

# World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

*World J Gastroenterol* 2017 December 7; 23(45): 7945-8108



### MINIREVIEWS

- 7945** Pancreatic acinar cell carcinoma: A review on molecular profiling of patient tumors  
*Al-Hader A, Al-Rohil RN, Han H, Von Hoff D*
- 7952** Probiotics for gastrointestinal disorders: Proposed recommendations for children of the Asia-Pacific region  
*Cameron D, Hock QS, Kadim M, Mohan N, Ryoo E, Sandhu B, Yamashiro Y, Jie C, Hoekstra H, Guarino A*

### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### Basic Study

- 7965** Down-regulation of miR-30a-3p/5p promotes esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cell proliferation by activating the Wnt signaling pathway  
*Qi B, Wang Y, Chen ZJ, Li XN, Qi Y, Yang Y, Cui GH, Guo HZ, Li WH, Zhao S*
- 7978** Mesenchymal stem cells rescue acute hepatic failure by polarizing M2 macrophages  
*Li YW, Zhang C, Sheng QJ, Bai H, Ding Y, Dou XG*
- 7989** Improved experimental model of hepatic cystic hydatid disease resembling natural infection route with stable growing dynamics and immune reaction  
*Zhang RQ, Chen XH, Wen H*

#### Case Control Study

- 8000** Recurrence in node-negative advanced gastric cancer: Novel findings from an in-depth pathological analysis of prognostic factors from a multicentric series  
*Baiocchi GL, Molino S, Baronchelli C, Giacomuzzi S, Marrelli D, Morgagni P, Bencivenga M, Saragoni L, Vindigni C, Portolani N, Botticini M, De Manzoni G*

#### Retrospective Cohort Study

- 8008** Albumin as a prognostic marker for ulcerative colitis  
*Khan N, Patel D, Shah Y, Trivedi C, Yang YX*
- 8017** Pretransplantation fetal-maternal microchimerism in pediatric liver transplantation from mother  
*Yi NJ, Park MS, Song EY, Ahn HY, Byun J, Kim H, Hong SK, Yoon K, Kim HS, Ahn SW, Lee HW, Choi Y, Lee KW, Suh KS, Park MH*

#### Retrospective Study

- 8027** Impact of homogeneous pathologic response to preoperative chemotherapy in patients with multiple colorectal liver metastases  
*Sabbagh C, Chatelain D, Attencourt C, Joly JP, Chauffert B, Cosse C, Regimbeau JM*

- 8035** Two-step method for creating a gastric tube during laparoscopic-thoracoscopic Ivor-Lewis esophagectomy  
*Liu Y, Li JJ, Zu P, Liu HX, Yu ZW, Ren Y*

**Observational Study**

- 8044** Clinical value of liver and spleen shear wave velocity in predicting the prognosis of patients with portal hypertension  
*Zhang Y, Mao DF, Zhang MW, Fan XX*

**Prospective Study**

- 8053** Gender differences in ghrelin, nociception genes, psychological factors and quality of life in functional dyspepsia  
*Choi YJ, Park YS, Kim N, Kim YS, Lee SM, Lee DH, Jung HC*

**Randomized Clinical Trial**

- 8062** Efficacy of combination therapy with natriuretic and aquaretic drugs in cirrhotic ascites patients: A randomized study  
*Uojima H, Hidaka H, Nakayama T, Sung JH, Ichita C, Tokoro S, Masuda S, Sasaki A, Koizumi K, Egashira H, Kako M*

**SYSTEMATIC REVIEW**

- 8073** Double-balloon enteroscopy-assisted dilatation avoids surgery for small bowel strictures: A systematic review  
*Baars JE, Theyventhiran R, Aepli P, Saxena P, Kaffes AJ*

**META-ANALYSIS**

- 8082** Impact of inflammatory bowel disease activity and thiopurine therapy on birth weight: A meta-analysis  
*Gonzalez-Suarez B, Sengupta S, Moss AC*

**CASE REPORT**

- 8090** Midgut neuroendocrine tumor presenting with acute intestinal ischemia  
*Mantzoros I, Savvala NA, Ioannidis O, Parpoudi S, Loutzidou L, Kyriakidou D, Cheva A, Intzos V, Tsalis K*
- 8097** Endoscopic submucosal dissection in a patient with esophageal adenoid cystic carcinoma  
*Yoshikawa K, Kinoshita A, Hirose Y, Shibata K, Akasu T, Hagiwara N, Yokota T, Imai N, Iwaku A, Kobayashi G, Kobayashi H, Fushiya N, Kijima H, Koike K, Kanayama H, Ikeda K, Saruta M*
- 8104** Simultaneous liver, pancreas-duodenum and kidney transplantation in a patient with hepatitis B cirrhosis, uremia and insulin dependent diabetes mellitus  
*Li J, Guo QJ, Cai JZ, Pan C, Shen ZY, Jiang WT*

## ABOUT COVER

Editorial board member of *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, Marc E Martignoni, MD, Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Technische Universität München, Munic 81675, Germany

## AIMS AND SCOPE

*World Journal of Gastroenterology* (*World J Gastroenterol*, *WJG*, print ISSN 1007-9327, online ISSN 2219-2840, DOI: 10.3748) is a peer-reviewed open access journal. *WJG* was established on October 1, 1995. It is published weekly on the 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup>, and 28<sup>th</sup> each month. The *WJG* Editorial Board consists of 1375 experts in gastroenterology and hepatology from 68 countries.

