

September 21th, 2015

Dear Dr. Ze-Mao Gong
Science Editor, Editorial office
World Journal of Gastroenterology
Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

RE: Manuscript 21052

Thank you for providing the constructive review and comments on our reviewing manuscript entitled, "**Combination could be another tool for bowel preparation?**". We have revised this manuscript in accordance with the suggestions of reviewers and our point-to-point responses to the concerns of the referees are provided in the attachment to this letter. We also have revised references and abbreviations according to edited format in the revised manuscript.

We hope that this revised manuscript now meets the standards for publication in *World Journal of Gastroenterology* and we look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,
Kyung-Jo Kim, MD
Jae Seung Soh, MD

Point-to-point responses to the comments of reviewers

We thank reviewers for providing a constructive review and comments.

Response to review No. 2537190

1. Comments to the Authors:

The manuscript "Combination could be another tool for bowel preparation?" by Jae Seung Soh and Kyung-Jo Kim is a good review of different bowel cleansing procedures. Although it was designed as a review of studies comparing two or more different cleansing methods, authors should add an important European study analysing combination of magnesium sulphate and low volume PEG in more than 14 000 patients (Eur J gastroenterol Hepatol 2014).In my personal opinion combination of low volume PEG with osmotic agents is the way to go in the future.

Response: Thank you very much for your comment. We had added the important study of combination of magnesium sulphate and low-dose PEG in the 'Combination of PEG and osmotic agents' section ([revised manuscript, page 7, lines 22–26](#)), but we did not include on the table 1 because the study was not performed the comparison between combination and single agent: *"A new combination protocol for bowel preparation using 2 L of magnesium sulphate mineral water and 2 L of low-volume PEG with electrolyte was studied in 13,914 European patients[17]. Excellent or good bowel cleansing was achieved in 13,378 (96.23%) patients and it proved that combination method was effective in large population."*

Response to review No. 2910526

1. Comments to the Authors

Thank you for submitting a good review article. It will be a very informative and educational evidence to the colonoscopist. Prior acceptance without revision could be possible.

Response: We thank the reviewer for giving us a compliment. We hope that our article helps to choose the colonoscopists proper bowel preparations for their patients.