PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy
Manuscript NO: 35509
Title: Mediastinal node staging by CT-PET and selective EUS-FNA for patients with upper gastrointestinal cancer:results from a regional centre
Reviewer's code: 03262127
Reviewer's country: Russia
Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong
Date sent for review: 2017-07-30
Date reviewed: 2017-08-16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[Y] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

After minimal correction, this manuscript can be published.This is an interesting paper on the actual topic of state-of-the-art diagnosis of upper GI cancers metastatic extension.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy
Manuscript NO: 35509
Title: Mediastinal node staging by CT-PET and selective EUS-FNA for patients with upper gastrointestinal cancer:results from a regional centre
Reviewer's code: 00503563
Reviewer's country: Japan
Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong
Date sent for review: 2017-08-17
Date reviewed: 2017-08-25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[ ] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[Y] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors demonstrated the clinical importance of EUS-FNA for mediastinal nodal staging in patients with upper GI cancer. There are some queries and comments. Comments 1. According to Table 2, three patients had mediastinal nodes with PET-CT negative and EUS-FNA positive. How do the authors discuss about this result? 2. In the present study, patients with adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma were enrolled. How about the relationship between pathological type and the discordant rate related with PET-CT and EUS-FNA? 3. How about the relationship between EUS-FNA and the analysis of PET-CT based on the maximum standardised uptake (SUV_{max})?