

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 38770

Title: Clinical and prognostic significance of RKIP expression in gastrointestinal stromal tumors

Reviewer's code: 02943694

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-03-14

Date reviewed: 2018-03-19

Review time: 4 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is an interesting study about the expression of RKIP in GIST.

AUTHOR'S ANSWER:

Thanks for your devotion and revision opinion for my paper, I have pay attention to the minor problems in the statistics and polish my language in the article.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 38770

Title: Clinical and prognostic significance of RKIP expression in gastrointestinal stromal tumors

Reviewer's code: 02458675

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-03-14

Date reviewed: 2018-03-23

Review time: 8 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is an excellent study. In this study, the authors detected the expression of RKIP in GIST and analyzed its relationship with clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of this disease. The study is well designed and the results are interesting. Sixty-three patients with pathologically diagnosed GISTs were included in this study. The GIST tissue samples were fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, and cut into 4 μ m sections. The RKIP expression may have appreciated value to predict the prognosis of GISTs. Overall, the study is acceptable, and the manuscript is well written. In my opinion, the manuscript can be published after a minor language revision.

AUTHOR'S ANSWER:

Thank you very much for your careful and rigorous comments for my article, I've taken serious of your revision opinion, I have polished my language in the article and ask the native speaker for help in English writing.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 38770

Title: Clinical and prognostic significance of RKIP expression in gastrointestinal stromal tumors

Reviewer's code: 02857975

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-03-14

Date reviewed: 2018-03-25

Review time: 11 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting study. Figures should be revised.

AUTHOR'S ANSWER:

I'm appreciated of your comments for my article, I've taken serious of your revision opinion. I've polished my language for the article. And I added explanations for each figures. Some of the figures were remade for a better demonstration. Moreover I drew and added a scale bar for the IHC photography.