The primary task of *WJG* is to rapidly publish high-quality original articles, reviews, and commentaries in the fields of gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, hepatobiliary surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, gastrointestinal radiation oncology, gastrointestinal imaging, gastrointestinal interventional therapy, gastrointestinal infectious diseases, gastrointestinal pharmacology, gastrointestinal pathophysiology, gastrointestinal pathology, evidence-based medicine in gastroenterology, pancreatology, gastrointestinal laboratory medicine, gastrointestinal molecular biology, gastrointestinal immunology, gastrointestinal microbiology, gastrointestinal genetics, gastrointestinal translational medicine, gastrointestinal diagnostics, and gastrointestinal therapeutics. *WJG* is dedicated to become an influential and prestigious journal in gastroenterology and hepatology, to promote the development of above disciplines, and to improve the diagnostic and therapeutic skill and expertise of clinicians.

## INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

*World Journal of Gastroenterology* (*WJG*) is now indexed in Current Contents<sup>®</sup>/Clinical Medicine, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch<sup>®</sup>), Journal Citation Reports<sup>®</sup>, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central and Directory of Open Access Journals. The 2017 edition of Journal Citation Reports<sup>®</sup> cites the 2016 impact factor for *WJG* as 3.365 (5-year impact factor: 3.176), ranking *WJG* as 29<sup>th</sup> among 79 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology (quartile in category Q2).

## FLYLEAF

## I-IX Editorial Board

## EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Responsible Assistant Editor: *Xiang Li*  
Responsible Electronic Editor: *Yan Huang*  
Proofing Editor-in-Chief: *Lian-Sheng Ma*

Responsible Science Editor: *Ke Chen*  
Proofing Editorial Office Director: *Jin-Lei Wang*

NAME OF JOURNAL  
*World Journal of Gastroenterology*

ISSN  
ISSN 1007-9327 (print)  
ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

LAUNCH DATE  
October 1, 1995

FREQUENCY  
Weekly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF  
**Damian Garcia-Olmo, MD, PhD, Doctor, Professor, Surgeon**, Department of Surgery, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid; Department of General Surgery, Fundacion Jimenez Diaz University Hospital, Madrid 28040, Spain

**Stephen C Strom, PhD, Professor**, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Division of Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm 141-86, Sweden

**Andrzej S Tarnawski, MD, PhD, DSc (Med), Professor of Medicine, Chief Gastroenterology**, VA Long Beach Health Care System, University of California, Irvine, CA, 5901 E. Seventh Str., Long Beach,

CA 90822, United States

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS  
All editorial board members resources online at <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm>

EDITORIAL OFFICE  
Jin-Lei Wang, Director  
Ze-Mao Gong, Vice Director  
*World Journal of Gastroenterology*  
Baishideng Publishing Group Inc  
7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
Telephone: +1-925-2238242  
Fax: +1-925-2238243  
E-mail: [editorialoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:editorialoffice@wjgnet.com)  
Help Desk: <http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

PUBLISHER  
Baishideng Publishing Group Inc  
7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
Telephone: +1-925-2238242  
Fax: +1-925-2238243  
E-mail: [bpgooffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgooffice@wjgnet.com)  
Help Desk: <http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

PUBLICATION DATE  
December 7, 2017

COPYRIGHT  
© 2017 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. Articles published by this Open-Access journal are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license.

SPECIAL STATEMENT  
All articles published in journals owned by the Baishideng Publishing Group (BPG) represent the views and opinions of their authors, and not the views, opinions or policies of the BPG, except where otherwise explicitly indicated.

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS  
Full instructions are available online at <http://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

ONLINE SUBMISSION  
<http://www.f6publishing.com>

## Case Control Study

# Recurrence in node-negative advanced gastric cancer: Novel findings from an in-depth pathological analysis of prognostic factors from a multicentric series

Gian Luca Baiocchi, Sarah Molfino, Carla Baronchelli, Simone Giacomuzzi, Daniele Marrelli, Paolo Morgagni, Maria Bencivenga, Luca Saragoni, Carla Vindigni, Nazario Portolani, Maristella Botticini, Giovanni De Manzoni

Gian Luca Baiocchi, Sarah Molfino, Nazario Portolani, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Brescia 25121, Italy

Carla Baronchelli, Department of Pathology, Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia 25121, Italy

Simone Giacomuzzi, Maria Bencivenga, Giovanni De Manzoni, 1<sup>st</sup> Department of General Surgery, Borgo Trento Hospital, University of Verona, Verona 37129, Italy

Daniele Marrelli, Department of Human Pathology and Oncology, Surgical Oncology, University of Siena, Siena 53100, Italy

Paolo Morgagni, Department of General Surgery, Morgagni-Pierantoni Hospital, Forlì 47121, Italy

Luca Saragoni, Department of Pathology, Morgagni-Pierantoni Hospital, Forlì 47121, Italy

Carla Vindigni, Department of Pathology, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Senese, Siena 53100, Italy

Maristella Botticini, IGIER, Università Bocconi, Milan 20100, Italy

**Author contributions:** Baiocchi GL designed and coordinated this study; Molfino S acquired and analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of the paper; Baronchelli C, Giacomuzzi S, Marrelli D, Morgagni P, Bencivenga M, Saragoni L, Vindigni C, Portolani N, and De Manzoni G made substantial contributions to the research questions. Botticini M helped with literature review and critically revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final approval of the article.

**Institutional review board statement:** The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Brescia, Italy.

**Informed consent statement:** Patients were not required to give informed consent to the study because the analysis used

anonymous data that were obtained after each patient agreed to treatment by written consent.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Manuscript source:** Unsolicited manuscript

**Correspondence to:** Gian Luca Baiocchi, MD, Associate Professor, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Surgical Clinic, University of Brescia, III Chirurgia Generale, Spedali Civili di Brescia, P.le Spedali Civili 1, Brescia 25121, Italy. [gianluca.baiocchi@unibs.it](mailto:gianluca.baiocchi@unibs.it)  
Telephone: +39-030-3995600  
Fax: +39-030-3397476

**Received:** February 19, 2017

**Peer-review started:** February 20, 2017

**First decision:** March 7, 2017

**Revised:** September 20, 2017

**Accepted:** October 17, 2017

**Article in press:** October 17, 2017

**Published online:** December 7, 2017

## Abstract

### AIM

To analyze the clinicopathological characteristics of



patients with both node-negative gastric carcinoma and diagnosis of recurrence during follow-up.

