



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 33022

Title: Conventional vs drug-eluting beads transarterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 02994003

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-12

Date reviewed: 2017-02-20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

ESPS Manuscript NO: 33022 Title: Conventional versus drug-eluting beads transarterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma This review is well presented and covered the main idea The strongest of this review 1- Clear idea and well presentation 2- Contains recent references 3- Good tables 4- Good presentation of Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) , conventional TACE (cTACE) and importance of Drug-eluting beads (DEBs) -It was better if the authors added diagrammatic / simplified figure for the Drug-eluting beads (DEBs) and its mechanism of action

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 33022

Title: Conventional vs drug-eluting beads transarterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 03473431

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-12

Date reviewed: 2017-02-24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript deals with a review that summarizes the clinical efficacy and safety of DEB-TACE for patients with intermediate or advanced stage HCC, in comparison with cTACE. The review is complete. Nevertheless, considering that results of both techniques are well known in the scientific community, authors should add recent scientific data on controversies that are increasing, especially in the treatment of intermediate HCC in cirrhosis. Maybe this request could be interpreted as out of the scope of the review, but I think that such considerations could be useful for the readers of the Journal.