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**Abstract**

Since the introduction of the term “gut-liver axis”, many studies have focused on the functional links of intestinal microbiota, barrier function and immune responses to liver physiology. Intestinal and extra-intestinal diseases alter microbiota composition and lead to dysbiosis, which aggravates impaired intestinal barrier function *via* increased lipopolysaccharide translocation. The subsequent increased passage of gut-derived product from the intestinal lumen to the organ wall and bloodstream affects gut motility and liver biology. The activation of the Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4) likely plays a key role in both cases. This review analyzed the most recent literature on the gut-liver axis, with a particular focus on the role of TLR-4 activation. Findings that linked liver disease with dysbiosis are evaluated, and links between dysbiosis and alterations of intestinal permeability and motility are discussed. We also examine the mechanisms of translocated gut bacteria and/or the bacterial product activation of liver inflammation and fibrogenesis *via* activity on different hepatic cell types.
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**Core tip**: Liver disease is associated with significant changes in intestinal microbiota, but whether liver disease modifies the complement of gut bacteria or dysbiosis causes liver disease is not clearly understood. This review outlines current knowledge on the gut-liver axis, with a particular focus on the role of Toll-like receptor 4 activation in functional gastrointestinal disorders, liver inflammation and fibrosis.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The term gut-liver axiswas introduced approximately 40 years ago, when Volta *et al*[1] described the production of IgA antibodies directed against intestinal microorganisms and food antigens in liver cirrhosis. The functional link between the gut and liver has been extensively investigated since this first report[1]. Intestinal microbiota, barrier function and immune responses that link the gut and liver are intriguing and promising research topics.

Growing evidence demonstrates that gut microbiota play an important role in the gut-liver axis[2]. Disturbances in gut microbiota composition may contribute to many diseases and affect local and remote organ systems[3]. Several conditions are associated with specific microbial patterns and/or leaky gut. These disorders range from intestinal diseases, such as irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel diseases, to numerous extra-intestinal diseases[3], including diseases that affect the liver[4]. The intestinal mucosa exhibits impaired barrier function in the presence of abnormal microbiota, such as increased intestinal permeability and endotoxin translocation, with the subsequent increased passage of waste materials from the intestinal lumen to the organ wall and bloodstream[2,5]. The gut epithelium is a natural barrier that allows the selective entry of substances present in the lumen and avoids the entry of harmful elements, including bacteria and their bio-products[6].

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are a family of highly conserved receptors that recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns and allow the host to recognize bacteria, mycobacteria, yeast membrane/wall components and several gut-derived products. TLR-4 is one of the most intriguing of these receptors because it plays a key role in innate immunity by triggering inflammatory responses. TLR-4 initiates innate immune responses via NF-κB when it is activated by its primary ligand, Gram-negative bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPS), which results in the transcription of several genes that encode inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and antimicrobial agents[7,8].

This review analyzed the most recent literature on the gut-liver axis, with a particular focus on the role of TLR-4 activation. First, we evaluated the evidence that links liver disease with the condition of dysbiosis. Second, we discuss the links between dysbiosis and alterations in intestinal permeability and motility. Finally, we examine the mechanisms of translocated gut bacteria and/or the bacterial product activation of liver inflammation and fibrogenesis *via* activity on different hepatic cell types.

**DYSBIOSIS DURING CHRONIC LIVER DISEASE AND CIRRHOSIS**

Liver disease is associated with significant qualitative and quantitative changes in intestinal microbiota, which is defined as “dysbiosis”. Dysbiosis is directly involved in the pathogenesis of several different forms of hepatic injury and many complications of advanced cirrhosis. Whether liver disease modifies the complement of gut bacteria or dysbiosis causes liver disease is not clearly understood. Existing evidence supports the need to contextualize the argument within the etiology of liver disease. Conversely, advanced liver disease is associated with dysbiosis that is independent from the original cause of hepatic damage. The hypothesis of a vicious circle in which microbiota alterations are supported by cirrhosis, which contributes to many cirrhosis complications seems appropriate and well structured.

