



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 32653

Title: Bladder urothelial carcinoma extending to rectal mucosa and presenting with rectal bleeding

Reviewer's code: 02505493

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-01-19

Date reviewed: 2017-01-20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Accepted



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 32653

Title: Bladder urothelial carcinoma extending to rectal mucosa and presenting with rectal bleeding

Reviewer's code: 02451447

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-07

Date reviewed: 2017-02-07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reported one urothelial carcinoma case with rectal extension and reviewed the literature. Comment: 1. It is well accepted already that the term urothelial carcinoma to replace old term transitional carcinoma. So it is unnecessary to give the term "transitional carcinoma" in the manuscript. 2. The authors should realize that urothelial carcinoma with rectum direct extension is not that rare, though not lots of literature can be found. Since many of these cases have never been reported. We saw few cases per year. but the presentation as fresh rectal bleeding may not be that common. I would not recommend to use the word "novel" in the manuscript. 3. In the concise summary last sentence, the authors wrote "This case report shows that urothelial bladder cancer can directly extend to rectal mucosa and thereby cause rectal bleeding".I would like to suggest the authors to rephrase it, since it is well known that urothelial carcinoma can invade directly to rectum. 4. I would recommend to change urothelial cancer to urothelial carcinoma in the text, and change in situ urothelial bladder cancer to bladder



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

urothelial carcinoma is situ. This will keep the term same to that WHO recommended. 5. I think Figure 3C is not necessary, other figures and word description are enough to confirm the tumor nature-urothelial carcinoma.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 32653

Title: Bladder urothelial carcinoma extending to rectal mucosa and presenting with rectal bleeding

Reviewer’s code: 00742243

Reviewer’s country: Italy

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-07

Date reviewed: 2017-02-08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors reported on a single case of urothelial bladder cancer extending to rectal mucosa via the prostate and mimicking hemorrhoidal bleeding, and reviewed the literature on this subject. The case is well described and of clinical interest. The background literature review is comprehensive and well done.