



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

**Manuscript NO:** 32499

**Title:** The role of vitamin D in cystic fibrosis and non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis

**Reviewer's code:** 03478404

**Reviewer's country:** Romania

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-01-11

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Generally, this paper represents a good and comprehensive review about vitamin D and immunity, respiratory tract infections and bronchiectasis, especially not related to cystic fibrosis (CF). It describes in detail (maybe too many details) the current available evidence about the topic and its scientific quality is good. The structure of the manuscript is interesting and nicely approached. The references are pertinent. One of my concerns is that the paper is not easily to be read and followed. Every paragraph could be shortened and the same is applicable for some sentences (too long). Also, some information is redundant. Data could be summarized is some nice tables and diagrams/figures, which would really benefit the readers. The abstract could emphasize what is really important (including something about CF related bronchiectasis - there is nothing about that in the abstract). That would attract more attention towards the manuscript. In fact, there is no much information about vitamin D and CF related bronchiectasis, in this paper. The conclusion also does not contain anything about vitamin D and bronchiectasis in CF. Maybe it would be worth rewriting



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

these paragraphs/reconsidering the ideas. Minor polishing of English language is required.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

**Manuscript NO:** 32499

**Title:** The role of vitamin D in cystic fibrosis and non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis

**Reviewer's code:** 02446498

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-01-11

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors review the roles of vitamin D in the pathogenesis of bronchoectasis in children. It discusses the regulatory role of vitamin D in immunity and inflammation and the epidemiological findings for CF and non-CF bronchoectasis in details. I have the following minor comments. 1. Please summarize the important information in figure(s) and/or table(s). 2. Ref 17 (Tanaka et al. Biochem J 204: 713, 1982) should be changed to Abe et al. PNAS 78: 4990, 1981, where the same research group reported the differentiation-inducing effect of 1,25(OH)2D3 in mouse leukemia cells. They also reported the similar effect in human leukemia cells in Miyaura et al. BBRC 102: 937, 1981. 3. Section 5 "Vitamin D and bronchoestasis; clinical ---", 2nd paragraph. What's PCD? Is it primary cilliary dyskinesia? Please explain about PCD or don't use this abbreviation.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

**Manuscript NO:** 32499

**Title:** The role of vitamin D in cystic fibrosis and non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis

**Reviewer's code:** 03647656

**Reviewer's country:** Iran

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-01-11

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the text is too long