Most of the research on dysbiosis during chronic liver disease investigated non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Qualitative and quantitative dysbiotic changes are clearly documented during NAFLD in patients with simple fatty liver and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). NAFLD patients exhibit a high prevalence of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth[9,10], and microbial samples from NAFLD and NASH patients exhibit a significantly lower proportion of members of the Ruminococcaceae family than healthy subjects[11]. Some conflicting results emerged in studies that compared the microbiota between NAFLD and NASH patients, and further studies are anticipated on this subject.

The feeding of a high-fat/high-polysaccharide or a calorie-restricted diet to wild-type mice significantly alters microbial taxonomic composition in experimental models[12,13], and gut microbiota exacerbate NAFLD development *via* several different mechanisms. First, gut microbes participate in calorie extraction from food and regulate obesity and its complications, including NAFLD. Human enzymes cannot degrade most complex carbohydrates and plant polysaccharides, which are fermented in the colon by intestinal microbes. The resultant short-chain fatty acids account for approximately 10% of daily energy intake[14] and stimulate de novo lipogenesis[15]. The intestinal microflora is also responsible for the increased endogenous ethanol production that is observed during NAFLD. An age-related increase in breath ethanol content was reported in ob/ob mice, and neomycin treatment abolished this effect[16]. Increased systemic ethanol levels were also confirmed in NASH patients[17], which may contribute to hepatocyte trygliceride accumulation and reactive oxygen species production. Bacterial conversion of dietary choline into methylamines experimentally produced similar effects of choline-deficient diets and caused NASH[18]. More recently, gut microbiota, which are responsible for the conversion of cholic and chenodeoxycholic acid into secondary bile acids, were suggested to control lipid and glucose metabolism through the regulation of bile acid pools. Bile acids also function as signaling molecules and bind to cellular receptors. For example, bile acid synthesis controls the activation of nuclear receptor farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and the G-protein coupled bile-salt receptor TGR5[19,20], which are strongly implicated in the modulation of glucose metabolism[21,22]. Hepatotoxic bacterial products that pass across a dysregulated intestinal barrier trigger liver damage, as discussed below, and provoke systemic inflammation and insulin resistance[23], which is a primary event in NAFLD pathogenesis. Circulating levels of LPS, which is a component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, are elevated in rodent NAFLD[24,25] and NAFLD patients[26,27].

Research on the role of the microbiome in alcoholic liver disease is not as advanced as NAFLD, but dysbiosis is clearly associated with alcohol-induced liver damage. Significant microbial alterations were observed in the Tsukamoto-French model of alcoholic liver disease in mice[28], and Lactobacilli administration reduced the features of alcoholic liver disease in several animal models[29,30]. Significant changes in the composition of the microbiome are also observed in alcoholic patients, which is consistent with these experimental results[31,32]. There are several mechanisms by which alcohol may contribute to dysbiosis. Commensal flora produce and metabolize ethanol, and alcohol intake may influence the complement of bacteria. Alcohol also produces intestinal dysmotility, alters gastric acid secretion and impairs the intestinal innate immune response[33].

Dysbiosis is closely associated with advanced liver disease, e.g., liver cirrhosis. An apparent increase in potentially pathogenic bacteria occurs during cirrhosis independently of the etiology of liver disease, with a greater abundance of Gram-negative taxa (Enterobacteriaceae, Bacteroidaceae)[34,35]. Similar to alcohol abuse, impaired intestinal motility and innate immunity may represent a basis for the dysbiosis that is observed during cirrhosis[36]. Cirrhotic patients are frequently exposed to hospitalization, antibiotics and dietary modifications, which are potential factors associated with alterations in the intestinal microbiome.

**DYSBIOSIS: BARRIER DAMAGE, BACTERIAL TRANSLOCATION AND INTESTINAL DYSMOTILITY**

Experimental models suggest that dysbiosis itself contributes to intestinal inflammation and mucosal leakage, which favors the translocation of several inflammatory bacterial products[37,38]. Intestinal decontamination with non-absorbable antibiotics also significantly reduces intestinal inflammation and permeability[38].

