

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 35079

Title: Current concepts and future strategies in the antimicrobial therapy of emerging Gram-positive spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

Reviewer's code: 00051373

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-06-19

Date reviewed: 2017-06-22

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The current manuscript is a review article to describe the Gram-positive and multi-drug resistant SBP from 2000 to present and management strategies. The author describes the geographic distribution of GPB related SBP as well as the different epidemiology. Unfortunately, there has not deeply investigated the impact factors regarding to the epidemiologic difference between Asia, Africa, Euro and America. It should be creating a table to explore the source of organisms, underlying disease, age, sex, race, alcoholic related, clinical management and outcome of the GPB related SBP. At the meanwhile, the concept of the antibiotics management is not come from a committee consensus meeting, it should be cited with the references and the evidence-based background on the flow chart.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 35079

Title: Current concepts and future strategies in the antimicrobial therapy of emerging Gram-positive spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

Reviewer's code: 02942902

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-06-19

Date reviewed: 2017-06-24

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting paper which focused on the infection of Gram-positive bacteria (GPB) in spontaneous bacterial infection (SBP). Because of the recent increasing frequency of GPB infection, this paper is clinically important. I would like to provide some minor comments. 1) Kindly correct the format to the style requested in the author guideline of the journal. 2) Page 4: Kindly spell out abbreviations: e.g, CA-SBP (Community acquired-SBP?) 3) Page 5: In Egyptian prospective cohort, the burden of GBP → GPB?