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**Abstract**

**AIM:** To clarify the biological feature contributing to gastric cancer with diffuse bone metastases at diagnosis.

**METHODS:** The participants visited the Department of Clinical Oncology, Akita University Hospital, from January 2014 to August 2015. The selection criterion for gastric cancer with diffuse bone metastases at diagnosis includes over 29 hot spots of bone scintigraphy. Circulating tumor cell were collected from 20 mL of peripheral venous blood drawn using a CellSearch kit and a CellTracks AutoPrep system by SRL, a clinical laboratory. The endpoints of this study were correlations between circulating tumor cells (CTC) count and therapeutic outcomes.

**RESULTS:** Among 39 patients with gastric cancer, 5 patients met the criterion. The incidence of this subtype was 12.8 %. CTC counts ranged from 235 to 6440 cells/7.5 mL of peripheral blood (median of 1724). These values were much higher than common gastric cancers (2 cells). In chemo-sensitive cases, CTC counts decreased within 14 d (median) from 275, 235 and 1724 to 2, 7 and 66, respectively. On the other hand, CTC counts increased after treatment failure or insensitive case from 2, 7 and 6440 to 787, 513 and 7885, respectively. The correlation between CTC count and survival time showed a trend, but did not reach significance (Y = 234.6 − 0.03X, *P* = 0.085).

**CONCLUSION:** High CTC count is a biological hallmark of this subtype, and can be used as a direct and definitive indicator of therapeutic outcome.
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**Core tip:** It has been reported in many times that a specific subtype of gastric cancer characterized with diffuse bone metastases at diagnosis, rapid progression and poorer prognosis apparently exists in almost one of ten gastric cancers. However, the basic and biological features of this subtype are not specified until today. In this study, we identified high number of circulating tumor cell of this subtype, and considered that circulating tumor cells (CTC) is responsible for the clinical features described above. CTC is not only a biological hallmark of this subtype, but also informative as a predictive or prognostic biomarkers.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Gastric cancer with associated diffuse bone metastases at diagnosis has rarely been reported[1]. This condition has been referred to in the literature as “diffuse bone metastasis with hematologic disorders from gastric cancer” or “gastric cancer, initially presenting as disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC)”[2,3]. This condition is frequently accompanied by DIC, and the comorbidity rate with bone metastases is 82%–86%[2,3]. Similarly, the frequency of bone metastases and gastric cancer with DIC is reported to be 87%[1]. Rhee reported 21 patients with DIC at diagnosis among 1216 advanced gastric cancer patients, in whom 18 patients had bone metastases simultaneously (18/1216 = 1.5%)[3]. Although they are rare, they have outstanding features besides diffuse bone metastases and DIC. Additional clinical features of this subtype that differ from typical gastric cancers include a lower incidence of visceral metastases, more aggressive disease course, and poorer prognosis.For examples, Etoh reported 15 cases over the course of 20 years[1] and Toyoshima described 5 of the 42 reported cases (11.9%)[4]. Among the latter cases, who all had bone marrow metastases, the CTC counts ranged from 30 to 18015 cells/7.5 mL.The reported median survival time (MST) of this disease ranges from 8 to 22 wk[1,3,5], which is much shorter than that of more common stage IV gastric cancers, for which MSTs of 11.1 and 13.8 mo have been reported in patients treated with cisplatin plus 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) or capecitabine and trastuzumab, respectively[6]. Accordingly, medical oncologists consider this disease to be a distinct gastric cancer subtype[1-3], and we should know the clinicopathological characters of this subtype. The high frequency of bone metastasis with this specific subtype is in contrast to the less than 10% frequency with more common gastric cancers[7,8]. Bone metastasis may occur once cancer cells have infiltrated the vasculature and entered the blood stream. Such circulating tumor cells (CTCs) are often detected in the peripheral blood of patients with lung, breast, and prostate cancer, which are diseases with much higher incidences of bone metastases (36%–73%)[9]. High CTC counts have been reported for prostate and breast cancers (84 ± 885 and 75 ± 333 cells/7.5 mL in the peripheral blood, respectively)[10]. There are also reports of a CTC count > 50 cells/7.5 mL in 14% and 10% of prostate and breast cancer patients, respectively[10].However, the median CTC count for patients with common forms of gastric cancer are much lower, at around 2 cells/7.5 mL, with a CTC count of > 50 cells/7.5 mL only seen in approximately 8% of gastric cancer cases[10-12].The characteristics of diffuse bone metastases within this subtype might reflect an increased number of CTCs. Therefore, we examined the CTC count of this specific subtype. We have previously reported CTC counts for two patients with this gastric cancer subtype[13]. In this study, we report an additional three cases with this subtype and substantiate the clinical importance of CTCs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Study population and data collection***

