, the author should provide answers to those before returning the paper to the editor.

Component Organization:

- All sections were properly placed.
- The editor moved figure legends to a figure legends section following the references.*

- The editor moved tables to follow figure legends section.*
- The editor moved figures to the very end or to a separate file.*
- The author should move figure legends to a figure legends section following the references.
- The author should move tables to follow figure legends section.
- The author should move figures to the very end or to a separate file.

Formatting:

- The paper was well formatted and consistent with common publication submission standards.
- The editor made minor formatting changes/suggestions which should be sufficient.
- The editor made substantial formatting changes/suggestions which should be sufficient.*
- The editor has suggested that the author make additional formatting changes to the final version before submitting the document for publication.
- References section needs to be consistently formatted (not included in order).

Figures:

- The figures were prepared with an excellent eye for clarity and aesthetic presentation.
- The figures should be satisfactory for publication as submitted.
- The editor has made suggestions for the author to improve the figures before publication.
- The editor has improved the presentation of the figures as part of this order.*
- No figures were submitted with the order.

Tables:

- The tables were prepared with an excellent eye for clarity and aesthetic presentation.
- The tables should be satisfactory for publication as submitted.
- The editor has made suggestions for the author to improve the tables before publication.
- The editor has improved the presentation of the tables as part of this order.*
- No tables were submitted with the order.

Editor notes to the author: (i.e. if more work is needed, what is the scope? Are there any specific comments, questions not addressed above.)

Thank you for the opportunity to provide suggested revisions for your manuscript and tables. If you have any questions or concerns regarding any of the track changes or comments provided, please let us know. ~Caren Smith 26APR2015

Author Notes to Editor/Admin: