



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 37119

**Title:** Confocal endomicroscopy and cyst fluid molecular analysis: Comprehensive evaluation of pancreatic cysts

**Reviewer's code:** 03474684

**Reviewer's country:** India

**Science editor:** Li-Jun Cui

**Date sent for review:** 2017-11-15

**Date reviewed:** 2017-11-17

**Review time:** 2 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors A very well written lucid article. Best Regards



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 37119

**Title:** Confocal endomicroscopy and cyst fluid molecular analysis: Comprehensive evaluation of pancreatic cysts

**Reviewer’s code:** 03028174

**Reviewer’s country:** Thailand

**Science editor:** Li-Jun Cui

**Date sent for review:** 2017-11-27

**Date reviewed:** 2017-12-02

**Review time:** 5 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The article is aimed to summarize the current literature on confocal endomicroscopy and molecular biomarkers in the evaluation of pancreatic cystic lesions. The title is “Confocal Endomicroscopy and Cyst Fluid Molecular Analysis: Comprehensive Evaluation of Pancreatic Cysts”. 1. Several factors influence the evaluation of pancreatic cystic lesions. Please discuss these factors. 2. What are the new knowledges from this study? 3. Finally, please recommend the readers “How to apply this knowledge for routine clinical practice?”.



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 37119

**Title:** Confocal endomicroscopy and cyst fluid molecular analysis: Comprehensive evaluation of pancreatic cysts

**Reviewer's code:** 02822478

**Reviewer's country:** India

**Science editor:** Li-Jun Cui

**Date sent for review:** 2017-11-27

**Date reviewed:** 2017-12-03

**Review time:** 6 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Li et al have performed a comprehensive review of newer techniques for the evaluation of pancreatic cysts with the objective of improving selection of patients for surgical resection.



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 37119

**Title:** Confocal endomicroscopy and cyst fluid molecular analysis: Comprehensive evaluation of pancreatic cysts

**Reviewer's code:** 01799104

**Reviewer's country:** Taiwan

**Science editor:** Li-Jun Cui

**Date sent for review:** 2017-11-27

**Date reviewed:** 2017-12-05

**Review time:** 8 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a comprehensive review concerning about needle CLE and fluid biomarkers for differentiating pancreatic cystic lesion. It would be more helpful for readers if you could tabulate the Sendai, Fuduoka, AGA and modified Fukuoka guidelines.