## METHODS

We enrolled 41 patients treated with curative gastrectomy for pT2-4aN0 gastric carcinoma between 1992 and 2010, who developed recurrence (Group 1). We retrospectively selected this group from the prospectively collected database of 4 centers belonging to the Italian Research Group for Gastric Cancer, and compared them with 437 pT2-4aN0 patients without recurrence (Group 2). We analyzed lymphatic embolization, microvascular infiltration, perineural infiltration, and immunohistochemical determination of p53, Ki67, and HER2 in Group 1 and in a subgroup of Group 2 (Group 2bis) of 41 cases matched with Group 1 according to demographic and pathological characteristics.

## RESULTS

T4a stage and diffuse histotype were associated with recurrence in the group of pN0 patients. In-depth pathological analysis of two homogenous groups of pN0 patients, with and without recurrence during long-term follow-up (groups 1 and 2bis), revealed two striking patterns: lymphatic embolization and perineural infiltration (two parameters that pathologists can easily report), and p53 and Ki67, represent significant factors for recurrence.

## CONCLUSION

The reported pathological features should be considered predictive factors for recurrence and could be useful to stratify node-negative gastric cancer patients for adjuvant treatment and tailored follow-up.

**Key words:** N0 gastric cancer; Recurrence; Prognostic factors; Pathological analysis; Lymphatic embolization; Perineural infiltration; p53; Ki67

© **The Author(s) 2017.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** We analyze the clinicopathological characteristics of patients with both node-negative gastric carcinoma and diagnosis of recurrence during follow-up in 4 Italian centers belonging to the Italian Research Group on Gastric Cancer between 1992 and 2010. Lymph node metastasis is the most important prognostic factor in patients undergoing radical surgery for gastric carcinoma. In-depth pathological analysis of two homogenous groups of pN0 patients, with and without recurrence during long-term follow-up, revealed two striking patterns: lymphatic embolization and perineural infiltration (two parameters that pathologists can easily report), and p53 and Ki67, represent significant factors for recurrence. The reported pathological features should be considered predictive factors for recurrence and could be useful to stratify node-negative gastric cancer patients for adjuvant treatment and tailored

follow-up.

Baicocchi GL, Molino S, Baronchelli C, Giacomuzzi S, Marrelli D, Morgagni P, Bencivenga M, Saragoni L, Vindigni C, Portolani N, Botticini M, De Manzoni G. Recurrence in node-negative advanced gastric cancer: Novel findings from an in-depth pathological analysis of prognostic factors from a multicentric series. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; 23(45): 8000-8007 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v23/i45/8000.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i45.8000>

## INTRODUCTION

Nodal metastases are a well-known prognostic factor after radical treatment of gastric cancer. Although patients with adequately staged pN0 cancer have a good prognosis and usually do not undergo adjuvant chemotherapy, nevertheless a subset of them has a recurrence and, later, dies of this disease. Therefore, the identification of prognostic factors for cancer recurrence becomes extremely important for the identification of the small proportion of cases at risk for recurrence.

In some published studies focusing on the clinical-pathological features and prognostic factors of node-negative gastric cancer patients, surgical approaches were not homogenous, particularly in terms of lymph node dissection<sup>[1-10]</sup>. Other limitations were a too short follow-up, as well as the inclusion of T1 cases and of patients with less than 15 retrieved nodes. A previous multicentric series, which we published as members of the Italian Research Group for Gastric Cancer (GIRCG), studied 301 patients from 7 Italian centers<sup>[11]</sup>. These patients were treated during a 10-year period from 1992 to 2002, underwent almost 5 years of regular follow-up, and about 10% developed tumor recurrence. The much valuable feedback and insightful suggestions of peer-reviewers, who have refereed our earlier article, inspired our next project and current paper. Those peer-reviewers strongly encouraged us to carry out an in-depth pathological analysis of the subgroup of patients experiencing recurrence despite having negative nodes on e-e staining; this group clearly represents a very interesting sample for a biological study.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The 4 Italian centers participating in this study were the University of Brescia, the Forlì Morgagni Pierantoni Hospital, the University of Siena, and the University of Verona. Inclusion criteria were patients with pN0 gastric carcinoma, treated during the period 1992-2010, who developed cancer recurrence during the 5-year follow-up. All patients had more than 15 retrieved nodes analyzed after surgery, all resulting negative

for metastases at routine hematoxylin-eosin staining. Exclusion criteria were (1) patients with gastric stump cancer; (2) patients with T1 or T4b tumors or peritoneal dissemination; (3) patients with fewer than 15 retrieved nodes; and (4) patients who underwent pre- or post-operative chemotherapy. As a result, out of 1725 patients who underwent radical gastrectomy for T2-T4a gastric cancer, 478 patients without lymph node metastases were studied, and among those 41 developed tumor recurrence (8.57%, Group 1) after a mean period of 17 months (range 9-89). Control group comprises the remaining 437 pN0 patients who did not develop cancer recurrence (Group 2).

