



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 33086

**Title:** Evaluation of Doppler-US in the diagnosis of TIPS dysfunction: a prospective study

**Reviewer's code:** 03028174

**Reviewer's country:** Thailand

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-02-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-02-11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is aimed to assess the factors related to the prognosis of intraabdominal liposarcoma and find the optimal minimum duration for remnant tumor screening. The title is "Evaluation of Doppler-US in the diagnosis of TIPS dysfunction: a prospective study.". 1. Several factors influence the outcomes of the study. Some limitations might be occurred. 2. Please add more details of the limitations of the study. 3. What are the new knowledges from this study? 4. Please recommend the readers "How to apply this knowledge for routine clinical practice?".