

Dear Editor,

Re: *Day Case Paediatric Microlaryngobronchoscopy: A Prospective Study*  
*Manuscript # 34756*  
*World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*

Thank you for the opportunity to revise our above manuscript. We are grateful for the constructive criticism and believe its value is reflected in the revised manuscript attached. We have responded to the comments in a tabulated format point-by-point for ease of navigation below:

<b>Reviewer 1 comment</b>	<b>Response to comment</b>
<p>The manuscript describes the feasibility of diagnostic microlaryngoscopy as day case surgery in 79 paediatric patients by complications and a patient questionnaire, in which 57 of the patients took part over a 26 months study period. The authors conclude that diagnostic microlaryngoscopy is feasible, safe and acceptable as day case surgery in carefully selected paediatric patients.</p> <p>Following points need revision before publication:</p>	<p>Dear Reviewer, Thank you for your review and helpful comments. We have taken these on board and refashioned the manuscript accordingly (see red coloured text in manuscript and below comments).</p>
<p>1. Include microlaryngobronchoscopy, microlaryngoscopy, laryngoscopy and bronchoscopy into the key words.</p>	<p>Thank you. We have amended this.</p>
<p>2. List the indications for performed microlaryngobronchoscopy in the Material and Methods section completely.</p>	<p>We have rewritten the methods section to clarify our criteria.</p>
<p>3. Several patients had multiple microlaryngobronchoscopies before. Were they all uneventful?</p>	<p>We agree that this should have been mentioned and have added this detail in the results section. All were uneventful.</p>
<p>4. Check the references according to the Journal Style Guidelines, e.g. small or capital letters for the article title in the reference list. Change to 'Clin Otolaryngol' in reference 3 and to '82(3), 116-119' in reference 6.</p>	<p>We have updated the references accordingly.</p>
<p>Minor Points: a) Abstract, Results, Line 3: Please change to '57'. b) Core tip, Line 4: Please include a full stop before 'Out of'. c) Material and Methods, Line 10: Please change to 'whilst'. d) Material and Methods, Line 16: Please change to 'collected'. e) Results, Parental Satisfaction. Line 6: Please change to 'undergoing'. f) Table 1: Please change to 'anesthetist's preference. g) Table 3: medical advice sought. None, Number: Please Change to '42'. Sincerely,</p>	<p>Thank you. We have amended these typographical errors.</p>

<b>Reviewer 2 comment</b>	<b>Response to comment</b>
<p>I read the manuscript named " Day Case Paediatric Microlaryngobronchosocopy: A Prospective Study " (Manuscript NO: 34756 ) and my recommendations are as follows:</p> <p>Abstract: Adequate, summarizing the topic.  Discussion: Topics has been discussed with all aspects. References: References are appropriate and updated. Tables are reflects the major findings of the study, and they are appropriately presented.</p> <p>Paediatric Microlaryngobronchosocopy is feasible, safe and acceptable as day case surgery in carefully selected patients.</p> <p>It is crucial that the authors point out to this issues. There is a typological error on the 7th line of abstract section (it is written suveys, it should be surveys).</p> <p>This manuscript was well-written and documented. This study is clearly presented.</p> <p>I think that this manuscript is suitable and worth to be published in World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology.</p>	<p>Dear Reviewer,  Thank you for your interest and review of this article alongside the constructive criticisms. In light of these, we have condensed and restructured the manuscript (see red coloured text in manuscript and below comments).</p> <p>Specifically, we have amended the typological error that was kindly highlighted. Many thanks.</p>

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Mr J S Virk MA FRCS ORL-HNS

On behalf of authorship