

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 36744

**Title:** Celiac Disease versus Inflammatory Bowel Disease

**Reviewer's code:** 03413692

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Ke Chen

**Date sent for review:** 2017-10-29

**Date reviewed:** 2017-10-30

**Review time:** 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To: Editorial Board World Journal of Gastroenterology Title: "HLA-DQ: Celiac Disease versus Inflammatory Bowel Disease" Dear Editor, I read this manuscript and I think that: - Acronyms should be expressed at their first mention both in the abstract and in the main text. Please revise the entire manuscript. - The English of the text should be revised due to typos. - The authors distinguish CeD vs IBD on the basis of blood evaluations. What about intestinal biopsies? How did they exclude CeD in controls? Please deeply discuss such a point. - The lack of biopsies in controls is a limitation of the study design. Please discuss such a point in a dedicated limitation section. - Please discuss the paper from Principi M et al. J Crohns Colitis. 2013 Nov;7(10):e427-33.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 36744

**Title:** Celiac Disease versus Inflammatory Bowel Disease

**Reviewer's code:** 03027040

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Ke Chen

**Date sent for review:** 2017-10-29

**Date reviewed:** 2017-11-04

**Review time:** 6 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I appreciated reviewing this paper, as the topic is original and uptodate. The development of the study is clear and the results bring new data to the literature, on a theme still actual and not completely understood. The cohort is small and cannot lead to conclusions, if not confirmed and supported by other larger studies. Regarding the collected data, how about familiarity for celiac disease of the included patients and controls? From a visual point of view, it could be useful to add figures and schemes. Overall, a well written paper.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 36744

**Title:** Celiac Disease versus Inflammatory Bowel Disease

**Reviewer's code:** 02998624

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Ke Chen

**Date sent for review:** 2017-10-29

**Date reviewed:** 2017-11-07

**Review time:** 9 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript aim to show if the IBD population is an risk group for CeD, for this propose, the authors determined the genetic predisposition for this disease in IBD patients. The results showed there is no significant difference in frequency of CeD-related HLA haplotypes in IBD patients compared with healthy group. Although this is an interesting information for IBD, and the number of patients studied are very good, the manuscript has some problems: 1) In introduction: It is necessary to define both diseases and better characterize the genes and their importance in CeD. The objective of the work is clear, but it should be more clearly written in the end of the introduction. 2) Methods: The number of approval Ethics Committee should be included. 3) Results: The first paragraph of results is methods topic and not results topic. The results is not clear, it is necessary see the table to better understand the results. In the table, the data are not clear. Is it possible to do a correlation about clinical information of

IBD patient and HLA frequency?

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 36744

**Title:** Celiac Disease versus Inflammatory Bowel Disease

**Reviewer's code:** 00502973

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Ke Chen

**Date sent for review:** 2017-10-29

**Date reviewed:** 2017-11-13

**Review time:** 15 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the current manuscript, the authors investigated that the frequency of the Celiac Disease-related HLA in IBD patients. This is relevant to the scope of the World Journal of Gastroenterology. However, concerns should be addressed before its acceptance. 1. Discussion was too long and should be truncated to make it more condense. 2. Table 1: Please provide the standards to describe the Disease Location and the Disease Behavior of Crohn's Disease. 3. Typo: In the 4th paragraph of Results, "then" change to "than"; In the last paragraph of Results, "HLADQ2.2" change to "HLA-DQ2.2"; In the 2nd paragraph of Discussion, "CU" change to "UC".