

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 33805

Title: Treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the elderly

Reviewer's code: 00573611

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-07

Date reviewed: 2017-03-09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting review article. This manuscript is well written. The reviewer has no further comments. It can be accepted.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 33805

Title: Treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the elderly

Reviewer's code: 02446558

Reviewer's country: Finland

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-07

Date reviewed: 2017-03-26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a informative and comprehensive review paper. The basic information of treatment of diabetes in elderly is applicable for daily practice. Please check all the references and add the refs. for the economic ... or treatment targets and so on. Please check the language of the text.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 33805

Title: Treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the elderly

Reviewer's code: 03469232

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-25

Date reviewed: 2017-03-29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review entitled "TREATMENT OF TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS IN THE ELDERLY" clearly shows that treatment should be as simple as possible, and drug therapy should not be initiated unless it is necessary in the elderly patients with type 2 diabetes. This review is comprehensive and well written; however, I would suggest a few revisions to improve the manuscript. 1) The term, "elderly" needs to be clearly defined. Ageing is commonly evaluated by chronological age such as 65 years or more. However, as the authors mention, chronological age fails to show the heterogeneity observed in older individuals. Do the authors define the elderly by chronological age, or other clinical characteristics? If the authors do not adequately define "elderly" in this review, I would suggest to address the limitation. 2) When reviewing the current literature with regard to the treatment of type 2 diabetes in older patients, the associations of glycemic control (insulin resistance) with sarcopenia should be discussed. 3) The authors describe that "The characteristic feature of diabetes in elderly patients is postprandial hyperglycemia" in page 2. This needs citing the relevant paper(s). Thank



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

you for the opportunity to review your manuscript.