

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 40720

**Title:** Usefulness of colonic tattooing using indocyanine green in patients with colorectal tumors

**Reviewer's code:** 00477066

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Ruo-Yu Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2018-08-09

**Date reviewed:** 2018-08-10

**Review time:** 1 Day

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written study on the use of ICG to mark colorectal cancer. However it was already known in current literature that tattooing is useful in minimally invasive surgery. A comparative study with a control group of no-tattooing tumors could be useless.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Otherwise an observational study on safety and efficacy of ICG could be useful.

#### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

##### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 40720

**Title:** Usefulness of colonic tattooing using indocyanine green in patients with colorectal tumors

**Reviewer's code:** 02954661

**Reviewer's country:** Romania

**Science editor:** Ruo-Yu Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2018-08-09

**Date reviewed:** 2018-08-18

**Review time:** 9 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, Thank you for the opportunity to review the interesting manuscript entitled 'Usefulness of colonic tattooing using indocyanine green in patient with colorectal tumors'. The authors compared the perioperative outcomes in 114 patients

with colorectal laparoscopic resections and indocyanine green marking of the lesions with 228 propensity-scored patients with no tumor marking. The paper is interesting and has merits to be accepted after minor revision. Minor concerns: Please check the spelling throughout the manuscript. In Abstract - Aim: I suggest that 'beneficial' to be replaced with 'feasible'. In Abstract - results: delta should be explained. The difference for Hb and Alb is not 'little' in my opinion. How can be explained the difference in Albumin? If not, please remove from abstract, and discuss it in Discussions. In Core tip: That ICG has fewer complications than India ink is not a conclusion from the present study. Please remove. Division of Gastroenterology of the Internal Medicine Department, isn't it? In Methods: The two groups (stage 0, I, IIa, IIb, IIc) should be named as N0. In my opinion is not very correct as early stage versus advanced stage, as a T4N0M0 may be significant difficult to be resected laparoscopically.

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No