We then performed a retrospective review of prospectively collected data, taking into account patient's age, sex, tumor markers CEA and CA 19.9 when available, tumor size, tumor location (upper/medium/lower third), depth of tumor invasion (T2/T3/T4a), histological grading (G1/G2/G3), Lauren histotype (intestinal/diffuse/mixed or other), the type of operation (subtotal, GST/total gastrectomy, GT), the number of retrieved nodes, associated resections, post-operative major morbidity, and post-operative mortality. Survival rate was reported as mean + SD. We adopted the 2012 American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM staging system<sup>[12]</sup>, whereas histological evaluation was performed according to the Japanese General Rules for Gastric Cancer Study in Surgery and Pathology<sup>[13]</sup>.

We discontinued the enrollment of patients on the 31st of December, 2010, whereas we conducted follow-up until the 31st of December, 2015 or until the patient's death. The median follow-up interval for 313 patients, who were alive at the cut-off date, was 113.4 months (range, 61-178 mo). Twenty-one patients (4.39%) had been lost to follow-up. There were 9 (1.99%) in-hospital deaths. Lost cases and operative mortality cases were censored for the analysis of survival.

For in-depth histological analysis, we selected a subgroup (Group 2bis) of 41 controls from Group 2 from one of the four centers participating to our study (the University of Brescia), matched with Group 1 according to age, sex, tumor size, tumor location, tumor invasion (T), histological grading (G), Lauren histotype, and the number of retrieved nodes. We selected cases from the database of the University of Brescia. The same pathologist (CB) retrieved and analyzed all the specimens of tumors from Group 1 and Group 2bis, and recorded lymphatic embolization, as well as microvascular and perineural infiltration, expressed as present/absent. Also, p53, Ki67, and HER2 were studied using immunohistochemical analysis, performed on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections of surgical specimens with commercially available primary antibodies Abcam anti-ErbB2 (CB11)ab8054, MIB-5 (DAKO) for ki67 and Clone BP-53-12 (Genemed

Biotechnologies, United States, 1:150) for p53. For HER2 evaluation, the following classification was used: 0, 1+, 2+, 3+ for < 10%, > 10% partial staining, > 10% moderately complete staining, and > 10% intensely complete staining, respectively; 0 and 1+ were considered negative testing, 2+ and 3+ positive testing. The presence of p53 and ki67 was expressed by nuclear staining: the rate was calculated as the number of positive nuclear reactions over 100 cells, and for every case a final expression rate was estimated.

### Statistical analysis

We classified variables as discrete (gender, tumor markers, location, T, histotype, grading, associated resections, post-operative morbidity and mortality, lymphatic embolization, vascular infiltration, perineural infiltration, HER2), or continuous (age, size, the number of retrieved nodes, p53, Ki67). We used  $\chi^2$  and the Student *t* test to evaluate the statistical significance of differences for discrete and continuous variables, respectively. We then computed survival rates using the Kaplan-Meier method. *P* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The statistical methods of this study were reviewed by Professor Giovanni Parrinello (Department of Biotechnologies, Section of Medical Statistics, University of Brescia, Italy).

## RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the clinical and pathological features of pN0 patients. They represent a typical Western series, with a significant rate of diffuse or mixed (34.0%) and proximal (35.8%) cancers. At the same time, N0 patients had most frequently T2 (60.2%) and G1-2 (72.2%) neoplasms, compared to the general gastric cancer population. The standard lymphadenectomy was D2, with a mean number of retrieved nodes equal to 26.2. However, this data should be interpreted taking into account the exclusion from the analysis of patients with less than 15 retrieved nodes. Less than 10% of the patients underwent associated splenectomy, whereas pancreas tail resection was done in only 7 cases. Major morbidity rate was 12.7% and included 9 cases of anastomotic and 3 cases of duodenal leak (3 of these complications required re-intervention), 8 subphrenic abscesses (all treated by percutaneous drainage), 4 pancreatic fistula, 2 splenic necroses requiring splenectomy, 3 postoperative hemorrhages, and 7 mechanical occlusions requiring re-intervention. Nine patients (1.88% of the sample) died before hospital discharge (8 because of surgical complications and 1 because of myocardial infarction).

Of the whole series of N0 patients, 41 developed cancer recurrence during the follow-up. Table 2

**Table 1 Clinical, pathological, and surgical features of 478 N0 gastric cancer patients *n* (%)**

	Values
Male	291 (60.9)
Female	187 (39.1)
mean age (range)	67.1 (31-90)
Tumor markers <sup>1</sup> (positive)	33/282
Tumor location	
Upper	67 (14.1)
Middle	104 (21.7)
Lower	261 (55.6)
Multiple	46 (9.6)
Tumor mean size (range), cm	4.311 (0.8-14)
Tumor invasion (T)	
T2	278 (58.2)
T3	181 (37.8)
T4a	19 (4.0)
Lauren histotype	
Intestinal	315 (65.9)
Diffuse	81 (16.9)
Mixed / Unavailable	82 (17.1)
Histological grading (G)	
G1	87 (18.2)
G2	287 (60.0)
G3	104 (21.8)
Type of surgery	
Subtotal gastrectomy	266 (55.7)
Total gastrectomy	212 (44.3)
Mean retrieved node number <sup>2</sup>	26.2
(range)	(15-85)
Associated resections	53 (11.1)
(splenectomies)	[41 (8.5)]
30-d mortality	9 (1.88)
Major morbidity	61 (12.7)

<sup>1</sup>CEA and/or CA19.9 above the normal values of 7 ng/mL and 36 UI/mL, respectively; <sup>2</sup>This value reflects the exclusion from our analysis of patients with less than 15 retrieved nodes.

shows the comparison between Group 1 (N0 patients with recurrence) and Group 2 (N0 patients with no recurrence). Significant prognostic factors for recurrence in N0 patients were T4a and diffuse histotype, while gender, age, tumor markers, location, size, grading, the number of retrieved nodes, associated resections, and major complications were not. Of the 41 patients with cancer recurrence, 11 developed their recurrence during the first year after surgery, 16 during the second year, 8 during the third, and 6 in the following years. The sites of recurrence were liver in 21 cases, peritoneum in 27 cases, loco-regional/lymphatic in 7 cases, and other sites in 5 cases. In only 2 cases, cancer recurrence was treated by surgery with potentially radical intent; other 23 patients underwent palliative chemotherapy.

