

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 33551

Title: Expression and detection value of PCNA and E-cadherin in gastric carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 03027905

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2017-02-16

Date reviewed: 2017-03-09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study about the expression and detection value of PCNA and E-cadherin in gastric carcinoma. This study is well designed and the results are very interesting. In this study, the authors investigated the expression and detection value of PCNA and E-cadherin in gastric carcinoma. About 146 patients were selected for this study, including 38 cases of intestinal metaplasia, 42 cases of severe atypical hyperplasia and 66 cases of primary gastric cancer. And 40 cases of normal gastric tissue was selected as controls. The expression of PCNA and E-cadherin was detected by immunohistochemistry. Differences in PCNA and the E-cadherin labeling index between normal gastric mucosa, intestinal metaplasia, dysplasia and gastric carcinoma were compared. The authors found that the combination of PCNA and E-cadherin improves the accuracy of assessing the prognosis of patients with gastric cancer. Comments 1 There are some languages polishing which need to be revised. 2 The results should be discussed in more deeper. 3 Figures are good. 4 References should be checked and updated 1

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 33551

Title: Expression and detection value of PCNA and E-cadherin in gastric carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 02857161

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2017-02-16

Date reviewed: 2017-03-10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is very interesting. After some minor revision of language, it can be accepted.