

Answering reviewers

Reviewer's code: 03538721

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor,

Overall recommendation: Good for publish

Final comments: The authors review latest systemic chemotherapy for advanced HCC patients. Most hepatologists really expect new therapy for advanced HCC. I recommend this paper for publish. Sincerely.

Author response:

Great thanks for your kind consideration.

Reviewer's code: 00070056

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Your work is of great significance. It is very comprehensive and clear. I believe that readers will know the development of the HCC treatment much better. However, the subtitle of your manuscript could be further improved. In the parts of systematic chemotherapy, it may be better to arrange your manuscript by the different chemotherapy regime.

Author response:

Thanks for the comment. We addressed some subtitles of the manuscript and added some new information in the part of “Molecular target drugs”, including apatinib, more details in other c-met inhibitor and other targeted drugs (Pazopanib and Axitinib). We also added a new part for Arginine deprivation therapy.

Please see Page 5, 11, 12, 14 and 23.

Reviewer's code: 00182548

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review is very interesting and useful. Sorafenib is also used in the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia patients carrying internal tandem duplication of fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3-ITD) mutation. After prolonged treatment with sorafenib, cancer cells can become resistant to it. But adding an inhibitor of the Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger can restore the response to sorafenib. (Mih?il? RG. A minireview on NHE1 inhibitors. A rediscovered hope in oncohematology. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub 2015 Dec; 159(4): 519-526.) Unfortunately, there are many grammatical errors that must be corrected. A number written at the beginning of a sentence must be written in letters (not numbers). The references are not written quite correctly: each row should be checked (the spaces between words, the volume number of magazine in bold, and so on). The exposure should be better systematized. In my opinion, this review should be published after a few corrections.

Author response:

Thanks for your kind reminding. We will try our best to correct the grammatical errors in the manuscript and especially for the use of a number written at the beginning of a sentence. We also check the references carefully.