

Dear Reviewers,

I am very glad to hear that our manuscript has been accepted for publication. On behalf of all authors, we really appreciate all your help with the manuscript revision.

I have incorporated the suggested changes into the manuscript to the best of my ability.

In the present "Answering Reviewers," we detail the major changes that have been made in the paper to correct the main weaknesses identified by the review.

Reviewer:

1. The authors should explain the reason for the nephrectomy in the patients included in the study (eg, that none had a malignancy).

In the revised article, we have better explained the reasons of nephrectomy in the patients included in our study. In the Materials and Methods section, in the subchapter Sample collection we added the following sentences:

"Four patients had nephrectomy for the occurrence of complications associated with the enlarged kidney involved the recurrent infection of the urinary tract, arterial hypertension, and chronic pain. Three patients required nephrectomy to provide the space for the kidney allograft."

Editor:

1. In the revised article we have added the editor's requirements:

We have added "Running title" , telephone and fax number and Article highlights

A revision of the paper has now been carried out to take into consideration suggestions of the reviewers. In the process, we believe the revised manuscript has been significantly improved and will be more interesting for readers of World Journal of Nephrology.