

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 36004

Title: Recent Dramatic Increase in Liver Transplantation for Alcoholic Liver Disease

Reviewer's code: 03537089

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Li Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-08-25

Date reviewed: 2017-09-08

Review time: 14 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

(Introduction needs minor revision) (Methods need minor revision) (Results need minor revision) (Discussion needs minor revision) (References need major revision) See the peer reviewed text

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 36004

Title: Recent Dramatic Increase in Liver Transplantation for Alcoholic Liver Disease

Reviewer's code: 00053786

Reviewer's country: Mexico

Science editor: Li Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-09-12

Date reviewed: 2017-09-25

Review time: 13 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Catherine E. Kling et al present a retrospective study regarding the temporal changes in the indications for liver transplantation and characteristics of patients transplanted for ALD. I find this study interesting, however I have a few observations: 1) I do not see the concordance between the title, aim and data presented. Most data are regarding the patients characteristics over time, but not of the "indications", which I understand it related to the criteria for transplantation. This part is discussed but it still remains unclear what is the cause of the increase. 2) Have there been changes in the guidelines established by the hepatologists for liver transplant in ALD patients? by the AASLD or others? that may explain this increase. 3) For the international audience, a map or explanation of the UNOS regions may be helpful. 4) The title should specify that the data presented is in the US population. 5) In the discussion, it may be relevant to add if the same trend is reported in other regions of world, so that this data is placed in



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

perspective. 6) Proofreading is needed to eliminate typographical errors.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 36004

Title: Recent Dramatic Increase in Liver Transplantation for Alcoholic Liver Disease

Reviewer's code: 00053958

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Li Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-09-12

Date reviewed: 2017-10-08

Review time: 26 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-conducted study showing the increase in OLT for ALD. I have 2 comments:
1) The definition of 'dramatic' is subjective. Some people would argue that this increase observed is significant but not high enough to warrant this description. 2) I think the discussion of the screening tools for alcohol use is excessive for this manuscript and can be omitted.