

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 33202

Title: Prostatic adenocarcinoma oncocytic variant: Case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 00505650

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-12

Date reviewed: 2017-02-21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors present a very interesting clinical case regarding a rare pathological finding. The case is well detailed and the discussion accordingly developed. A minor comment could be to add considerations and implications with the new 2014 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) findings. Can the Authors add this? Furthermore the Authors could tentatively make a cautious statement as to how the clinical urologist should consider and treat this variant, i.e. exactly as a typical adenocarcinoma or else. This could be a good final comment to add interest for the every day urologist.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 33202

Title: Prostatic adenocarcinoma oncocytic variant: Case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 00505646

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-12

Date reviewed: 2017-02-22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case report of a very rare tumor. Although oncocytic tumors or group of mitochondrion-rich cells can be frequently seen in tumors of different origin, it is exceptional in prostate. It is a pity that no ultrastructural study have been performed, but immunohistochemical demonstration of cytochrome oxidase is convincing. In my opinion the paper can be accepted for publication.