

Dear Editor ,

We thank you and the reviewers for the positive evaluation of our manuscript. We have carefully read all comments and suggestions and have revised the manuscript accordingly. The constructive suggestions provided to us have improved both the quality and clarity of the manuscript. We hope that the revised paper is now acceptable for publication in *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. Our point-by-point responses to the reviewers' comments follow.

Response to Reviewer 1

Reviewer #1:

Reviewer #1: The results are simple, but interesting. Only some minor language revisions needed.

Response:

Thank you very much for your comments. Our manuscript has been edited for improved clarity and language by Editage.

Responses to Reviewer 2

Reviewer #2: This is an interesting study. In this study, the authors investigated the efficacy and safety of sufentanil combined with propofol injection in patients undergoing endoscopic injection sclerotherapy for esophageal varices. Overall, this study is well designed, and the manuscript is well written.

1 Language should be revised by a native English speaker.

2 It seems the figures are missing in the manuscript, however, I found a figure as supplementary material. The authors should check again.

3 Results should be more detail. Please revise.

Response:

Thank you very much for your comments.

1. Our manuscript has been edited for improved clarity and language by Editage.

2. I have pasted the picture into the manuscript.

3. The result has been rewritten and added more detail.