Intestinal barrier damage allows bacterial translocation, which is defined as the migration of viable microorganisms and microbial products (e.g., LPS, lipoteichoic acid, bacterial DNA) across the intestinal barrier, from the intestinal lumen to mesenteric lymph nodes and other extra-intestinal organs and sites[39]. The translocation of viable bacteria may induce “spontaneous” bacterial infections in some cases, such as the spontaneous bacterial peritonitis that is observed during cirrhosis. The translocation of bacterial products that enter the systemic circulation *via* the portal vein and activate inflammatory pathways of hepatic cells contributes to the progression of liver damage in other cases, as discussed below. Viable microbes and bacterial fragments entering the systemic circulation *via* the portal vein or following the enteric lymphatic drainage trigger a proinflammatory state by provoking the release of cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β), which contributes to the hyperdynamic circulation and portal hypertension that are typical of advanced liver cirrhosis[40]. Recent evidence suggests that intestinal barrier damage is due to a microbial imbalance that influences gut motility[41]. The observations of intestinal dysmotility in germ-free animals further suggest that microbiota play a crucial role in the modulation of intestinal motility[42]. TLRs may explain how microbiota act on gut motility and the gut-liver axis because TLR activation during conditions of impaired intestinal barrier mediates intestinal and liver disorders. Intestinal disorders that are associated with impaired motility may be caused by intestinal dysbiosis[41], which further increases intestinal permeability and the translocation of bacterial substances, especially LPS, that may reach the liver[5] (Figure 1).

The importance of aberrant intestinal microbiota in the pathogenic mechanisms of several gastro-intestinal diseases was raised previously, in addition to its health-inducing effects[2]. Commensal microbiota provides beneficial effects, including neuroimmune and pain modulation, and a possible effect on intestinal motility modulation. Polymicrobial sepsis induces a complex inflammatory response within the intestinal muscularis with the recruitment of leukocytes and the production of mediators that inhibit intestinal muscle function[43]. Therefore, the intestine is a source of bacteremia and an important target of bacterial products that affect intestinal motility[43]. Barbara *et al*[42] suggested in a recent paper that one of the possible mechanisms of microbiota influence on gut motor function occurs through the release of bacterial substances and the effects of mediators released by the gut immune response[42]. These inflammatory changes are partially determined by IL-1β mucosal expression, which is higher in patients suffering from post-infective irritable bowel syndrome (PI-IBS) than in patients without post-infectious symptoms[44]. Patients with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) present increased IL-1β expression by peripheral blood mononuclear cells[45], and prolonged exposure to IL-1β alters neurotransmitter and electrically induced Ca2+ responses in the myenteric plexus[46]. The immune response also includes the release of histamine, tryptase and prostaglandins by mucosal-activated mast cells in PI-IBS[41] or activated macrophages during sepsis. Increased mucosal permeability was widely demonstrated during the course of sepsis and cases of severe mucosal inflammation[5], and impairment of contraction in these conditions seems to be related to the activation of normally quiescent intestinal muscularis macrophages by LPS or inflammatory mediators released by the mucosa[47-49]. Activated macrophages secrete several mediators, including prostaglandins (PGs), H2O2, cytokines and nitric oxide. Many of these mediators also alter the kinetic properties of smooth muscle cells (SMCs)[49-51]. Cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and COX-2 are expressed in the neuromuscular compartment of the human colon, and these enzymes appear to modulate the cholinergic excitatory control of colonic motility at prejunctional and postjunctional sites, respectively[52]. IL-1β induces a decrease in tonic contraction in rat mesenteric lymphatic muscle cells in a COX-2 dependent manner *via* PGE2[53].

Scirocco *et al*[54] demonstrated the constitutive expression of functionally active TLR-4 on primary human colonic SMCs in an in vitro model. Notably, exposure of SMCs to LPS caused contractile alterations[54]. This result suggests that the gastrointestinal dysmotility that occurs during acute infection is related to inflammation and a direct effect of circulating LPS on SMCs. TLR-4 activation following LPS binding leads to NF-κB activation, which participates in oxidative-dependent transcriptional changes in SMCs that modify the agonist-induced contraction[49,54]. LPS may also directly affect muscle cell contractility *via* alterations in electro-mechanical coupling[54], which could trigger a wide cascade of intracellular events that modify SMC integrity and function. Our group recently demonstrated that acute exposure of the human colonic mucosa to pathogenic LPS[49] impairs muscle cell contractility, and this effect was due to LPS translocation, which directly affects smooth muscle contractility, or the mucosal production of free radicals and inflammatory mediators that reach the muscle layer[49].