Table 3 reports the comparison between Group 1 (41 N0 patients with recurrence) and Group 2bis (41 N0 patients without recurrence, matched to those of Group 1 according to demographic and pathological characteristics), for which we carried out in-depth histological analysis. Lymphatic embolization and perineural infiltration, which are histological parameters usually available with e-e staining, resulted highly

significant in predicting recurrence; microvascular infiltration was not. Moreover, immunohistochemical study of p53, Ki67, and HER2 revealed that p53 and Ki67 were expressed in a significantly greater rate of cancer cells of patients who subsequently developed cancer recurrence, while this was not true for HER2.

At the time of the analysis, 313 (65.5%) patients were alive and free of disease, including 2 patients having a recurrence that was surgically treated with success (hepatic metastasis resection and total gastrectomy for gastric stump recurrence), 39 patients (8.15%) had died because of cancer recurrence, whereas 96 patients (20.0%) had died of other diseases. The remaining patients had died after surgery (9) or lost at follow-up (21). Due to the long period of follow-up after ending the recruitment of patients, no patient with recurrence is currently alive. Mean and median survival times were 93.4 and 81.1 months, respectively. The 3, 5, and 10-year overall survival and cancer-related survival rates of the whole group were 79.2%, 72.6%, 41.4% and 91.7%, 87.2%, 76.8%, respectively.

## DISCUSSION

As mentioned earlier, the helpful feedback and suggestions of peer-reviewers, who have refereed an earlier article of ours<sup>[11]</sup> based on an Italian multicentric series of patients with pN0 gastric cancer, inspired our next project and current paper. Those peer-reviewers encouraged us to carry out an in-depth pathological analysis of the subgroup of patients experiencing recurrence despite having negative nodes on e-e staining; this group clearly represents a very interesting sample for a biological study. Meanwhile, the increased number of available pN0 cases also enabled us to perform a confirmation analysis of the significant clinico-pathological basic factors found in our earlier study.

In our current study we present a large, multi-centric series of pN0 patients with gastric cancer, who have undergone radical surgery with reliable nodal harvesting (more than 15 analyzed nodes). Our main goal is to investigate which factors, easily available after standard pathological examination, are related to a higher likelihood of recurrence; this information is clearly very valuable to both the oncologists when deciding whether to use adjuvant chemotherapy, and the referring physicians when planning the targeted follow-up.

The secondary but potentially equally relevant purpose of our study is to discover if an in-depth pathological analysis, including three ancillary ematoxilin-eosin data (lymphatic embolization, microvascular infiltration, and perineural infiltration) and three immunohistochemical examinations (p53, Ki67, and HER2) may add valuable information for such clinical



**Table 2** Prognostic factors for recurrence in N0 gastric cancer patients

	Group 1 (with recurrence) ( <i>n</i> = 41)	Group 2 (no recurrence) ( <i>n</i> = 437)	<i>P</i> value <sup>3</sup>
Gender (male)	28	263	0.308
Age (> 65)	19	201	0.966
Tumor markers <sup>1</sup>	6/25	27/207	0.138
Tumor location (upper)	7	60	0.555
Tumor size (> 5 cm)	16	132	0.242
Tumor invasion (T)			
T2	19	259	0.108
T3	13	168	0.395
T4a	9	10	0.001
Lauren histotype			
Intestinal	24	291	0.768
Diffuse	12	69	0.012
Mixed / Unavailable	5	77	0.509
Histological grading (G)			
G1	7	80	0.844
G2	22	265	0.382
G3	12	92	0.222
Retrieved nodes <sup>2</sup> (mean) > 25	26	273	0.905
Associated resections - yes	8	45	0.772
Major morbidity - yes	8	53	0.175

<sup>1</sup>Almost 1 tumor marker positive, including CEA, CA 19.9, or other tumor marker. Only 282 cases were available for analysis; <sup>2</sup>This value reflects the exclusion from our analysis of patients with less than 15 retrieved nodes; <sup>3</sup>*P* values of  $\chi^2$  test for gender, tumor markers, tumor location, tumor invasion (T), Lauren histotype, histological grading (G), associated resections, post-operative morbidity. *P* values of Student *t*-test for age, tumor size, and the number of retrieved nodes.

**Table 3** Histological assessment of N0 patients with and without cancer recurrence, matched according to demographic and pathological characteristics

	Group 1 (41 N0 patients with recurrence)	Group 2bis (41 N0 patients without recurrence)	<i>P</i> value <sup>3</sup>
Lymphatic embolization	34	13	0.001
Microvascular infiltration	11	7	0.285
Perineural infiltration	19	6	0.001
p53 <sup>1</sup>	49.1% (0-100)	33.8% (0-100)	0.041
Ki67 <sup>1</sup>	52.7% (30-80)	33.1% (15-70)	0.038
HER2 <sup>2</sup>	7	8	0.785

<sup>1</sup>Our immunohistochemical analysis of p53 and Ki67 differ from some of those found in other studies because we expressed them as the number of cancer cells showing immunohistochemical staining over 100 cells, thus as a rate and not as a binomial variable (yes/no). Statistical analysis was retrospectively performed by Student *t*-test; <sup>2</sup>Immunohistochemical detection, both 2+ and 3+ were considered positive; <sup>3</sup>*P* values of  $\chi^2$  test for lymphatic embolization, microvascular infiltration, perineural infiltration, and HER2. *P* values of Student *t*-test for p53 and Ki67.

decisions. Pathologists do not typically analyze those 6 ancillary data; however, these additional data, which are very easy and relatively inexpensive to analyze, may provide extremely helpful information in addition to the one from the basic pathological items.