The patients, who visited and were diagnosed as gastric cancer at the Department of Clinical Oncology, Akita University Hospital, from January 2014 to August 2015, were analyzed. The selection criterion for identifying patients with this cancer subtype are as follows: (1) histopathologically confirmed gastric cancer; (2) apparent symptoms of diffuse bone metastases at onset; (3) diffuse bone metastases detected by bone scintigraphy (BS); and (4) a hot spot number over 29; This is derived from the data that the reported mean ± SD of BS hot spot number for gastric cancer was 16 ± 13[14] (Figure 1). This study was approved by the Akita University School of Medicine Ethics Committee (#828). Informed consent and an agreement to publish were obtained from all patients.

***CTC collection***

CTCs were isolated as previously described[15]. In brief, CTCs were isolated from 20 mL of peripheral venous blood drawn using a CellSearch kit and a CellTracks AutoPrep system (Janssen Diagnostics, LLC, New Jersey, United States). This procedure was outsourced to SRL, a clinical laboratory (Tokyo, Japan).

***Study endpoints***

The primary endpoint of this study was CTC count and its change after chemotherapy. The secondary endpoints were correlations between CTC number and the therapeutic response, and between CTC number and survival. Evaluation of the therapeutic response was performed using response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (RECIST, version 1.1).

***Statistical analysis***

The values are shown as means ± SD. Simple regression analysis was performed using StatMate III, version 3.14 (ATMS, Tokyo, Japan). This statistical method was reviewed by Professor Katsuyuki Murata from Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Akita University.

**RESULTS**

***Characteristics of a specific subtype of gastric cancer with diffuse bone metastasis at diagnosis***

During this period, 39 patients with gastric cancer (28 males and 11 females) visited our department. Five patients met the criterion, and were diagnosed as this subtype. The incidence of this subtype was 12.8 %. They included four males and one female, who aged 24–78 years (median, 50 years) (Table 1). Patients were histopathologically diagnosed with adenocarcinomas, signet ring cell carcinomas, or mixed cancers. Distant metastases other than bone metastases are reported in Table 1. DIC was observed in two cases.

***CTC counts of this subtype***

CTC counts before chemotherapy ranged from 235 to 6440 cells/7.5 mL of peripheral blood (median of 1724; Table 2), which is considered to be a characteristic of this gastric cancer subtype. These values were considerably higher than is typically found with more common gastric cancers, which have a reported median value of 2 cells/7.5 mL)[11].

***Change of CTC count and therapeutic response***

The therapeutic course for each case is presented in Table 2. Cases 1 and 2 were described in detail elsewhere[13]. With three cases (cases 1, 2, and 4), tumors were sensitive to chemotherapy administered immediately after the CTC examination. Tumor response was evaluated by computed tomography (CT) imaging performed nearly 3 mo after the initiation of chemotherapy. The patient CTC count was reassessed at a median of 14 days after chemotherapy (range, 11–16 d). A change in the CTC count may be an earlier indicator of the therapeutic outcome than changes visible upon imaging. Earlier detection could be critical because the progression of this gastric cancer subtype tends to be very rapid. If a tumor is insensitive to treatment, an alternative chemotherapeutic agent may be substituted after only one cycle, eliminating the need to continue an ineffective systemically administered treatment for several months, as is necessary to detect imaging changes. Moreover, this cancer subtype often lacks measurable targets except lymph node metastases, making imaging evaluation difficult. Furthermore, concurrent measurement of the serum tumor markers carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) produced considerably different results in two cases (cases 1 and 2; Table 2). For these cases, it was not possible to predict the therapeutic responses based on changes in these markers. Nevertheless, the CTC count can be used as a direct and definitive indicator of therapeutic outcome in this gastric cancer subtype. Alternatively, CTC counts were increased in cases 1 and 2 upon treatment failure. For those cases, CTC counts increased to 787 and 513 cells/7.5 mL peripheral blood, respectively. An additional patient (case 3) who was insensitive to the initial treatment showed an increase in the CTC count from 6440 to 7885 cells/7.5 mL peripheral blood. A second course of chemotherapy was not initiated because of a worsened general condition.

