

## 37534 – Answering Reviewers

Thank for reviewing manuscript and for the constructive feedback and suggestions. Here are our responses to each of the comments and suggestions:

### **Reviewer #1 (Reviewer code 00058381)**

#### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript the authors describe a patient with *Clostridium paraputrificum* septicemia and liver abscess and provide an overview on the literature. Title: “The first case of *Clostridium paraputrificum* septicemia and liver abscess” - I would suggest leaving out “the first case” or writing “the first published case”. **We changed it accordingly.** Informed consent statement: “care report” -> case report. **We changed it accordingly.** Discussion, paragraph 3, third sentence: “Similar findings were reported from another single center series from South Korea, where 76% were found to have diabetes when comparing 25 patients with GFPLA against 254 NGFPLA patients [18].” - What was the percentage of NGFPLA patients with diabetes? Moreover, the overall number of patients in this study was 379; 379 minus 25 would be 354, not 254 (?). **Thank for pointing out our mistake. The correct number for NGFPLA is 354 (279-25), not 254. As for the percentage of NGFPLA with diabetes, we were hoping to cite the percentage as well, but the authors of the manuscript did not disclose the actual percentage unfortunately.** Discussion, paragraph 3, last sentence: “Enterococcus” -> Enterococcus. **We changed it accordingly.** Discussion, paragraph 4, second sentence: “Clostridum” -> Clostridium. **We changed it accordingly.** Discussion, paragraph 5, fourth sentence: “In our 37 cases of *C. perfringens* hepatic abscess cases, the mortality rate was 67.6% (25/37).” -> In the 37 cases of *C. perfringens* hepatic abscess, the mortality rate was 67.6% (25/37). **We changed it accordingly.** Discussion, paragraph 5, ninth sentence: “Among those who survived, 6 patients (50%) were found to have poly-microbial infection, suggesting that having a poly-microbial infection is a potentially good prognostic factor.” - The patient numbers are too small for this statement. **We changed it accordingly with less emphasis.** Discussion, paragraph 7, second sentence: “27 years old” -> “27 years”. **We changed it accordingly.** Table 1: Please cite all the publications mentioned here and include them in the reference list **Yes, we added citations for all the cases.** ; “vascularitis” -> vasculitis; “whipple” -> Whipple; “presense of liver mass” -> presence of liver mass. **We changed them accordingly.**

### **Reviewer #2 (Reviewer code 02822816)**

#### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To the authors, Interesting and well-written case-report.