

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Reviewer's code: 03017516

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a well written review about stent placement for malignant or benign colorectal diseases. I think that the article is well written and provides a comprehensive resume of indication and results of stent placement. I think that also the recent paper by Young and colleagues (Dis Colon Rectum. 2015 Sep;58(9):838-49. doi: 10.1097/DCR.0000000000000431.) should be discussed and reported in the reference list because it is a randomized trial and it focuses on quality of life.

Response: We have discussed the article recently published in Dis Colon Rectum. 2015 Sep;58(9):838-49.

Reviewer's code: 03017516

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a well written review about stent placement for malignant or benign colorectal diseases. I think that the article is well written and provides a comprehensive resume of indication and results of stent placement. I think that also the recent paper by Young and colleagues (Dis Colon Rectum. 2015 Sep;58(9):838-49. doi: 10.1097/DCR.0000000000000431.) should be discussed and reported in the reference list because it is a randomized trial and it focuses on quality of life.

Response: We have discussed the article recently published in Dis Colon Rectum. 2015 Sep;58(9):838-49.

Reviewer's code: 00043819

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a comprehensive review of the role of self expandable stents in the management of colorectal cancer. Discussion is clear and references properly cited.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

Reviewer's code: 03252939

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General: SEMS for colon malignancy is a hot topic. This review is based on recent literature. Is easy to read and easy to understand, focusing on major issues concerning advantages and disadvantages.

Specific: All reviews should describe methods that were used for literature research. It is written that the aim is to evaluate SEMS for colorectal and extracolonic cancers and authors also reviews SEMS for non malignant etiologies. Sometimes authors refers to colon cancer as colorectal cancer. It should be clarified that SEMS are usually for colon obstruction and not for rectal cancer.

Response : We have made necessary changes about this comment in manuscript. SEMS are used for usually colonic obstructions but in literature it is said that SEMS can be used for proximal rectal obstructions.