



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 35151

**Title:** Laparoscopic finding of a hepatic subcapsular spider-like telangiectasis sign in biliary atresia

**Reviewer's code:** 02465551

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-05

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting findings. However, it would not affect the management. I tends to disagree that when the infant was put under GA and performing laparoscopy, If there is no HSST sign, cholangiogram will not be performed and confidently 'confirm' it is not BA. Performing lap cholangiogram is easy and don't need 5mm ports and instruments.



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 35151

**Title:** Laparoscopic finding of a hepatic subcapsular spider-like telangiectasis sign in biliary atresia

**Reviewer’s code:** 02445713

**Reviewer’s country:** South Korea

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2017-07-27

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

To authors, I totally agree with your data about, the new operative finding, “subcapsular telangiectasia”, for diagnosis of biliary atresia. However, before making a final decision, several parts of manuscript should be revised. 1. I think that, on the page 8, the first sentence “The groups were age-and sex-matched” would be duplicated. If that was not duplicated, please express that with another more specific sentence to prevent confusion to the readers. 2. Figure 1 is very good collection of pictures by which we can see the characteristic hepatic surface of biliary atresia and the other infantile cholestasis. 3. The authors classified the HSST into a dispersed type and concentrated type in Figure. However, I could not recognize the difference between two types of HSST in Figure 3. What is the definition the dispersed type and concentrated type of HSST? Each definition should be more specific. 4. In legend of the figure 3, the authors wrote that the dispersed type was marked with arrowheads and the concentrated type



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

with long arrow. However, in the picture of figures 3, the dispersed type was marked with short arrows (not arrowheads). Please correct that mistake. 5. Legend of Figure 6 in manuscript definitely has been written with mistakes. Picture A is not color Doppler US image; it is just the picture of laparoscopic finding. Other mistakes also should be corrected including the arrowheads; I can find just thick and short arrows in the picture.