

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 38401

Title: Achievable complete remission of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: case report

Reviewer's code: 00723142

Reviewer's country: Oman

Science editor: Na Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-03-02

Date reviewed: 2018-03-03

Review time: 15 Hours

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Is icotinib an approved drug in first line therapy of NSCLC? 2. In BAI an approved treatment in NSCLC? 3. Was the response assessed by RECIST criteria or any other criteria? 4. Was PET scan considered for Evaluation? 5. Was surgery considered after first initial significant response? 6. Was there any toxicity to EGFR Targeted therapy? 7. Was the patient a smoker?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 38401

Title: Achievable complete remission of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: case report

Reviewer's code: 00724492

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Na Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-03-02

Date reviewed: 2018-03-06

Review time: 3 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author, Well written.. I think it is suitable for publication Best wishes....