



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35281

Title: THE EVOLVING ROLE OF FDG PET-TC IN THE PROGNOSTIC EVALUATION OF RESECTABLE GASTRIC CANCER

Reviewer's code: 03009708

Reviewer's country: Netherlands

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-05

Date reviewed: 2017-07-05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1- This should be reformatted for a minireview than an editorial, 2- Major English polishment should be performed before final decision.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35281

Title: THE EVOLVING ROLE OF FDG PET-TC IN THE PROGNOSTIC EVALUATION OF RESECTABLE GASTRIC CANCER

Reviewer’s code: 00717554

Reviewer’s country: Iran

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-05

Date reviewed: 2017-07-11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would like to mention the following comments: 1- Some abbreviations did not defines such as: WHO and TC. 2- The general structure of article is fine, but it might be better if rewritten in order to be more clarified manuscript. Good Luck



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35281

Title: THE EVOLVING ROLE OF FDG PET-TC IN THE PROGNOSTIC EVALUATION OF RESECTABLE GASTRIC CANCER

Reviewer's code: 03009411

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-05

Date reviewed: 2017-07-16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Prognosis of gastric cancer (GC) largely depends on the tumor stage and histology, but also on the host systemic inflammatory response (SIR) to GC. Although some problems still persist, FDG PET-CT is emerging as an effective tool for therapeutic and prognostic evaluation in GC. Preoperative FDG uptake has been demonstrated to be an independent, significant prognostic factor. FDG uptake of BM could reflect the degree of SIR to cancer and provide information on the prognosis after curative surgery. Wrong words in article? PET-CT or PET-TC? lymph-nodes (in Abstract)? lymph?



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35281

Title: THE EVOLVING ROLE OF FDG PET-TC IN THE PROGNOSTIC EVALUATION OF RESECTABLE GASTRIC CANCER

Reviewer's code: 01207071

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-05

Date reviewed: 2017-07-21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is not at all structured manuscript and aim of this article is unclear. Discussion is superficial based on a couple of review articles and guideline. When look at references, there are only three articles regarding FDG-PET plus gastric cancer, and two of which are review article, suggesting this article is kind of instant mashup. PET-TC should be written PET-CT in English. Ref 9) is not correctly written. There is mixture of British and American spelling. English proofreading is required. Some mistyping such as "Limph".