***Correlation between CTC count and survival***

The peripheral blood CTC count also can predict patient survival. For case 5, chemotherapy was only administered for 3 d because of the patient’s rapidly worsening condition. With the exception of case 4 (still alive for > 180 d), the survival times for the other 4 cases appeared to correlate with their initial CTC count (Figure 2). The initial CTC counts were high for the two short-term survivors (cases 3 and 5) who lived until 30 d after their initial diagnosis (6440 and 4197 cells/7.5 mL peripheral blood, respectively). The long-term survivors who lived for more than 160 d (cases 1, 2, and 4) had considerably lower initial CTC counts (235, 275, and 1724 cells/7.5 mL peripheral blood, respectively). Although case 4 is still alive (over 263 d), the relationship between CTC count and survival time showed a negative trend but did not reach significance (Y = 234.6 − 0.03X, *P* = 0.085; Figure 2). Concerning case 4, the CTC was additionally examined 2 times during this period. Those are suppressed, and they were 33 and 60 cells/7.5 mL, respectively. That indicates the first line chemotherapy S1 plus cis-platinum is still effective for case 4. These observations suggest that the initial CTC, count is a useful prognostic biomarker for patients with this disease.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results indicate that, unlike typical gastric cancer, the subtype of gastric cancer that presents with diffuse bone metastases can be characterized as having a high CTC count. Therefore, we propose this subtype of gastric cancer as circulating gastric cancer (cGC). The incidence of cGC is roughly estimated to range from 1.5% to 11.9% of gastric cancer in the literature[3,4]. However, if CTC count is characteristics of this subtype, we can estimate the incidence more precisely. One of the reasons why cGC metastasizes to bone with high frequency is due to the blood stream from stomach, we considered. The blood stream from almost digestive tracts other than upper stomach and lower rectum flows into portal vein. However, a part of the blood stream from the proximal region of stomach flows into connecting vein between left gastric vein and esophageal vein, and leads to valveless Batson venous plexus, which forms venous plexus penetrating spines via azygous and hemiazygous veins[16]. This situation is similar to the blood stream from prostate, breast and lung. These high CTC counts may have contributed to the diffuse bone metastases observed with this subtype. Bone marrow is considered to be a common and easily accessed homing organ for tumor cells that escape epithelial tumors[17]. As the other factor for CTC to metastasize to bone, it is thought there are niches in the bone marrow where CTCs can easily reside, and the bone marrow is considered to be a reservoir for disseminated tumor cells[4,18]. It has also been suggested that cancer cells metastasize to the bone through a multistep process[18]. Ongoing research efforts may define the molecular basis of this subtype in the near future. There may be specific genetic mutations present in CTCs that confer the specific phenotypes that enable bone metastasis. In addition, these mutations may represent the characteristic features of this subtype that facilitate the rapid progression of circulating cells to the bone. Thus, CTC analysis might establish the molecular pathogenesis of this specific cancer subtype. Within this subtype, in all practicality, the CTC count may serves as a definitive biomarker for evaluating therapeutic effects, as has been previously suggested[19,20]. The CTC count has the further advantage of allowing the evaluation of chemotherapeutic benefit earlier than imaging measures. Furthermore, CTC measurement may assist in predicting patient survival. In spite of rareness of the incidence of cGC as low as 10%, further and larger study should be warranted in near future.
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**COMMENTS**

***Background***

The incidence of subtype of gastric cancer that presents with diffuse bone metastases at onset is roughly estimated as 10% or less of gastric cancer. However, the biological natures of this disease are not identified, and also this situation is not clearly defined.

***Research frontiers***

Recently to examine cancer patients, liquid biopsy is very accessible and becomes a reliable way in which we can get DNA and RNA of cancer cells or even capture themselves from blood drawn. By this easy way, we can get any biological information of the cancer cells’ situation at real time.

