

31614-Answering reviewers

March 15, 2017

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 31614-Revised manuscript.doc).

Title: Infective endocarditis and thoracic aortic disease: a review on forgotten psychological aspects

Author: Mariana Suárez Bagnasco, Iván J. Núñez Gil

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 31614

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestion of the reviewer and editor.

Reviewer 1

*Comment: Overall, I think this is a very interesting and important review of a difficult topic. I think the focus on IE is very timely and important - and the author presents the data in a very meaningful and useful manner. I am a little concerned about how the TAD part of the manuscript is organized as it appears to jump around from cardiac surgery procedures (i.e. aortic valve) to aortic (ascending and/or descending vs arch) - which is probably reflective of the limited available data in the area. Maybe the author can help clarify this - either by re-working it or maybe even changing the focus to be more specific. Also, the title - while appropriate needs to be changed as I do not think that "psy" is an appropriate medical term in general or in a manuscript title - maybe the entire work can be spelled out?*

Response:

We change “psy” by “psychological”. We reorganized the results.

Editor comments:

*Step 1. Please revise your manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. You are expected to address each of the points raised by the reviewers in a response letter that is to accompany your resubmission. Please download the manuscript file edited by the editor, located in the "Manuscript" column, by clicking the link and the title line. You will find the editor's suggestions in the edited manuscript file, which have been added using the Track Changes function.*

*All of the revisions that you make to the revised manuscript should be cited in the response letter and highlighted in the updated version of the manuscript. In order to continually improve the quality of peer-review for our journals, we urge authors to carefully revise their manuscripts according to the peer-reviewers' comments and we promote productive academic interactions between the peer-reviewers, the authors, and our readers. To this end, we include each of the reviewers' comments, in an anonymized manner, as well as the authors' responses along with the manuscript's publication online.*

Response:

We carefully revised the manuscript according to the reviewers and editors comments. Modifications to the manuscript are highlighted in the updated version of the manuscript. All the necessary documents are provided along with the revised manuscript.

Editor comments:

*Step 2. Please update the manuscript according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision-Systematic Reviews.*

Response: The revised manuscript is updated according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision-Systematic Reviews.

Editor comments:

*Step 3. Please provide an Audio Core Tip. In order to attract readers to read your full-text article, we request that the author make an audio file describing the final core tip of the manuscript. This audio file will be published online, along with your article. Acceptable file formats are .mp3, .wav, or .aiff.*

Response: The core tip was provided as an audio mp3 file.

Editor comments:

*Step 4. Please subject the final title of the manuscript to Google Scholar search, and store screenshot images of the results. We strongly suggest that you check the final title of the manuscript using Google Scholar at <http://scholar.google.com/>*

Response: We check the final title of the manuscript using Google Scholar and store screenshot images of the results. They are provided along with the revised manuscript.

Editor comments:

Step 5. Please provide the files related to academic rules and norms. The files related to academic rules and norms include the biostatistics statement, conflict-of-interest statement, and data sharing statement. You can find the detailed requirements in the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision-Systematic Reviews and in the Format for Manuscript Revision-Systematic Reviews, both of which are provided as attachments.

Response: All the authors declared no conflict of interest. Biostatistics statement, conflict-of-interest statement, and data sharing statement are provided along with the revised manuscript.

Editor comments:

*Step 6. Please provide the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s)/letter(s). For manuscripts supported by various foundations (i.e., charitable, not-for-profit organizations), the authors should provide a copy of the full approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s)/letter(s), consisting of the information section and body section in PDF format. The approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s)/letter(s) will be released online together with the manuscript in order for readers to obtain more information about the study and to increase the likelihood of subsequent citation.*

Response:

Editor comments:

Step 7. Please revise the language of your manuscript. For manuscripts submitted by Non-Native Speakers of English, the authors are required to provide a language editing certificate, which will serve to verify that the language of the manuscript has reached Grade A. You can find the details of the language editing process for manuscripts submitted by Non-Native Speakers of English at <http://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

Response: The revised manuscript was carefully checked for the English correction.

Editor comments:

*Step 8. Please sign the Copyright Assignment form. The Copyright Assignment form can be downloaded from the ESPS; you may find it under the "Files Download" area (please click on the "+" in front of the manuscript number to view the Files Download button). Please note that the information in the signed document (i.e., the manuscript title, the authors' list, and the corresponding author) must be identical to the information presented in the final version of the manuscript. Please do not fax the signed documents, but instead submit the scanned PDFs online or by e-mail.*

Response: The copyright assignment form signed by all the authors are attached as PDF file along with the revised manuscript.

In addition, corresponding author's contact information, core trip, comments, a short running title, a structured abstract, and DOI or PMID have been provided in the revised manuscript. Also, we put the reference numbers in square brackets in superscript at the end of citation content or after the cited author's name.

31614-Answering reviewers

April 5, 2017

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 31614-Revised manuscript.doc).

Title: Infective endocarditis and thoracic aortic disease: a review on forgotten psychological aspects

Author: Mariana Suárez Bagnasco, Iván J. Núñez Gil

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 31614

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestion of the editor.

Modifications to the manuscript are highlighted in the updated version of the manuscript.

Editor comments:

*What is the manuscript type the authors aim for? A narrative review (but a systematic search strategy is included....), or a systematic review (then the manuscript should be restructured according to PRISMA guidelines)*

Response:

The manuscript type is a narrative review.

Editor comments:

*The abstract section is too long.*

Response:

The number of abstract words has been reduced.