

30299-ANSWERING REVIEWERS

Respected reviewers,

The manuscript has been revised as per suggestions and the changes have been highlighted in the text file. Since the manuscript has been rewritten extensively, most of the previous lines and paragraphs have changes. The most significant of the changes have been highlighted separately and the remaining have been incorporated in the text.

Thanks and regards

Authors

Reviewer No.	Reviewer Comments	Corrections	Page Number	Line number
Reviewer 1	1.Some small corrections of the text are needed (points, commas, brackets).	Respected reviewer, corrections have been done at appropriate places		
	1.Redundancies be removed along with the multiple repetitions of the same content throughout the manuscript. 2.The bullet style/numbering style of manuscript writing does not suit a review article and the authors should consider editing the format.	Respected reviewer, the text has been thoroughly modified and corrections have been done at appropriate places The text has been thoroughly modified and corrections have been done at appropriate places ”		

	<p>3. It would be interesting to discuss here regarding any societal recommendations on role of IR in cases of trauma.</p> <p>4. Most of the text in the manuscript is written in a numerical order unlike a review article.</p> <p>5. Please provide background details and more details on the different roles of IR in trauma rather than enumerating under different headings.</p> <p>6. The manuscript is too long and there are several repetitions and redundancies in the manuscript.</p>	<p>CIRSE guidelines included</p> <p>Appropriate corrections have been done</p> <p>Appropriate corrections have been done</p> <p>The text has been thoroughly modified and corrections have been done at appropriate places</p>	<p>32</p> <p>29</p>	<p>1-8</p> <p>10-23</p>
	<p>7. The authors should include management considerations for mesenteric vascular</p>	<p>Role of IR in mesenteric injury has been incorporated in the text</p>		

	<p>injury and role of IR in mesenteric trauma.</p> <p>8. What is the role of IR in bowel injury?</p> <p>9. Please also provide distinction between role of IR in blunt vs penetrating abdominal trauma?</p> <p>10. What is the role of IR in thoracic vascular injuries? What is the role of IR in head and neck trauma?</p> <p>11. Role of nonvascular interventional procedures in trauma?</p> <p>12. Figure 1, 6, 9, 12, 13, 19, 20. Are the charts in these figure - author's own algorithm or is there a reference for the same. In the latter case, please cite the reference?</p>	<p>Role of IR in bowel injury has been incorporated in the text</p> <p>The distinction between the role of IR in blunt vs penetrating abdominal trauma has been incorporates</p> <p>Role of IR in thoracic vascular injuries (aorta and its branches) and traumatic head and neck injuries have been included</p> <p>Role of nonvascular interventions in trauma has been briefly discussed</p> <p>Respected reviewer, these charts are author's own algorithm</p>	<p>29</p> <p>5</p> <p>30</p> <p>31</p>	<p>10-23</p> <p>2-9</p> <p>4-17</p> <p>19-25</p>
Reviewer 3	<p>1.It seems somewhat superficial and not quite up-to-date. It does not give much new</p>	<p>Appropriate corrections have been done throughout the text</p>		

	information.			
	2. Traumatic thoracic aorta rupture treatment with endoprosthesis has replaced surgical treatment in trauma patients. This should be included in an article of this kind.	Role of IR in traumatic thoracic injuries have been included	30	4-17
	3. Discuss about basic trauma management principle like ABCD etc.	Basic trauma management protocol has been described	4	1-14
	4. IR also plays an important role in patients with hostile neck and abdomen.	Role of IR in hostile neck and abdomen injuries have been incorporated	30 31	20-25 1-15
	5. On p 3 and 5 (false negative angio) the "cut-off" sign should have been mentioned (no flow through the ruptured vessel) at the time of examination.	Sign has been included in the text	10	14-15
	6. Same p "exuberant ..." contralateral examination and possible		8	4-6

	<p>embolization in pelvic trauma should be mentioned.</p> <p>7. When the patient is on AC treatment or has coagulopathy there are fluid materials, plugs or hydrocoils as alternative embolization materials. It is important to stress that patients and symptoms are to be treated, not pictures (p 6).</p> <p>8. In table 1 p 6 - 8 another indication for use of gel sponge and standard coils is that they are cheap. Nothing is said about e.g. hydrocoils, detachable coils or onyx or other fluid materials. Nothing about indications of use in coagulopathy. The vascular plugs also come in small sizes. Gel sponge is said to be the safest embolic agent.</p>	<p>Embolizing agents which can be used in coagulopathy has been incorporated in the text</p> <p>Corrections have been done in Table 1 and text</p>	<p>16</p> <p>14 15 16</p>	<p>1-20</p> <p>2-9 2-11 1-7</p>
--	--	--	-----------------------------------	---

	<p>This is not generally accepted. Starch microparticles are also temporary agents.</p> <p>9. P 14 "As hemodynamic stability....." should be "hemodynamic unstability..."??</p> <p>10. Nothing is mentioned about the positive effect of pelvic sling as a bridge to IR to limit the bleeding until embolization can be performed.</p> <p>11. On p 20: "glue is preferred in coagulopathy...) but also onyx, hydrocoils etc. are options and more easy to handle.</p> <p>12. Figures: Fig 1 to the left side "good collateral circulation, e.g. liver" needs embolization....(sandwich</p>	<p>It has been corrected to hemodynamic instability</p> <p>Correction has been done</p> <p>Correction has been done.</p> <p>Algorithm has been corrected</p>	<p>24</p> <p>24</p> <p>33</p>	<p>3</p> <p>5-10</p> <p>10-11</p>
--	--	--	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------

	<p>technique) - this is not correct and not demonstrated in figs 2, 3, 4 or 5 with liver traumas. Same fig to the right side: "Proximal embolization...." needs large sized coils and "occlusion device"???</p> <p>Occlusion device is used for closure of the puncture site.</p> <p>13.Fig 2 text. Arrows shown in d not mentioned in the text.</p> <p>14.Fig 3: Asterix and arrow mentioned in the text not visible on the figure.</p> <p>15.Fig 5: arrows and Asterix referred to in the text not visible on the figure.</p> <p>16.Fig 7 and following figures: In first part of the figures the Pictures are marked "a" and "b"</p>	<p>Correction has been done</p> <p>Correction done</p> <p>Correction done</p> <p>Correction done</p>	39	13
--	---	--	----	----

	<p>but that is also the case with the following pictures in the same series. Should be marked "c", "d" etc....</p>	Correction done	40	40
	<p>17.Fig 12</p> <p>"Recommended protocol for managing suspected blunt splenic trauma" should be "renovascular" trauma.</p>	<p>Correction done</p>		
	<p>18. Fig. 14: the text is given twice.</p>	Correction done	41	44
	<p>19.Fig. 19: no text to figure - should be treatment....</p>			
	<p>20.P 49 - 68 is a repetition of p 28 - 48</p>			