

Dear Dr. Ma,

Thank you for the positive feedback. We have carefully reviewed the valuable comments from the reviewers and have tried our best to revise the manuscript. Revised portion are marked in red in the paper. Our point by point responses to the reviewer's comments are as follow:

Response to Editor' comments:

Response: Thank you for your suggestion and we have revised our manuscript according to your advice.

Response to Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1 (ID: 03725690):

The authors described the modified laparoscopic Sugarbager repair of PSH. The manuscript was interesting and well-written.

Response: Thank you very much for the positive comments on our work and all suggestions for improvement.

1. what do you think the difference about the type of mesh? please discuss about the type of mesh and its complications.

Response: Thank you for your suggestion and we completely agree with your advice. We have revised our discussion section. (Line 19-27, Page 11)

Reviewer #2 (ID: 02465209):

Great paper.

Response: Thank you for your positive comment.