



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com <http://www.wjgnet.com>

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Nephrology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 23183

Manuscript Type: Review

Reviewer 1:

Dear Author I have some comments concerning this manuscript which deal with the possible renoprotective effects of soya protein .Actually it is interesting topic to deal with. 1-all the papers mentioned concerning this issue were with small number of cases. 2-authors explained the possible renoprotective effects of soya-with its genitien content-which was not reflected on kidney function represented by eGFR ,urinary urea or creatinine in many studies mentioned in tables 1,2.Moreover, authors claimed that it can reduce deterioration of kidney function and proteinuria(many studies included with normal baseline renal function). 3- Despite the mentioned antioxidant ,anti inflammatory and antifibrotic effects authors did not show big studies demonstrating such effects.

Grade C (Good)

The information in the table includes studies that include direct assement of renal function, and those that do not; this is clearly indicated in the tables. Unfortunately, there have been no large-scale clinical studies on the reno-protective effects of soy; the review contains all of the studies that we could identify. We have added a sentence in the conclusions that addresses this deficiency, i.e. no large-scale studies.

Reviewer 2:

The review is very clearly written, comprehensive overview of the effects of soy protein on renal disease and the mechanisms of action. I have only one minor comment. In the last sentence of the review, the authors refer to an article by Klein et al., mentioning that '... by more careful design and reporting of the interventions and outcomes as presented by Klein et al., 2010'. It would be very good if the authors would open up by one or two sentences how Klein et al. suggests to design and report the outcomes and interventions. Now the task of finding out is left to the readers of the review. I think that this is not an optimal way to end the otherwise excellent review.

Grade A (Excellent)

Additional information has been added to the conclusion section.

Reviewer 3:

The purpose of the paper is to give a review of soy-based renoprotection. The paper is very well written and structured. The tables are built up systematically, and the paper is easy to read. The reference list is adequate and contains the relevant literature. The paper gives an excellent review of the topic.

Grade A (Excellent)

Reviewer 4:

I read the article with pleasure - it is well structured, comprehensive and well written. I have no comments - the text is suitable for publishing in its current form.

Grade B (Very good)