We defined the study group taking into account the shortcomings of previous Western studies about node-negative gastric cancer patients, and, hence, excluding T1, T4b, and Nx patients. T1N0 patients have a very good outcome, thus making adjuvant chemotherapy unlikely to be valuable. In contrast, T4b patients usually undergo a kind of adjuvant therapy (chemo- or radiotherapy) irrespective of other pathological parameters. Patients with less than 15 retrieved nodes are not adequately staged, so we cannot define them N0 patients.

Factors associated with recurrence in N0 patients

were only T4a and diffuse histotype. Nine out of 19 patients with a true, pathologically proven serosal and extragastric fat infiltration experienced recurrence (47.3%), mainly peritoneal (6/9 cases) and nodal (5/9 cases); this subgroup is thus suitable for adjuvant therapy. Therefore, T3 diffuse cases should also be considered for adjuvant therapy and intensive follow-up, considering that about a quarter of them will experience recurrence.

The most striking result of our study is that lymphatic embolization and perineural infiltration are significant parameters associated with recurrence in pN0 gastric cancer.<sup>[14]</sup> Out of 41 patients experiencing recurrence, 34 and 21 had lymphatic embolization and perineural infiltration, respectively. In Group 1 + Group2bis (82 cases), 15 patients had both parameters, and 10 of them subsequently developed

cancer recurrence (66.6%). In our earlier article including 301 patients from the same 4 Italian centers<sup>[11]</sup>, data on these parameters were available for only 144 patients (confirming that basic pathological analysis does not typically provide information on these parameters); however, the 3 parameters were considered all together, with a rate of positive cases (26.3%) similar to the previously reported rate of about 20% of cases for microvascular infiltration and about 30% for perineural infiltration; they were not significantly related to the recurrence rate both at univariate and multivariate analysis.

According to the Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma<sup>[15]</sup>, further research to classify lymphatic invasion and venous invasion into three more specific grades would help clarify the association between these anatomo-pathological characteristics of tumor and the rate of recurrence. Also, a further study in which also a subgroup among non-T4a and intestinal histotype are added, would provide a more in-depth analysis of prognostic factors of recurrence.

From an immunohistochemical point of view, the p53 protein, encoded by a tumor suppressor gene located on the short arm of chromosome 17, is involved in different cell functions, including apoptosis and cell cycle regulation<sup>[16]</sup>. This protein is usually poorly expressed in normal cells, but overexpressed and/or mutated in a number of human malignancies<sup>[17]</sup>; overexpression of p53 generally reflects an underlying mutation(s) in the *p53* gene<sup>[16]</sup>. A correlation among high protein levels, older age, advanced stage, and poor prognosis has been shown for colorectal, breast, and lung carcinoma<sup>[18]</sup>. Studies that have evaluated p53 expression and/or mutation in gastric cancer, have confirmed enhanced expression in cancer cells in comparison with normal cells (33.8% vs 4% in the series published by Zhou and Coll), but the benchmark value is different, ranging from 19%-29%<sup>[19]</sup> to 34%-65%<sup>[20,21]</sup>; however, correlation of p53 expression and worse prognosis was shown in some<sup>[16,22]</sup>, but not in other series<sup>[23]</sup>.

Antigen Ki-67 (Ki67) is a nuclear proliferation associated antigen, involved in cycle regulation and cell proliferation. It is considered a reliable marker of tumor biology, having a clear prognostic value in several types of cancer, such as GIST<sup>[24]</sup>; in two recent retrospective analyses of patients with gastric cancer, Ki67 expression was associated with distant metastasis and survival<sup>[25]</sup>, T stage and 3-year disease free survival<sup>[26]</sup>.

Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is a transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptor, a 185 kDa glycoprotein encoded by a gene located in the long arm of chromosome 17. HER2 is involved in various cancerogenesis steps, including de-differentiation, angiogenesis, and metastasis<sup>[27]</sup>. As noticeably shown in the case of breast cancer, expression of HER2 is

a marker of more aggressive behavior and may be related to a worse prognosis compared with HER2 negative tumors. In gastric cancer, an overexpression of HER2 has been reported in approximately 10%-30% of cases; however, a clear relationship with histopathological parameters or survival has not been established<sup>[28,29]</sup>. A comprehensive literature review published in 2011<sup>[30]</sup> confirmed the lack of association between HER2 overexpression and patient-related, as well as cancer-related, main parameters. Other small series, however, suggested an association with older age, larger tumors, and advanced stage<sup>[31,32]</sup>. Our results clearly confirm that HER2 overexpression, determined only by immunohistochemical analysis and not by FISH method, is not related to a greater risk of cancer recurrence in pN0 cases. The overall expression of HER2 in the whole series is similar to the one previously reported, with a marginal proportion of cases having a clear 3+ overexpression; none of the 41 patients with recurrence had 3+ HER2 expression, and the sum of 3+ and 2+ was also greater in group 2bis (*i.e.*, patients without recurrence).

In conclusion, despite a good overall prognosis, about 10% of pN0, R0 gastric cancer patients actually have cancer recurrence and die of this disease. The prognostic factors analyzed and reported in our study may help identify node-negative patients who would significantly benefit from existing or future adjuvant strategies. Moreover, for these patients an appropriate surveillance protocol in terms of follow-up should be proposed.

Further research with extra pathological examinations could be useful to define an algorithm for the management of pN0 gastric cancer patients.