Notably, modulation of the intestinal microflora balance using probiotics likely plays an important role in the treatment and prevention of various gastrointestinal disorders[55]. The specific mechanisms underlying probiotic efficacy are not clearly elucidated, but most gastrointestinal diseases in which probiotics exhibit efficacy are associated with non-specific alterations of gastrointestinal motility, which suggests that the modulation of intestinal motility is another possible mechanism for the benefits of probiotic[55]. For example, *Lactobacillus* *paracasei* attenuated persistent muscle hypercontractility of jejunal strips in an animal model of PI-IBS[56], and *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*, but not *Streptococcus*, alleviated visceral hypersensitivity and recovered intestinal barrier function and inflammation in a recent study in the PI-IBS mouse model, which correlated with an increase in tight junction proteins[57], such a claudin-1 and occludin. One of the mechanisms that underlies the altered permeability in IBS includes changes in the expression, localization and function of tight junctions[58]. Decreased levels of zonule ocludin-1 (ZO-1) protein expression and disruption of claudin-1, occludin and ZO-1 expression were found in the apical region of the enterocytes during the course of IBS[59,60]. An increased risk of developing PI-IBS was also conferred by single nucleotide polymorphisms in the that gene encodes the tight junction protein E-cadherin[61].

Our group demonstrated that exposure of human colonic mucosa to *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG (LGG) may affect smooth muscle contraction, suggests that the modulation of muscle contractility represents a possible mechanism of action of these bacteria[62]. Notably, LGG acts through the direct activation of the Gram-positive sensing TLR-2, which is expressed on the surface of human colonic SMCs. We recently demonstrated that the surface expression of TLR-2 in resting cells was significantly decreased in cells exposed to LGG. This reduction in available receptors for monoclonal anti-TLR-2 binding further suggests the occurrence of an interaction of LGG with TLR-2 receptors. TLR-2 activation likely induces transitory myogenic changes with alterations in morpho-functional parameters in muscle tissue and isolated SMCs[63]. TLR-2 activates an intrinsic myogenic response that likely counteracts the damage that is induced by the pro-inflammatory burst from pathogen LPS on human gastrointestinal smooth muscle[63]. LGG likely protects human SMCs from LPS-induced damage *via* LGG binding to TLR-2, and TLR-2 activation leads to IL10-mediated anti-inflammatory effects.

**TLR-4-EXPRESSING CELLS AND SIGNALING IN THE LIVER**

Inflammation during chronic liver damage correlates with fibrosis progression, but the molecular mechanism that links inflammation and fibrosis are not definitively understood. Several factors that participate in inflammation and liver fibrosis at the molecular and cellular levels were mentioned, regardless of the specific etiology involved. One of the pathways that has attracted the most attention in recent years as the putative link between liver inflammation and fibrosis is regulated by TLR-4 activation.

Several cell types express TLR-4 in the liver, including Kupffer cells, hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), biliary epithelial cells, hepatocytes and liver sinusoidal endothelial cell (LSECs)[64]. TLR-4 expression in healthy liver tissue is generally low because of the high degree of tolerance of this organ to the continuously incoming gut-derived TLR-4 ligands. The liver receives high concentrations of gut-derived endotoxin because of its location between the systemic and portal bloodstream and the connection with the intestine through the biliary tract. Kupffer cells and hepatocytes take up the incoming LPS, which removes it from the blood and places it into the bile[65-67]. Increased TLR-4 expression is induced in the injured liver, and inflammatory signaling cascades are triggered by this activation[68]. Two microRNAs are primarily involved in the regulation of “LPS tolerance”. TLR-4 activation increases miR-155 levels, which leads to the degradation of Src homology 2 domain–containing inositol-5-phosphatase 1 (SHIP1), a down-regulator of TLR-4 signaling, and stimulation of the TLR-4 signaling pathway[69]. However, TLR-4 activation increases miR-21 expression, which upregulates IL-10 *via* programmed cell death protein 4 (PCDC4) inhibition[70]. TLR-4-induces IL-10, which inhibits miR-155 and downgrades TRL-4 signaling. Therefore, the balance between miR-21 and miR-155 likely plays a pivotal role in the regulation of “LPS tolerance”. Other microRNAs are as fundamental in the control of the TLR-4-induced inflammatory response, particularly miR-146a and miR-9, which resolve the pro-inflammatory response by targeting key components in the TLR-4 signaling pathways, and miR-147, which promotes an anti-inflammatory response *via* repression of cytokine production[71].

