



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 33330

**Title:** A case of traditional pediatric serrated adenoma resected via endoscopic submucosal dissection

**Reviewer's code:** 01438558

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-02-09

**Date reviewed:** 2017-02-13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors presented a case of ESD for pediatric traditional serrated adenoma. This experience is interesting. However, there are some comments with this report that need to be addressed. My comments are as follows: My comments 1. The title should be changed as "A case of pediatric traditional serrated adenoma resected via endoscopic submucosal dissection" 2. Why did the authors choose transanal surgical resection instead of ESD? 3. "Dual Knife" is wrong. "Dualknife" is correct. 4. Did the patient have anal pain after the procedure? The authors should describe this point clearly. 5. In the Discussion section, the authors mentioned "ESD is rarely used in children". Are there a few reports regarding ESD for children? If so, they should cite the reports. 6. There are some reports about ESD for anorectal tumor or tumor extending to the dentate line. The authors should cite these reports. (e.g. Surg Endosc. 2016 Oct;30(10):4425-31)

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 33330

**Title:** A case of traditional pediatric serrated adenoma resected via endoscopic submucosal dissection

**Reviewer's code:** 00044980

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-02-09

**Date reviewed:** 2017-02-24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a rare case report of traditional pediatric serrated adenoma resected by ESD. However, I have several comments as follows, 1. This patient was young. Did the patient undergo ESD under general anesthesia and bowel preparation? 2. This lesion was located in the anal verge, therefore, the patient may have some pain during ESD. Did authors inject the local anesthetic drug into the submucosal layer? 3. Authors mention magnifying endoscopic images. Please show the endoscopic figures and mention the findings such as pit patterns. 4. Please mention the transparent hood, the injected fluid and high frequency cautery apparatus. 5. Please mention the procedure time of ESD.