## COMMENTS

### Background

Lymph node metastasis is the most important prognostic factor in patients undergoing radical surgery for gastric carcinoma. Even if node-negative patients have better outcomes, a subgroup of them develops recurrence. Hence, this is a key group to study for adjuvant treatment and follow-up.

### Research frontiers

Clinicopathological characteristics of patients with both node-negative gastric carcinoma and diagnosis of recurrence during follow-up are key factors in the management of gastric cancer patients.

### Innovations and breakthroughs

In-depth pathological analysis of two homogenous groups of pN0 patients, with and without recurrence during long-term follow-up, revealed two striking patterns: lymphatic embolization and perineural infiltration (two parameters that pathologists can easily report), and p53 and Ki67, represent significant factors for recurrence.

### Applications

The reported pathological features should be considered predictive factors for recurrence and could be useful to stratify node-negative gastric cancer patients for adjuvant treatment and tailored follow-up.

# Peer-review

It is very important in-depth pathological analysis added in this study.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank *RicerChiAmo* onlus for partly supporting the research in this study.

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Maehara Y**, Kabashima A, Tokunaga E, Hasuda S, Oki E, Kakeji Y, Baba H, Sugimachi K. Recurrences and relation to tumor growth potential and local immune response in node-negative advanced gastric cancer. *Oncology* 1999; **56**: 322-327 [PMID: 10343197]
- 2 **Kooby DA**, Suriawinata A, Klimstra DS, Brennan MF, Karpeh MS. Biologic predictors of survival in node-negative gastric cancer. *Ann Surg* 2003; **237**: 828-835; discussion 835-7 [PMID: 12796579 DOI: 10.1097/01.SLA.0000072260.77776.39]
- 3 **Kunisaki C**, Shimada H, Nomura M, Matsuda G, Otsuka Y, Ono H, Akiyama H. Therapeutic strategy for patients with pN0 gastric carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* 2006; **94**: 212-219 [PMID: 16900514 DOI: 10.1002/jso.20601]
- 4 **Bruno L**, Nesi G, Montinaro F, Carassale G, Boddi V, Bechi P, Cortesini C. Clinicopathologic characteristics and outcome indicators in node-negative gastric cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 2000; **74**: 30-32 [PMID: 10861605]
- 5 **Harrison LE**, Karpeh MS, Brennan MF. Extended lymphadenectomy is associated with a survival benefit for node-negative gastric cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg* 1998; **2**: 126-131 [PMID: 9834407]
- 6 **Hsu JT**, Lin CJ, Sung CM, Yeh HC, Chen TH, Chen TC, Chiang KC, Yeh TS, Hwang TL, Jan YY. Prognostic significance of the number of examined lymph nodes in node-negative gastric adenocarcinoma. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2013; **39**: 1287-1293 [PMID: 23973513 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2013.07.183]
- 7 **Jin LX**, Moses LE, Squires MH 3rd, Poultides GA, Votanopoulos K, Weber SM, Bloomston M, Pawlik TM, Hawkins WG, Linehan DC, Strasberg SM, Schmidt C, Worhunsky DJ, Acher AW, Cardona K, Cho CS, Kooby DA, Levine E, Winslow ER, Saunders ND, Spolverato G, Maithel SK, Fields RC. Factors Associated With Recurrence and Survival in Lymph Node-negative Gastric Adenocarcinoma: A 7-Institution Study of the US Gastric Cancer Collaborative. *Ann Surg* 2015; **262**: 999-1005 [PMID: 25607760 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000001084]
- 8 **Kim DY**, Seo KW, Joo JK, Park YK, Ryu SY, Kim HR, Kim YJ, Kim SK. Prognostic factors in patients with node-negative gastric carcinoma: a comparison with node-positive gastric carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; **12**: 1182-1186 [PMID: 16534868 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v12.i8.1182]
- 9 **Dicken BJ**, Saunders LD, Jhangri GS, de Gara C, Cass C, Andrews S, Hamilton SM. Gastric cancer: establishing predictors of biologic behavior with use of population-based data. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2004; **11**: 629-635 [PMID: 15150070 DOI: 10.1245/ASO.2004.09.002]
- 10 **Lee CC**, Wu CW, Lo SS, Chen JH, Li AF, Hsieh MC, Shen KH, Lui WY. Survival predictors in patients with node-negative gastric carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; **22**: 1014-1018 [PMID: 17608847 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2006.04488.x]
- 11 **Baioocchi GL**, Tiberio GA, Minicozzi AM, Morgagni P, Marrelli D, Bruno L, Rosa F, Marchet A, Coniglio A, Saragoni L, Veltri M, Pacelli F, Roviello F, Nitti D, Giulini SM, De Manzoni G. A multicentric Western analysis of prognostic factors in advanced, node-negative gastric cancer patients. *Ann Surg* 2010; **252**: 70-73 [PMID: 20562605 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181e4585e]
- 12 **Sobin LH**, Gospodarowicz MK, Wittekind C (eds). TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours (ed 7). Weinheim: Wiley; 2009:19-20
- 13 **Japanese Gastric Cancer Association.** Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2010 (ver. 3). *Gastric Cancer* 2011; **14**: 113-123 [PMID: 21573742 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-011-0042-4]
