

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 37203

Title: Prognostic value of lymph node metastasis for patients with T1-stage colorectal cancer in multiple-centers of China.

Reviewer's code: 00505440

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-21

Date reviewed: 2017-07-23

Review time: 2 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very important manuscript that is likely to be very well cited in the years to come. The information presented is very pertinent. My only concern is that there are too many typographical and grammatical errors that must be sorted out.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 37203

Title: Prognostic value of lymph node metastasis for patients with T1-stage colorectal cancer in multiple-centers of China.

Reviewer's code: 02682861

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-08-14

Date reviewed: 2017-08-15

Review time: 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) The introduction does not explain the role of tumor markers (moreover already known - Chao-Xu Zheng WJG, 2001) and vascular infiltration which in the discussion are cited as independent possible risk factors for lymph nodes metastasis (reference is missing) 2) The paragraph of chemotherapy and radical surgery is incomplete and unclear. Why is stage III-chemotherapy described? The focus is early stage (I/II). There is evidence for using adjuvant treatment in T1? How many lymph nodes were removed during the surgery (< o > 10 lymph nodes?). Possible bias of selection patients for different hospital/surgery and different time of surgery (2001-2011)?. A lot of heterogeneity of population study? 3) The results are encouraging but not conclusive 4) Unable to access to references and many missing references into manuscript 5) Many syntactic and grammar errors

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 37203

Title: Prognostic value of lymph node metastasis for patients with T1-stage colorectal cancer in multiple-centers of China.

Reviewer's code: 03086186

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-08-14

Date reviewed: 2017-08-26

Review time: 12 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dr. Zhen-Qiang Sun and the other authors used patient information from 6 hospitals in China, collected 321 cases of T1-stage CRC, and retrospectively analyzed factors related to survival with emphasis on the status of lymph node metastasis. They found preoperative serum CEA, CA199, CA724, vascular invasion and degree of differentiation were associated with lymph node metastasis in T1-stage CRC. Survival analysis showed that age, CEA, CA199, vascular invasion, degree of differentiation and lymph node metastasis were risk factors for 5-year overall survival. The findings are significant for surgeons to predict patient's prognosis. Unfortunately, the authors are careless in spelling and I strongly recommend that they ask for help from an expert in English language editing.