Once normal immune tolerance is exceeded, LPS directly activates the TLR-4 signaling pathway on Kupffer cells, HSCs, hepatocytes and cholangiocytes (Figure 2). LPS cooperates with circulating LPS-binding protein (LBP) and binds to TLR-4 on the plasma membrane of cells with two co-receptors (CD14 and myeloid differentiation protein (MD)2) to activated TLR-4 signaling pathways in a myeloid differentiation factor (MyD)88-dependent or independent manner[72]. The MyD88 dependent signaling pathway primarily uses the iκB kinase (IKK) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathways, which determines the activation of NF-κB and activator protein (AP)-1, respectively, and regulates the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and other genes related to immune functions[72]. The MyD88-independent signaling pathway is mediated by the TIR domain-containing adaptor inducing interferon-β (TRIF), which activates interferon regulatory factor (IRF)3 and induces the expression of interferon (IFN)-β and genes that respond to IFN[72].

LPS, *via* activation of TLR-4 and the consequent inflammatory cascade in target cells, plays a key role in the pathogenesis and progression of fatty liver of alcoholic and non-alcoholic origin[24,73]. Szabo *et al*[73] recently suggested that alcohol and its metabolites regulate the intestinal barrier and allow increased LPS blood concentrations to reach the liver *via* the portal blood and promote TLR-4-induced inflammation and liver damage. The molecular mechanisms triggered by the LPS/TLR-4 binding are likely crucial in NAFLD. Animal models of genetically induced obesity demonstrate an increased susceptibility to liver damage from endotoxin, and exposure to low doses of LPS also determines steatohepatitis development[74]. Animal models of diet-induced steatohepatitis also exhibit increased levels of portal endotoxemia and TLR-4 hepatic hyperexpression[24]. Probiotic treatment prevents the histological features of NASH in genetically obese animal models[75], which supports the hypothesis of the pathogenetic role of intestinal-derived bacterial products.

TLR-4 likely plays a role in viral hepatitis C, but the relationship between HCV and TLR-4 is quite complex. HCV infection directly induces TLR-4 expression[76] and may determine the loss of tolerance to TLR-4 ligands by monocytes and macrophages[77]. The TLR-4 signal may also regulate HCV replication[78]. Variants of the TLR-4 gene modulate the risk for liver fibrosis in Caucasian patients with chronic HCV infection[79,80]. TLR-4 was also involved in the cooperation between HCV and alcohol towards liver damage and hepatic oncogenesis in the liver progenitor cell transplantation model[81].

Inflammation (with secretion of TNF-α and IL-6) and anti-viral effects (with secretion of IFN-β) are determined by TLR-4 activation, depending on whether the MyD88-dependent or independent pathway is induced, respectively[82]. The function of TLR-4 in LPS-stimulated proinflammatory responses of Kupffer cells is well characterized[76,77], but new insights were proposed recently. A TLR-4-driven metalloprotease expression has been postulated since MMP-10 was recently added to the list of genes that TLR-4 induces in liver macrophages[83]. MMP-10 was induced during hepatic injury and played a fundamental role in liver tissue repair[83]. Monocytes/macrophages represent the primary cellular targets of intestinal-derived endotoxin, and they are primary effectors of LPS-induced liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy and the experimental cholestatic liver disease, in which LPS promotes fibrogenesis[84,85].

