

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hematology

Manuscript NO: 34006

Title: Aspirin cures erythromelalgia and cerebrovascular disturbances in JAK2-thrombocythemia through platelet-cyclooxygenase inhibition

Reviewer's code: 02445134

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-24

Date reviewed: 2017-03-31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor Comments Abstract L9 the correct is factor P6 L5 for the last the correct is inhibition? P7 L15 what does you mean with criterion? P36 the correct is total. In addition the Table 2 is not with high analyses. P37 the Table 3 has not high analyses. P38 Table 4 or figure and table?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hematology

Manuscript NO: 34006

Title: Aspirin cures erythromelalgia and cerebrovascular disturbances in JAK2-thrombocythemia through platelet-cyclooxygenase inhibition

Reviewer's code: 03008923

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-24

Date reviewed: 2017-04-07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] The same title	[] High priority for publication
[] Grade C: Good	[] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[] Duplicate publication	[] Rejection
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade D: Rejected	[Y] No	[] Minor revision
[] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written review worth to be published. I have no comments or concerns for the authors.