

Reviewer (1)

I suggest you check the entire manuscript in order to avoid typing, grammar and punctuation mistakes.

The English language was revised for typing, grammatical, and punctuation errors.

I suggest to support in a better way the conclusions, in particular the following sentences 'BTX- A is considered relatively safe, although minor transient FI may occur in some patients' and 'on the contrary it can lead to higher complication rates so it is better avoided'. Poor data are presented supporting these sentences.

Most of the studies reviewed reported very low complication rates (almost zero), however, the studies that applied posterior injection in addition to lateral injection reported higher rates of complications (up to 22%), and hence we can assume that the combined injection technique induces higher morbidity. The conclusion has been revised in light of the reviewer's comment and the unsupported sentences were omitted.

Reviewer (2)

We would like to thank the reviewer for their valuable time and effort reviewing our manuscript, we were pleased by receiving their thoughtful comments and we would like to reply to them as follows:

1. Please add the basic scientific description (molecular and biological mechanistic feature) about the botulinum toxin in INTRODUCTION

The required information about the mechanistic feature of BTX-A has been added to the "Introduction" section.

2. Page 6, line 6, "Mata-Analysis" should read "Meta-Analysis".

We apologize for this typographic mistake, we corrected it in the manuscript.

3. In conclusion section, author describes "BTX-A is considered relatively safe". But complication rate was various, some has very little but some has 20 %. So it looks difficult to conclude "safe". Author should say "need more analysis using more patients".

We revised the conclusion and stated that further analysis of more patients is required in order to conclude the safety of BTX- A in the treatment of anismus.

Reviewer (3)

We would like to thank the reviewer for their valuable time and effort reviewing our manuscript, we were pleased by receiving their thoughtful comments and we would like to reply to them as follows:

1. Please recommend the readers “How to apply this knowledge for routine clinical practice?”

We added some recommendations for the readers on how to clinically apply the results of our review in the management of patients with anismus, these recommendation were placed after the conclusions of the review.