

ANSWERING REVIEWERS

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 27517

Title: Aggressive, recurrent, restenosis after percutaneous intervention in each of two coronary loci in a patient with HIV infection on antiretroviral therapy

Reviewer's code: 01593993

CLASSIFICATION: A

LANGUAGE EVALUATION: B

CONCLUSION: Minor revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report a clinical case of a patient with HIV infection on antiretroviral therapy with recurrent in-stent restenosis requiring several percutaneous intervention and finally CABG. The case is interesting and well written. My only comment would be to mention in the discussion whether changing the DES type for other DES releasing different drugs (rapamycin, zotarolimus, paclitaxel) may be helpful in this context or not (not evaluated in this patient).

RESPONSE

We appreciate the reviewer's comment on evaluating the potential of using different drug eluting stent in our case. Focus discussion has been included within the report to address this- currently highlighted in yellow.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

Reviewer's code: 00227375

CLASSIFICATION: A

LANGUAGE EVALUATION: B

CONCLUSION: Accept

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a rare case report of aggressive multifocal and recurrent instant restenosis in a patient with HIV infection. This manuscript is nicely structured and well written. I have no question about this manuscript.

RESPONSE

We are very grateful for the reviewer's comment and appreciate the positive feedback.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

Reviewer's code: 00211908

CLASSIFICATION: B

LANGUAGE EVALUATION: A

CONCLUSION: High priority for publication

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case report has an educational value. The references should be cited according to the journal's recommendation.

RESPONSE

We are very grateful for the reviewer's comment and appreciate the positive feedback. The current format of the references is according to the Journal's recommendation.