

Dr. Fumiaki Kawara
Division of Gastroenterology,
Department of Internal Medicine,
Kobe University
Graduate School of Medicine

7-5-1 Kusunoki-Cho, Chuo-ku, Kobe,
Hyogo 650-0017, Japan
Tel: +81-78-382-6305
FAX: +81-78-382-6309
E-Mail:
lankey11@med.kobe-u.ac.jp
pivka_v@yahoo.co.jp

March 22, 2017

Dear Dr. Xiu-Xia Song,

Thank you for sparing your precious time for us the other day. We are pleased to submit the revised version of our manuscript entitled “ Gastric Plexiform Fibromyxoma Resected by Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection after Observation of Chronological Changes: A Case Report ”.

We have revised the manuscript according to the comments from reviewers and underlined all of revised sentences. The following are the revised points.

Reviewer 1 (reviewer’s code: 03478199)

In this case, resected margins were histologically tumor-free. But vascular invasion was positive. How about the details of the follow-up?

We also thought that it was necessary to follow up carefully.

P 9, line 12-19: “Previous studies reported the lack of recurrence or metastasis of PF

after excision [1,7]; however, Miettinen et al. demonstrated that some plexiform elements showed intravascular involvement, suggesting that PF occasionally spreads through vessels [1]. Since our case also exhibited vascular invasion, follow-up examinations were carefully performed. Since no patients have developed recurrence, annual endoscopy and CT are considered to be sufficient to monitor patients.”

Reviewer 2 (reviewer's code: 03027040)

Dear Authors, I enjoyed reviewing the present paper, seen the rarity of the case. It could be enriched with a brief review of the literature, due to the scarce number of papers reporting similar tumors. moreover it could be interesting to expand data about EUS, for example explaining the characteristics of elastometry and eventual contrast enhancement. The quality of the article is augmented by the images, which are impressive and clear. overall a good paper.

We are greatly honored by your words. Unfortunately, we did not perform contrast-enhanced EUS for the case, we would like to perform for the same kind of cases.

P 10, line 11-12: “In this case, even though contrast-enhanced EUS was not performed, it may also be useful for reaching a differential diagnosis [14, 15]. ”

We now hope that our paper will be suitable for publication in WJGO and look forward to hearing from you concerning your editorial decision.

Sincerely yours,

Fumiaki Kawara, Shinwa Tanaka