TLR-4 expression by HSCs suggests a direct role of the receptor in hepatic fibrogenesis[72]. Transforming growth factor-β signaling and liver fibrosis were enhanced by TLR-4 expression in HSCs[86], and the apoptotic threshold of HSCs is lowered by two TLR-4 polymorphisms that are protective against fibrosis[87].

The expression of chemokines and adhesion molecules in HSCs by TLR-4 signaling is likely also involved in macrophage recruitment to fibrogenesis sites[86].

LSECs and Kupffer cells play important roles in the clearance of gut-derived LPS without inducing local inflammatory reactions under physiological conditions. LPS tolerance in LSECs depends on reducing the nuclear translocation of NF-κB without a change in TRL-4/CD14 surface expression or scavenger activity[88]. The C-X-C chemokine receptor type-(CXCR)4 was recently demonstrated to be a part of the LPS “sensing apparatus”, and inhibition of CXCR4 expression in endothelial cells (by RNA interference) decreased IL-6 production, LPS binding and chemotaxis[89]. CXCR4 over-expression on the LSECs membrane is driven by chronic injury[90,91], and CXCR4 expression likely plays a central role in provoking fibrosis after chronic insult. CXCR4 downregulation (together with CXCR7 expression) stimulates regeneration immediately after injury. LSEC phenotype conversion from a CXCR7- to a CXCR4-expressing cell may enhance the response to gut-derived LPS, which provides a further mechanism for the induction of TLR-4 activation and pro-fibrogenic cascade.

Hepatic progenitor cells, which were traditionally not described as TLR-4-expressing elements, were also recently demonstrated to be involved in TLR-4 signaling. TLR-4 expression by hepatic progenitor cells and inflammatory cells at the porto-septal and interface level in patients with NAFLD, was supported by increased LPS activity and associated with the activation of fibrogenic cells and the degree of fibrosis[92]. Biliary cells of the interlobular bile ducts and liver progenitor cells exhibit the highest TLR-4 immunohistochemical expression in patients with chronic hepatitis C, which correlated with the degree of inflammation, portal/septal myofibroblasts activity and fibrosis stage[93].

Hepatic progenitor cells, which are bipotential stem cells that reside in human and animal livers, differentiate towards hepatocytic and cholangiocytic lineages, and proliferation leads to the so-called “ductular reaction”[93-96]. Studies in patients with biliary disorders and experimental models of biliary fibrosis demonstrated that the ductal epithelium expressed several profibrogenic and chemotactic proteins[97-100], and TLR-4 expression by biliary epithelial cells was associated with inflammation and fibrosis progression[93,101,102]. Proinflammatory cytokines produced in response to TLR-4 signaling may participate in the cross-talk between hepatic progenitor cells and proliferating cholangiocytes or inflammatory cells and portal/septal myofibroblasts[93].

Increased TLR-4 expression by cholangiocytes represents a marker of loss of tolerance to LPS, which contributes to chronic biliary inflammation[102]. TLR-4-expressing cholangiocytes produce high levels of IL-1β, IL-8, IFN-γ, TNF-α, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β)[101]. LPS treatment of cultured biliary epithelial cells induces nuclear translocation of NF-kB, NF-kB-DNA binding and the production of TNF-α[103]. Human cholangiocytes cultured under normal physiological conditions express let-7i (a family members of let-7 miRNA), which post-transcriptionally downregulates TLR-4 expression[104]. The formation of an NF-κB p50-C/EBPβ silencer complex after LPS treatment or *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection inhibits the transcription of Let-7i and leads to increased TLR-4 expression[104,105]. This mechanism was hypothesized to allow detection and response to microbes without enhancing the inflammatory response.

Activation of the hepatic progenitor cell compartment and the consequent ductular reaction are also associated with the severity of nonbiliary chronic liver disease[93,106-108], and endotoxin also exhibits a role in stem cell/progenitor activation in other organs. LPS directly induces the proliferation of embryonic stem cells and adult tissue-specific stem cells/progenitors[109], hematopoietic progenitors[110], bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells[111]. The transplantation of p53-deficient hepatic progenitor cells transduced with TLR-4 results in liver-tumor development in mice following repetitive LPS injection[80].

