

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 38808

Title: Prediction of malignancy and adverse outcome of solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas

Reviewer's code: 00070047

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-03-19

Date reviewed: 2018-03-26

Review time: 7 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Summary Authors reviewed about prediction of malignancy and adverse outcome of solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas (SPTP). SPTP is a heterogenous tumor with a small percentage of patients with aggressive behavior, but criteria for malignancy



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

have not been well established. Therefore definite staging system is not specific to SPTP and predictive classification is also not established. Authors discuss the associated factors proposed for appraisal of the malignant potential SPTP. This review is well organized and described neither too much nor too little. Abstract: OK Keywords: OK Main body: 1. For readers unfamiliar to SPTP, please also describe general aspect (sex dominant, age, etc.) about benign SPTP. 2. P7-9; "Diagnosis of malignant SPTP" and "Predictors of malignancy" are slight overlap about radiological imaging aspect. Please combine or separate these articles. References: OK Tables: OK Figures: Figure 1 Legend: Please specify whether each number (paper in pubmed, etc) refer to value of longitudinal axis of which (left or right) side. Figure 2 : These figure (a, b) are inappropriate. The authors should change these images. For instance, change (a) into axial image, add (b) arrows, and so on..

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No