

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS

August 03, 2015

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the revised manuscript in Word format (manuscript no. 19334). The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers.

Title: CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY: THE ROAD AHEAD

Authors: Ana-Maria Singeap, Carol Stanciu, Anca Trifan

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 19334

Point-by-point response to reviewers' comments:

Reviewer no 01427092: "The focus of the paper is well phrased".

Answer: Thank you for your appreciations.

Reviewer no 03027040: 1. "The article is well written and readable". 2. "It would have been useful to attach tables about those articles about those centers worldwide who use these new types of videocapsule endoscopy and to explain how much are used clinically so far. It could be useful to add if there are already any studies which compare standard videocapsule with the new videocapsules, especially in terms of sensitivity, specificity and compliance of patients".

Answers:

1. Thank you very much indeed for appreciating our work.

2. At present, none of the future videocapsule endoscopy systems presented in the manuscript is available for regular clinical use. For example, no human studies have been performed with Sayaka “battery-free capsule”, although it was launched in 2001. There are no studies comparing standard videocapsule with the future videocapsules.

Reviewer no 0035901: 1. “Overall the manuscript is well written”. 2. “I feel it better to have two schemes to summarize the content of “Current” and “Future” of capsule endoscopy”.

Answer:

1. Thank you for the positive opinion.
2. As the general readers of WJG are mainly clinicians, we believe that it was most practically to explain in the text rather than providing several complicated figures of future capsules with several components (legs, magnetic spheres, drug reservoir etc.).

Reviewer no 0058381: 1. “This manuscript offers an interesting review on capsule endoscopy including future perspectives”. 2. “A tagging module consisting of a microtag, compresses spring (?) and thermal ignitor...”

Answer:

1. Thank you for the positive comments to the manuscript.
2. We appologize for the error, we corrected the word “spring”.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the World Journal of Gastroenterology.

Sincerely yours,
Carol Stanciu, MD, FRCP