

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**Manuscript NO:** 32736

**Title:** Contrast-induced acute kidney injury: A review of practical points

**Reviewer's code:** 00233953

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Xiu-Xia Song

**Date sent for review:** 2017-01-21

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting review Comment #1: in addition to NSF, recent literature has raised concern about gadolinium deposition in the brain even in patients with normal kidney function. This is investigated by the European agencies and the FDA Please include

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**Manuscript NO:** 32736

**Title:** Contrast-induced acute kidney injury: A review of practical points

**Reviewer's code:** 00068723

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xiu-Xia Song

**Date sent for review:** 2017-02-13

**Date reviewed:** 2017-02-14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript reviewed acute kidney injury (AKI) due to contrast-media. The message of this manuscript is practical and important to all the employees in clinics and hospitals. The manuscript was written from practical point of view, and useful. Mechanism of AKI due to contrast-media would pave a way to prevent AKI due to contrast medium. It would be appropriated to expand the mechanism of AKI related with contrast-medium. Many of the readers know AKI due to contrast-medium. But not many of them are encountered with the condition. It would be helpful to expand the symptoms, treatment, and prognosis of AKI due to contrast-medium.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**Manuscript NO:** 32736

**Title:** Contrast-induced acute kidney injury: A review of practical points

**Reviewer's code:** 01593993

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Xiu-Xia Song

**Date sent for review:** 2017-02-13

**Date reviewed:** 2017-02-23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reviewed the state-of-the-art of contrast induced acute kidney disease. Most issues have been tackled and summarized. Tables help summarize the evidence. Paper is well written. In my view, there is an important risk score that has not been mentioned and is frequently used by interventional cardiologists, the Mehran score (J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004 Oct 6;44(7):1393-9.). This score has prognostic implications and should be added in this review. Minor issues: Please correct "Contrast (PC)" in table 9. Please write the references in an homogeneous manner (sometimes year appears at the end, sometimes after the title...)