- 14 **Bilici A**, Seker M, Ustaalioglu BB, Kefeli U, Yildirim E, Yavuzer D, Aydin FM, Salepci T, Oncel M, Gumus M. Prognostic significance of perineural invasion in patients with gastric cancer who underwent curative resection. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2010; **17**: 2037-2044 [PMID: 20333555 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-010-1027-y]
- 15 **Japanese Gastric Cancer Association.** Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma: 3rd English edition. *Gastric Cancer* 2011; **14**: 101-112 [PMID: 21573743 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-011-0041-5]
- 16 **Zhou F**, Xu Y, Shi J, Lan X, Zou X, Wang L, Huang Q. Expression profile of E-cadherin, estrogen receptors, and P53 in early-onset gastric cancers. *Cancer Med* 2016; **5**: 3403-3411 [PMID: 27781410 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.931]
- 17 **Müller W**, Borchard F. Prognostic influence of p53 expression in gastric cancer. *J Pathol* 1996; **178**: 255-258 [PMID: 8778328 DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-9896(199603)178:3<255::AID-PATH468>3.0.CO;2-V]
- 18 **van den Berg FM**, Baas IO, Polak MM, Offerhaus GJ. Detection of p53 overexpression in routinely paraffin-embedded tissue of human carcinomas using a novel target unmasking fluid. *Am J Pathol* 1993; **142**: 381-385 [PMID: 8434637]
- 19 **Ismail HM**, Moneer M, El-Baradie M, Khorshid O, Touny A. Clinicopathologic and prognostic significance of overexpression of her-2/neu and p53 oncoproteins in gastric carcinoma using tissue microarray. *J Egypt Natl Canc Inst* 2007; **19**: 147-157 [PMID: 19034345]
- 20 **Lazăr D**, Tăban S, Sporea I, Dema A, Cornianu M, Lazăr E, Goldiș A, Rațiu I, Vernic C. The immunohistochemical expression of the p53-protein in gastric carcinomas. Correlation with clinicopathological factors and survival of patients. *Rom J Morphol Embryol* 2010; **51**: 249-257 [PMID: 20495739]
- 21 **Wang JY**, Lin SR, Hsieh JS, Hsu CH, Huang YS, Huang TJ. Mutations of p53 gene in gastric carcinoma in Taiwan. *Anticancer Res* 2001; **21**: 513-520 [PMID: 11299797]
- 22 **Sumiyoshi Y**, Kakeji Y, Egashira A, Mizokami K, Orita H, Maehara Y. Overexpression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha and p53 is a marker for an unfavorable prognosis in gastric cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006; **12**: 5112-5117 [PMID: 16951228 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-2382]
- 23 **Çalik M**, Demirci E, Altun E, Çalik İ, Gündoğdu ÖB, Gürsan N, Gündoğdu B, Albayrak M. Clinicopathological importance of Ki-67, p27, and p53 expression in gastric cancer. *Turk J Med Sci* 2015; **45**: 118-128 [PMID: 25790540]
- 24 **Carrillo R**, Candia A, Rodriguez-Peralto JL, Caz V. Prognostic significance of DNA ploidy and proliferative index (MIB-1 index) in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Hum Pathol* 1997; **28**: 160-165 [PMID: 9023396]
- 25 **Huang G**, Chen S, Wang D, Wang R, Lin L, Chen S, Wang L, Huang Q. High Ki67 Expression has Prognostic Value in Surgically-Resected T3 Gastric Adenocarcinoma. *Clin Lab* 2016; **62**: 141-153 [PMID: 27012044]
- 26 **Li N**, Deng W, Ma J, Wei B, Guo K, Shen W, Zhang Y, Luo S. Prognostic evaluation of Nanog, Oct4, Sox2, PCNA, Ki67 and E-cadherin expression in gastric cancer. *Med Oncol* 2015; **32**: 433 [PMID: 25491144 DOI: 10.1007/s12032-014-0433-6]
- 27 **Akiyama T**, Sudo C, Ogawara H, Toyoshima K, Yamamoto T. The product of the human c-erbB-2 gene: a 185-kilodalton glycoprotein with tyrosine kinase activity. *Science* 1986; **232**: 1644-1646 [PMID: 3012781]
- 28 **Halon A**, Donizy P, Biecek P, Rudno-Rudzinska J, Kielan W, Matkowski R. HER-2 expression in immunohistochemistry has no prognostic significance in gastric cancer patients. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2012; **2012**: 941259 [PMID: 22645475 DOI: 10.1100/2012/941259]
- 29 **Janjigian YY**, Werner D, Pauligk C, Steinmetz K, Kelsen DP, Jäger E, Altmannsberger HM, Robinson E, Tafé LJ, Tang LH, Shah MA, Al-Batran SE. Prognosis of metastatic gastric and gastroesophageal junction cancer by HER2 status: a European and USA International collaborative analysis. *Ann Oncol* 2012; **23**: 2656-2662 [PMID: 22689179 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mds104]

- 30 **Chua TC**, Merrett ND. Clinicopathologic factors associated with HER2-positive gastric cancer and its impact on survival outcomes-a systematic review. *Int J Cancer* 2012; **130**: 2845-2856 [PMID: 21780108 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.26292]
- 31 **Lee KE**, Lee HJ, Kim YH, Yu HJ, Yang HK, Kim WH, Lee KU, Choe KJ, Kim JP. Prognostic significance of p53, nm23, PCNA and c-erbB-2 in gastric cancer. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2003; **33**: 173-179 [PMID: 12810831]
- 32 **Wang YY**, Ye ZY, Li L, Zhao ZS, Shao QS, Tao HQ. ADAM 10 is associated with gastric cancer progression and prognosis of patients. *J Surg Oncol* 2011; **103**: 116-123 [PMID: 21259244 DOI: 10.1002/jso.21781]

**P- Reviewer:** Akiho H, Hokama A    **S- Editor:** Qi Y    **L- Editor:** A  
**E- Editor:** Huang Y







Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242  
Fax: +1-925-223-8243  
E-mail: [bpgooffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgooffice@wjgnet.com)  
Help Desk: <http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<http://www.wjgnet.com>



ISSN 1007-9327