**CONCLUSION**

The term “gut-liver axis” comes from the evidence of a strict interconnection between the gut and liver physiology and pathophysiology, and gut microbiota were recently claimed as a key mediator of this linkage. Chronic liver diseases are associated with qualitative and quantitative changes in the intestinal microbiota, which are partially dependent on the specific hepatic disease, and dysbiosis is almost always present during liver cirrhosis, regardless of the etiology of liver injury. Altered gut microflora contribute to intestinal dysmotility, inflammation and mucosal leakage. Finally, intestinal barrier damage allows the translocation of viable microorganisms and bacterial products, which reach the liver through portal blood and activate inflammatory pathways on liver cells.

These bases suggest that the TLR-4 receptor for bacterial endotoxin plays a starring role in the gut-liver axis. TLR-4 is activated in intestinal muscolaris macrophages, which are stimulated to produce and release PGs and cytokines, and intestinal SMCs, which exhibit altered contractility with resulting dysmotility. TLR-4 activation in the gut exacerbates intestinal mucosal damage and bacterial translocation. Finally, most hepatic cell types express TLR-4, and LPS directly activates TLR-4 signaling in the liver once normal immune tolerance is exceeded. TLR-4 activation in Kupffer cells, HSCs, hepatocytes and cholangiocytes is implicated in most of inflammatory and fibrogenic pathways and activation contributes to the progression of liver disorders and complications of liver cirrhosis.

There are two promising strategies to hinder the deleterious effects of excessive TLR-4 activation: modulation of gut microbiota to reduce the amount of TLR-4 ligand and direct interference with TLR-4 signaling. Drugs that are capable of attaining the first outcome, such as probiotics, prebiotics and antibiotics, already exist, and probiotic therapy produces beneficial effects on the liver, at least in the context of NAFLD[112]. Drugs of the second class are far from clinical application, but TLR-4 antagonism could weaken host immunity. However, some interesting evidence already comes from experimental studies, and the TLR-4 antagonist eritoran tetrasodium was recently demonstrated to attenuate liver damage in a liver ischemia/reperfusion injury model[113].

In conclusion, TLR-4 has emerged as a clear protagonist in the gut liver-axis over the past few years. Now that the pathophysiological basis is mostly known, it is time to see whether we can convert this knowledge into effective therapeutic interventions.
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**Figure 1 The results of intestinal disorders that are associated with impaired motility.** A: Normal conditions with intestinal mucosa tolerance; B: In the presence of microbiota imbalance, intestinal mucosa is characterized by a leak and mild inflammatory infiltrate. The subsequent passage of modest quantities of lipopolysaccharides (LPS) induces activation of resident macrophages (Mϕ) with the release of inflammatory mediators. LPS can also reach the muscle layer and bind to smooth muscle cell (SMC) TLR4. Both conditions cause morpho-functional changes of SMCs. The reduced intestinal motility further induces intestinal microbiota imbalance, which leads to dysbiosis; C: Dysbiosis induces barrier damage and relevant bacterial and LPS translocation. The large amount of translocated LPS reaches the blood vessels through the portal vein and reaches the liver.

****

**Figure 2 Hepatic cell types express toll-like receptor-4.** In the presence of the loss of lipopolysaccharides (LPS) tolerance, such as during non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) or HCV chronic hepatitis, TLR-4 is activated by gut-derived LPS and overexpressed. An altered balance of known miRNAs (miR155, miR21, let-7i) and chemokine receptors (CXCR4, CXCR7) could promote this condition. Then, activation and recruitment of inflammatory cells, ductular reaction and activation of endothelial and stellate cells drive liver inflammation and fibrosis. On the right, the mediators mainly involved in the fibrosis are presented (TGF-β, IGF-1, TNF-α, IL-6), on the left, mediators related to the inflammation are shown (TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1 β, IL-8, GM-CSF, IFN-γ). TLR-4:Toll-like receptor-4; TNF-α: Tumor necrosis factor alpha; IL: Interleukin; GM-CSF: Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; IFN: Interferon; HCV: Hepatitis C virus.