***Innovations and breakthroughs***

Concerning this subtype of gastric cancer, no one argues the high number or importance of circulation tumor cell (CTC) for diagnosis. We also claim that CTC of this subtype is very useful as predictive and prognosis biomarkers.

***Applications***

The CTC count of this subtype should be measured prior to administration of chemotherapeutic agents. Then it should be reexamined just after one cycle of chemotherapy, and compared them to evaluate the sensitivity of the drugs used. That could result in a better outcome to the patient.

***Terminology***

Circulation tumor cell (CTC) is a living cancer cell in the patient’s blood flow. It can be captured by immunomagnetic beads coated suitable antibodies.

***Peer-review***

Kazuhiro Shimazu *et al* described their clinical experience with 5 cases of a rare type of gastric cancer characterized by diffuse bone metastases at diagnosis, rapid progression and poor prognosis. They identified high number of CTC in this type of cancer, and considered that CTC is responsible for the clinical features. This is also an extension of their previous report on 2 cases included in the study.**REFERENCES**
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**Figure 1** **Diffuse bone metastases with specific gastric cancer subtypes.** The results of bone scintigraphy is presented for each case (1–5 corresponds to a–e). A red dot indicates a hot spot, with the number listed at the bottom. The mean ± SD number of hot spots was 89.6 ± 42.2.



**Figure 2** **Correlation between the circulating tumor cells count and survival time.** Survival time likely correlates with the initial circulating tumor cells (CTC) count (*P* = 0.085).

**Table 1 Participant characteristics**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Case** | **Gender** | **Age** | **Hist** | **DIC** | **Distant LN metstasisa** | **Visceral metastasis**  | **Treatment** |
| 1 | M  | 50 | por + sig | + | - | PC | S1 + DTX1 |
| 2 | F  | 59 | por  | - | Para Aorta | LC (lung) | S1 + DTX |
| 3 | M  | 78 | tub + por + sig | + | Para Aorta | - | wPTX2 |
| 4 | M | 38 | por  | - | Para Aorta | - | S1 + CDDP3 |
| 5 | M | 24 | por + sig | - | Para Aorta | PC | S1 |

1Administrated with S1 (40 mg/m2, twice daily for 14 d) and DTX (33 – 40 mg/ m2) every 3 wk; 2Administrated weekly with DTX (15 mg/m2) for 3 wk; 3Administrated with S1 (40 mg/m2, twice daily for 21 d) and CDDP (60 mg/m2) every 5 wk. LN: Lymph node; PC: Peritonitis carcinomatosa; LC: Lymphangitis carcinomatosa; Por: Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma; sig: Signet ring cell carcinoma; tub: Tubular adenocarcinoma; DTX: Docetaxel; CDDP: Cis-platinum.

**Table 2 circulating tumor cells count and therapeutic outcomes**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Case** |  **Tumor Markers** |  **CTC count** **pre (**3**) post** |  **Date1****(the X d)** | **Effects** | **Survival****(d) 2** |
|   **pre (3) post** |
| 1 | CEA | 288 () 160 | 275 () 2 | 16 | non CR/non PD | 160 |
| CA19-9 |  158 () 690  |
| 2 | CEA |  120 () 83  | 235 () 7 | 11 | (+) PR | 246 |
| CA19-9 |  5201 () 6543  |
| 3 | CEA |  1.4 () 1.3  | 6440 () 7885 | 14 | (-) PD | 30 |
| CA19-9 |  7.6 () 8.3  |
| 4 | CEA |  15 () 1.1  |  1724 () 66 | 14 | (+) PR | Alive> 120 |
| CA19-9 |  4.2 () 4.1 |
| 5 | CEA |  2.4 () 12 | 4197 –ND | ND | (+) PD | 31 |
| CA19-9 |  205 () 653 |

1The days of evaluation of tumor markers and CTC from the start of treatment. 2The days from the start of the treatment to death. CTC count is indicated as cells / 7.5 mL. The units of CEA and CA19-9 are ng / mL and U / mL, respectively. 3The previous and post treatment values are indicated as pre – post. The blue arrows indicate decrease, the red increase, and black no change. ND: Not done; Non CR/non PD: Case 1 has no target legions; PR: Partial response; PD: Progressive disease.