



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 39863

Title: Neuroendocrine Tumor Incidentally Detected During Living Donor Hepatectomy:
What to do it?

Reviewer's code: 03294293

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-05-18

Date reviewed: 2018-05-21

Review time: 3 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

that's a well written manuscript. the subject of the manuscript is interesting. it should be published.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 39863

Title: Neuroendocrine Tumor Incidentally Detected During Living Donor Hepatectomy:
What to do it?

Reviewer's code: 02860663

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-05-18

Date reviewed: 2018-05-30

Review time: 12 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Akbulut and colleagues presents a case-report describing two cases of incidental NETs found During Living Donor Hepatectomy. The topic of the study is interesting. However, it presents some critical points that need to be addressed, as following: Major Points

1) It is not clear which is the aim of this paper, since it is initially presented as a case report. Nevertheless, the authors dedicated a limited space to case report description and follow-up of the two cases is absent. On the contrary they present an extensive description of the natural history and management of the NETs. Finally, they claim to provide conclusions on management of incidental NETs. This is not possible, since a case report cannot provide sufficient evidence to reach conclusions. I believe that the authors should authors should limit themselves to present the two cases, extending the case report section, presenting the follow-up, greatly limiting the narrative description of NET natural history and management (it is not a review of the topic). Finally, every suggestion can only be presumptive, and have to be stated with caution, since sufficient evidences to support definitive conclusions are absent. 2) The authors referred a large experience in liver donor hepatectomy. They should present the data of their series, report how many interventions they have done in order to clarify which is the overall prevalence of incidental tumors. 3) The meaning of the title is not clear. There is no answer to the question "What to do?" The have to change it with a descriptive title. For instance: "Neuroendocrine Tumor Incidentally Detected During Living Donor Hepatectomy: two cases treated with complete response". 4) The abstract is not well written and it contains unnecessary details of the two cases that are already provided in the main text (the aim of the abstract is not to substitute the main text). 5) The language quality is poor and an extensive revision by a native speaker is essential. Minor Points 1) What were the indication to the two transplant? HBV decompensated cirrhosis? Hepatocellular carcinoma? This must be described. 2) In both cases, which radiological examinations were performed before the operation? After the intervention, have radiologic images been reviewed to clarify if the two tumors were missed or not at imaging? 3) Did the authors found other incidental tumors at preliminary screening before surgery? If yes, which was the incidence of other tumors incidentally found



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

before surgery on radiological examinations? 4) Do the authors perform surgical abdominal exploration, routinely? What are possible complications of this procedure? How many adverse effects secondary to this procedure have the authors observed in their series of surgeries?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 39863

Title: Neuroendocrine Tumor Incidentally Detected During Living Donor Hepatectomy:
What to do it?

Reviewer's code: 00503561

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-05-30

Date reviewed: 2018-05-30

Review time: 0 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an important case reports and the author raise and address the issues to solve in the community. Ki-67 index of NET are proposed in many organs in sometimes different context especially in the lower range, and the evidence so far is far from robust in any

conclusions. The outcome of these G1 tumors in the immunosuppressed conditions is unknown. 1. Is it possible to stop the transplantation when incidental high-grade tumors discovered in the operation?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 39863

Title: Neuroendocrine Tumor Incidentally Detected During Living Donor Hepatectomy:
What to do it?

Reviewer's code: 00187828

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-05-30

Date reviewed: 2018-05-31

Review time: 22 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled "Neuroendocrine Tumor Incidentally Detected During Living Donor Hepatectomy: What to do it?" has been evaluated as follows; The authors present two cases with grade 1 neuroendocrine tumors (NET) during living donor hepatectomy

(LDH). In the first case during an abdominal exploration revealed that the appendix vermiformis had a 8-10 mm tumor which was removed. In the second case a mass lesion with a 10 mm in size on the antimesenteric surface, approximately 90 cm proximal to the ileocecal valve was detected. A wedge resection with primary closure was performed. The pathological examinations of the specimens of both patients revealed a grade 1 NET [NSE (+), Chromogranin (+), Synaptophysin (+) and Ki 67 (+)]. In conclusion, the authors suggest that even if patients undergoing LDH are healthy individuals, whole abdominal cavity should be gently palpated and all findings be recorded after completing laparotomy. Suspected masses or lesions should be confirmed by frozen section examination. Such an approach would avert some future potential medicolegal issues. The language should be polished.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No